

STUDIA UNIVERSITATIS BABEȘ-BOLYAI OECONOMICA VOLUME 67, ISSUE 2, 2022, pp. 33-49 DOI: 10.2478/subboec-2022-0008

CLAIMS SETTLEMENT AND RISK ATTITUDES: EVIDENCE FROMTHE MOTOR INSURANCE POLICYHOLDERS

Sunday Stephen AJEMUNIGBOHUN^{*} Lagos State University, Nigeria

Folake Feyisayo OLOWOKUDEJO University of Lagos, Nigeria

Ismaila ADELEKE

University of Lagos, Nigeria

Abstract: Claims, being the heartbeat of the workability of insurance, are the most critical contact influencer between the insuring public and the insurer. It serves as a critical path to truth that shapes the policyholders' ultimate perceptions of their insurers. Therefore, this study aimed at evaluating the relationships between claims settlement and risk attitudes, with specific reference to motor insurance policyholders in Lagos, Nigeria. The study adopted a cross-sectional survey research design. A survey based questionnaire was applied to 287 motor insurance policyholders. The findingsshow that claims settlements are significant in attracting reasonable risk attitudes. The study recommends that motor insurance providers should put in place fascinating claims packages in order to boost the confidence level of the motoring communities. Government should rejuvenate and empower the motor insurance public complaint commission to address issues relating to motor insurance claims of either party in the motor insurance contract. Future research work could direct attention to insurance fraud issues emanating from the insurance claims settlement manual.

JEL Classifications: G19, G22, N27

Keywords: Claims settlement, risk attitudes, motor insurance policyholders, theory of planned behaviour, Nigeria

1. Introduction

Motor insurance, being one of the transportation insurance modes, is designed as a risk management instrument to guarantee policyholders' peace of mind. It plays a crucial role in safeguarding policyholders from financial losses that motor vehicles can cause ranging from loss of property, medical bills, legal fees, to

^{*} Corresponding author. Address: Department of Insurance, Faculty of Management Sciences, Lagos State University, Lagos-Badagry Expressway, Lagos State, Nigeria, Tel: +234(0)7055342854, E-mail: sunday.ajemunibohun@lasu.edu.ng

loss of income (Abdalla &Enaji, 2014; Gage, Bishop, & Morris, 2015). Historically, the appearance of motor vehicles on the road can be dated back to the year 1880s. The initial motor insurance policy emerged during the year 1890s (Ellis, 1983). Its emergence was after the failure of enacted regulation to control accidents of motor vehicles on the road. Motor insurance compensates victims who sustained bodily injuries and/or are obliged to repair motor vehicles damaged by the risk of collision and other third-party liability motor-related risks (Ibiwoye, Adeleke, & Aduloju, 2011). The compensation provided, in this regard, is encapsulated in the claims settlement mode and time.

Claims, being the heartbeat of the workability of insurance, is the most critical contact influencer between the insuring public and the insurer (Ayuba, Isyaka, & Azuoonwu, 2020; Hartwig, Lynch, & Weisbert, 2016). It serves as a critical path to truth that shapes the policyholders' ultimate perceptions of their insurers (Yusuf & Ajemunigbohun, 2015). Indeed, policyholders' perceptions around claims are usually informed by the defining relationships between the insurers and the insuring public (Raghuram, 2019). Thus, the defining relationships are most widely present in motor insurance policies (Ajemunigbohun, 2018; Bulbul & Baykal, 2016; Jayasudha, 2015; Namukaso, Ssekaukba, & Bagenda, 2017; Onafalujo, Abass, &Dansu, 2011). Although it is believed that roads can be better built and motor vehicles improved to tolerate mistakes, road risks can never be eliminated. Therefore, understanding motor users' attitudes is essential and significant in reducing the influence of human factors in road accidents and directing their attention to the importance of motor insurance.

