# MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF (Fe,Co)<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> ALLOYS BY W DOPING

# R. HIRIAN<sup>1\*</sup>, V. POP<sup>2</sup>, O. ISNARD<sup>2,3</sup>, D. BENEA<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** The intrinsic magnetic properties (magnetic moments, magnetocrystalline anisotropy, Curie temperatures) of the Fe<sub>5-x-y</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys have been calculated using the spin-polarized relativistic Korringa-Kohn-Rostoker (SPRKKR) band structure method. Our calculations show that for several compounds with  $x \ge 0.5$ , the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy (MAE) became axial. Also, theoretical calculations for Fe<sub>4</sub>WSiB<sub>2</sub> compound found a magnetization decrease (with about 20%), a Curie temperature decrease of about 30% but an increased axial magnetocrystalline anisotropy compared with the corresponding values for Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub>. Several Fe<sub>5-x</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys (x = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1) have been prepared by arc melting of corresponding high purity elements in Ar controlled atmosphere. Composition analysis of the Fe<sub>5-x</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys found an impurity phase along with the tetragonal *14/mcm* phase of pure Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub>. The magnetic measurements found the decrease of the magnetization any significant increase of the coercivity due to W doping.

*Keywords:* ab-initio calculations, B. magneto-crystalline anisotropy, C. magnetization, D. rare earth free magnets.

## INTRODUCTION

Magnetic materials are main components of many devices, and their development, impact and innovation are crucial on raising energy efficiency in many economic sectors. Permanent magnets are used widely in advanced technologies

©2022 STUDIA UBB PHYSICA. Published by Babeş-Bolyai University.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Faculty of Physics, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Kogălniceanu str. 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Université Grenoble Alpes, Institut Néel, Grenoble, F-38042, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CNRS, Institut Néel, 25 rue des Martyrs, F-38042 Grenoble, France.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: razvan.hirian@ubbcluj.ro

including electric vehicles, memory devices, electric motors, windmills, transportation, magnetic levitation or biomedical devices (including magnetic resonance imaging machines, pacemakers, heart pumps, etc.). A route to build performant magnets with lower prices is to develop rare-earth-free magnets that can fill in the gap between the chip hard ferrite and high performant by expensive rare-earth magnets [1].

A promising class of materials which can be explored is based on Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloy, due to their intrinsic properties (Tc > 800 K,  $M_s \sim 9 \mu_B/f.u.$  and  $K_1 \sim -0.30 MJ/m^3$ ). According to our previous studies [2], the magnetization decreases at 1.46  $\mu_B$ /f.u. in Co<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys. Also, the Curie temperature is reduced by Co for Fe substitution [2]. The magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy (MAE) is negative for Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub>, but its absolute magnitude decreases by Co for Fe substitution. To build performant permanent magnets, the materials should have (i) ferromagnetic ordering with a high Curie temperature, (ii) large saturation magnetization and (iii) a strong magnetic anisotropy of the easy-axis type [3], first two conditions being fulfilled by  $Fe_5SiB_2$  alloy. The Co for Fe substitution in  $Fe_{5-x-y}Co_yW_xSiB_2$  is able to turn the sign of MAE from negative to positive for  $1.5 \le y \le 2.5$ , enabling an axial easy magnetization axis for the corresponding alloys [2]. On the other hand, previous studies [4] showed that doping of Fe<sub>5</sub>PSi<sub>2</sub> alloy with a 5d element would induce a strong uniaxial anisotropy, allowing to build a semi-hard magnet which can be used in nanocomposite magnetic materials. In the present study the W doping of the Fe<sub>5-v</sub>Co<sub>v</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys has been considered, accounting for both axial anisotropy of the alloys with  $1.5 \le y \le 2.5$  as well as for the strong spin-orbit coupling of the 5d element which could enhance the axial anisotropy of the W doped alloys. Theoretical and experimental studies on the structural and magnetic properties of  $Fe_{5-x-y}Co_yW_xSiB_2$  alloys are presented in the following.

#### COMPUTATIONAL AND EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Spin-polarized fully relativistic Korringa-Kohn-Rostoker (SPRKKR) band structure method has been used for theoretical calculations [5]. The exchange and correlation effects have been accounted for by means of the generalized gradient approximation with the parametrization of Perdew et al. (GGA-PBE) [6]. The k-space integration was performed using the special points method [7]. The substitutional disorder in the system has been treated within the Coherent Potential Approximation (CPA) theory [8]. The study of the magnetic anisotropy has been performed by magnetic torque calculations acting on the magnetic moment  $\vec{m_i}$  of the atomic site *i*, oriented along the magnetization direction  $\vec{M}$  [9,10]. The component of the magnetic torque with respect to axis  $\hat{u}$  is  $T_{\hat{u}}(\theta, \varphi) = -\partial E(\overline{M(\theta, \varphi)})/\partial \theta$ , where  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  are the polar angles. The magnetic torque and the energy difference between the in-plane and out-of-plane magnetization directions are related by a special geometry. By setting the angles to  $\theta = \pi/4$  and  $\varphi = 0$ , the calculated magnetic torque is  $T_{\hat{u}}(\pi/4,0) = E_{[100]} - E_{[001]}$  [10]. A complementary approach to investigate the magnetic behaviour of is based on the classical Heisenberg Hamiltonian described by the expression:

$$H_{ex} = -\sum_{ij} J_{ij} \hat{e}_i \cdot \hat{e}_j ,$$

where the summation is performed on all lattice sites *i* and *j* and  $\hat{e}_i / \hat{e}_i$  are the unit

vectors of magnetic moments on sites *i* and *j*, respectively. The  $J_{ij}$  exchange coupling parameters for all magnetic atoms have been calculated as a function of distance using the expression derived by Liechtenstein [11] based on the magnetic force theorem. The Curie temperatures have been derived using the  $J_{ij}$  exchange coupling parameters within the mean field approach [11,12],

$$T_c^{rough-MFA} = \frac{2}{3k_B} \sum_i J_{0i},$$

where  $J_{0i}$  is the exchange-coupling parameters sum over all coordination shells up to 15 Å around lattice site *i*.

The synthesis of the polycrystalline  $Fe_{5-x}W_xSiB_2$  alloys (x = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1) alloys has been performed following the arc melting procedure described elsewhere [2] starting from high purity elements (99.999% Fe, 99.99% Si, 99.5% W, 99.7% B), under high purity Ar atmosphere. Each sample was turned and re-melted several times, to ensure homogeneity.

The crystalline structure of the samples was investigated by X-Ray diffraction (XRD), using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer equipped with a Cu K<sub>a</sub> radiation. The phase content of the annealed alloys was determined using the Rietveld method. In order to compare the data recorded with different wavelengths, the XRDs are plotted versus  $1/d_{hkl}$ . The lattice parameters of the different samples were determined using the Celref 3 software [13] from least square measurements taking all the Bragg peaks observed into account. Magnetization measurements were carried out using a VSM magnetometer in applied field of up to 4 T (4 K – 300 K).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

The electronic band structure calculations for the Fe<sub>5-x-y</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> (x = 0.0, 0.15, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0; y = 0.0, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0;) in tetragonal structure (space group *I4/mcm*) using the experimental lattice parameters [2, 14] have been performed. The lattice constants of the W-doped alloys have been evaluated using the experimental lattice constants [2,14,15] and considering their linear dependence with W content x. The random occupation of W atoms of the *4c* and *16l* crystal sites has been considered. Calculated magnetic moments (in Bohr magnetons  $\mu_B$ ) for the Fe<sub>5-x-y</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> (x = 0, 0.15, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0 and y = 0, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0) are shown in Table 1.

According to our calculations,  $Fe_5SiB_2$  alloy is ferromagnetic with a total magnetic moment of 9.24  $\mu_B/f.u.$ , in plane easy axis with the calculated anisotropy constant  $K_1 = -0.206$  meV/f.u.. The Curie temperature calculated by mean field approach is 1140 K. As the mean field method is known to overestimate by ~20% the Curie temperature values, the estimated  $T_c$  would be comparable with the experimental value of 850 K [2].

|   | lattice const. <i>a,c</i> (Å) | m <sub>s</sub> (μ <sub>B</sub> /f.u.) | m <sub>l</sub> (μ <sub>B</sub> /f.u.) | K1 (meV/f.u.) | T <sub>c</sub> (K) |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| $Fe_5SiB_2$   | 5.5446; 10.336                | 9.03                                  | 0.215                                 | -0.206        | 1140               |
| $Fe_{3.5}Co_{1.5}SiB_2$                               | 5.5174; 10.2464               | 6.92                                  | 0.20                                  | -0.05         | 761                |
| Fe <sub>4</sub> WSiB <sub>2</sub>                     | 5.6425; 10.4744               | 7.75                                  | 0.22                                  | 0.218         | 747                |
| $Fe_{3.35}Co_{1.5}W_{0.15}SiB_2$                      | 5.5505; 10.2413               | 6.35                                  | 0.187                                 | -0.05         | 638                |
| $Fe_{3.25}Co_{1.5}W_{0.25}SiB_2$                      | 5.559; 10.255                 | 6.70                                  | 0.20                                  | -0.055        | 509                |
| Fe <sub>3.5</sub> CoW <sub>0.5</sub> SiB <sub>2</sub> | 5.59 ;10.33                   | 6.88                                  | 0.20                                  | -0.038        | 545                |
| $Fe_{3.0}Co_{1.5}W_{0.5}SiB_2$                        | 5.5834; 10.29                 | 6.14                                  | 0.20                                  | 0.024         | 408                |
| Fe <sub>2.0</sub> Co <sub>2.0</sub> WSiB <sub>2</sub> | 5.63; 10.32                   | 4.81                                  | 0.18                                  | 0.105         | 210                |
| Fe <sub>3.0</sub> CoWSiB <sub>2</sub>                 | 5.6376; 10.3942               | 6.28                                  | 0.20                                  | 0.067         | 414                |

**Table 1.** Calculated magnetic moments, MAE constant  $K_1$  and the estimated Curie temperature for the  $Fe_{5-x-y}Co_yW_xSiB_2$  alloys (x = 0.0, 0.15, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0; y = 0.0, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0). Preferential occupation of W for *4c* sites has been considered

As can be seen in Table 1, Co doping in  $Fe_5SiB_2$  reduces the total magnetic moment and the Curie temperature of the alloys, as shown in our previous experimental and theoretical investigations [2]. Also, Co doping up to y = 1.5 reduces the absolute

value of the anisotropy constant  $K_1$  to -0.05 meV/f.u.. On the other hand, by W for Fe substitution the anisotropy is turning to axial in Fe<sub>4</sub>WSiB<sub>2</sub> with  $K_1$  value of 0.218 meV/f.u, corresponding to 0.22 MJ/m<sup>3</sup>. This improved anisotropy is accompanied by a decrease of total magnetic moment (8.97  $\mu_B$ /f.u.) and a lower Curie temperature (747 K). If the W doping is performed in the Fe<sub>3.5</sub>Co<sub>1.5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloy which has small negative value of  $K_1$ , the anisotropy is negative up to y = 0.5. Unfortunately, increasing the W content with x > 0.5 in Fe<sub>5-x-y</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> (y = 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0) would induce a strong decrease of T<sub>c</sub>.

For  $Fe_{2.0}Co_{2.0}WSiB_2$  which has axial anisotropy (K<sub>1</sub> = 0.105 meV/f.u.), the Curie temperature is under room temperature and in this way, the obtained magnet would not be able to operate in a reasonable temperature range. In conclusion, the theoretical study proposes  $Fe_4WSiB_2$  alloy as possible candidate for permanent magnet applications due to its increased anisotropy and relatively high values of Curie temperature and total magnetic moment.

The XRD patterns for the  $Fe_{5-x}W_xSiB_2$  alloys (x=0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1.0) presented in Fig. 1 show the presence of tetragonal phase (*I4/mcm* space group) in all obtained samples. However, for higher W content (x > 0.5) one can see a mixture of phases within the samples. Also, the thermomagnetic measurements found no trace of free Fe in all samples. The lattice constants obtained by refining the XRD patterns from Fig. 1 are shown in Table 2.



**Fig. 1.** The XRD patterns for the Fe<sub>5-x</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys (x=0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1.0). The computed XRD pattern for Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> is shown for comparison.

| ~   | Lattice constants (Å) |          |  |  |  |
|-----|-----------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| x   | а                     | С        |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | 5.54(2)               | 10.31(2) |  |  |  |
| 0.2 | 5.53(2)               | 10.28(2) |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 5.52(2)               | 10.26(2) |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 5.54(2)               | 10.37(2) |  |  |  |

 Table 2. Lattice constants determined experimentally

 for the Fe<sub>5-x</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys

The thermomagnetic measurements for the  $Fe_{5-x}W_xSiB_2$  alloys by heating (a) and by cooling (b) are shown in Fig. 2. By heating, the presence of three different magnetic phases can be evidenced, whilst by cooling only two magnetic phases are present. One of them is the  $Fe_5SiB_2$  phase type with Curie temperatures between 768 (for x = 0.5) and 850 K (for x = 0.1) and the other is a magnetic impurity phase with Curie temperatures between 503 and 553 K, as can be seen in Fig. 2b and Fig. 3.



Fig. 2. Temperature dependence of the magnetization for the  $Fe_{5-x}W_xSiB_2$  alloys by (a) heating and (b) cooling of the samples.

MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF (Fe,Co)<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> ALLOYS BY W DOPING



**Fig. 3.** Composition dependence of the Curie temperatures for the Fe<sub>5-x</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys. The Curie temperatures of the impurity sample are shown by full squares.



**Fig.4.** (a) Magnetic hysterezis curves measured at 4 K for the Fe<sub>5-x</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloy and (b) hysterezis curves around the origin for the same system.

The Curie temperatures evolution vs. W content x (Fig. 3) shows that both phases contain Fe and W. The partial substitution of Fe with W reduces the Curie temperature of the corresponding Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub>-doped phases. Accounting for the Curie temperature evolution in the Fe-Si phases [16], the impurity phase is supposed to be the solid solution of Si+W in Fe ( $\alpha_1$  phase).

The hysterezis curves obtained by magnetic measurements (Fig. 4) show a fast saturation of the samples with low W content as well as an anisotropy increase by increasing the W content x. The details of the hysterezis curves around the origin show a low coercivity of the measured samples. Magnetic measurements of the alligned mono-domanines samples would offer a better image on the sample coercivity. Also, the improvement of the present study is intended by using more complex preparation methods, by mechanical alloying or fast cooled ribbons, in order to diminish the impurity phases percentage.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Theoretical studies on the Fe<sub>5-x-y</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys show the decrease of total magnetic moment and Curie temperatures of the alloys by increasing W content x. Also, the theoretical calculated MAE turns from planar for Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloy to axial for Fe<sub>4</sub>WSiB<sub>2</sub> and for Fe<sub>5-x-y</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>W<sub>x</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys with W content x > 0.5. The experimental investigations show that the samples are mixed phases for magnetic alloys of interest (axial anisotropy, x > 0.5). The experimental measured magnetizations and Curie temperatures show agreement with the corresponding theoretical calculations. The improvement of intrinsic magnetic properties for Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys by W doping in the investigated doping range is reduced due to the phase mixture appearance. Still, the intrinsic properties of the W doped Fe<sub>5-y</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> alloys might be improved by reduction of the impurity phase, which can be obtained using more complex preparation methods.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The financial support from the Romanian Ministry of Education and Romanian Ministry of Research and Innovation, CCCDI-UEFISCDI grant PN-III-P2-2.1-PED-2019-3484 is acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Cui, M. Kramer, L. Zhou et al, Acta Materialia 158 118 (2018).
- [2] R. Hirian, O. Isnard, V. Pop and D. Benea, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 505 166748 (2020).
- [3] R. Skomski and J. M. D. Coey, *Scripta Mater.* 112 3 (2016).
- [4] J. Thakur, P. Rani, M. Tomar, V. Gupta and M. K. Kashyap, AIP Conf. Proc. 2093, 020012 (2019)

MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF (Fe,Co)<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> ALLOYS BY W DOPING

- [5] H. Ebert, D. Ködderitzsch and J. Minar, Rep. Prog. Phys, 74 096501 (2011).
- [6] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 3865 (1996).
- [7] H. Monkhorst and J. Pack, Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188 (1976).
- [8] J. S. Faulkner, Prog. Mater. Sci. 27 1 (1982); J. S. Faulkner and G. M. Stocks, Phys. Rev. B 21 3222 (1980).
- [9] J. B. Staunton, L. Szunyogh, A. Buruzs, B. L. Gyorffy, S. Ostanin, and L. Udvardi, Phys. Rev. B 74 144411 (2006).
- [10] S. Mankovsky, S. Polesya, J. Minar, F. Hoffmann, D.H. Back and H. Ebert, *Phys. Rev. B* 84 201201 (2011).
- [11] A.I. Liechtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, V. P. Antropov and V. A. Gubanov, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 67 65 (1987).
- [12] P. Nieves, S. Arapan, J. Maudes-Raedo, R. Marticorena-Sánchez, N.L. Del Brío, A. Kovacs, C. Echevarria-Bonet, D. Salazar, J. Weischenberg, H. Zhang, O.Yu. Vekilova, R. Serrano-López, J.M. Barandiaran, K. Skokov, O. Gutfleisch, O. Eriksson, H.C. Herper, T. Schrefl, S. Cuesta-López, *Comput. Mat. Sci.* 168 188 (2019).
- [13] LMGP-Suite of Programs for the interpretation of X-ray Experiments, by Jean Laugier and Bernard Bochu, ENSP/Laboratoire des Matériaux et du Génie Physique, BP 46. 38042 Grenoble, France. WWW: http://www.inpg.fr/LMGP and http://www.ccp14.ac.uk/tutorial/Imgp/.
- [14] M. Werwiński, S. Kontos, K. Gunnarsson, P. Svedlindh, J. Cedervall, V. Höglin, M. Sahlberg, A. Edström, O. Eriksson, and J. Rusz, Phys. Rev B 93 174412 (2016).
- [15] K. Fukuma et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80 024702 (2011).
- [16] T.B. Massalski, et al., Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams, ASM International (1990).