However, the attitude of many motorists is disturbing as there seems to be an increase in the number of risk exposures motorists are faced with, which include loss of life and vehicle, risk of collision or damage to the vehicle, and other thirdparty motor-related risks (Magri, Farrugia, Valletta, & Grima, 2019; Segovia-Vargas, Camacho-Minano, & Pascual-Ezama, 2013). This attitude has created a great deal of apathy and very inauspicious perceptions regardingmotor insurance as a formidable financial technique to safeguard policyholders' interests in the event of a motor-related losses (Kwanga, 2017). The negative perception has resulted in low patonage for a motor insurance policy by Nigerians, created by poor claims settlement (Isimoya, & Ajemunigbohun, 2019; Olowokudejo, Aduloju, & Ajemunigbohun, 2020). With poor claims settlement, policyholders develop low-risk aversion. Therefore, lowrisk aversion is a major challenge of any insurance industry, as it remains the heartbeat to the demand for motor insurance (Botha, 2017). It is a major drawback in the quest to attract more policyholders into the portfolio of motor insurance providers, and adversely affected motor insurance providers in terms of perceived policyholder's perception. This makes it difficult for motorists to comply with the compulsory motor insurance law in Nigeria. The study objective is focused at evaluating the nexus between insurance claims settlement and risk attitudes of selected motor insurance policyholders in Nigeria.

2. Literature review

2.1. Theory of Planned Behaviour

Planned behaviour, as a theory, was propounded to depict social values, attitude and controlled behaviour of mankind in business related activities (Zhang &

Cain, 2017). This theory commenced as the theory of reason action in the 80's to predict individuals' intents to get involved in behavioural events at a specific place and time (Ajzen, 2011). It is a well-designed estimates of risk attitude regarding a behaviour of interest, perceived behavioural intention and control, and subjective values. The outcome of previous studies (such as Brahmana, Brahmana, & Memarista, 2018, Mai, Nguyen, Vu, Bui, Nguyen, & Do, 2020; Kautonen, Van Gelderen, & Tornikoski, 2013) have shown that the theory of planned behaviour (TPB) has contributed extensively to insurance behavioural studies. This theory is thus considered typical for business activities even if the new venture may develop abruptly due to a chance realised. With TPB, two major sources of intent, that is, motivation to act for an intended behaviour and possibility of a given behaviour (Mamum, Rahman, Munikrishnan, & Permarupan, 2021). It is necessary to note that TPB contends that intent is a direct antecedent of behavioural performance. TPB stipulates, in principle, that the more acceptable the attitude and subjective value, the higher the perceived behavioural control, the stronger an individual's intent concerning the performance of insurance behaviour (Harrison, 2019; Sung, Yam, Yung, & Zhou, 2011). This theory explains how the insurers' claimsettlement affects the risk attitudes of motor insurance policyholders.

2.2. Conceptual clarifications

2.2.1. Claims Settlement

Claim is seen as a request for paying the delivery of either goods purchased or services provided (DiNapoli, 2013). It is referred to as a demand made by a policyholder on an insurer concerning his/her entitlement under an insurance contract (Ajemunigbohun, Isimoya, & Ipigansi, 2019). Singh (2012) maintains that claims can be properly settled when an insurer provides state-of-the-art claims mechanisms that are in alignment with robust business dynamics, and detailed managerial systems that will enhance the efficiency and efficaciousness of claims settlement processes. Claims settlement has been the pathway to driving an insurer's development in customers' acquisition, retention, product development, and profitability for survival (Capgemini, 2011). It either makes or breaks the policyholders in terms of their experience (Raghuram, 2019). However, insurer who fails to settle claims to the satisfaction of the policyholders would attract less business as it is likely to dissuade continued patronage from such customers (Onesede, 2013). For this reason, it is expected for insurer to create necessary collaboration between its claims department and other operational departments comprising underwriting, marketing, and information technology (Bruggmann, Catlin, Chinczewski, Lorenz, & Prymaka, 2018).

Claims settlement is a functional aspect of the insurance business and is thus noted as the end product of insurance transactions. It is an ideal instrument for measuring the perceived image of an insurance company. Therefore, adequate claims settlement awakes and attracts policyholders to demanding insurance policies of any kind (Afolabi, 2018; Kwanga, 2017; Lawrence, Evans & Richard, 2017; Yadav & Mohania, 2015). Nyce (2007) sees it as one of the functional areas of the insurance business, aside from marketing and underwriting. In furtherance of his submission, the claims settlement process is designed to achieve a fair settlement under the applicable insurance policy provisions. Accordingly, claims settlement that exceeds the promised amount payable under the policy increases insurance costs for all policyholders. Rejda and McNamara (2014) came up with a submission that claims settlement, as a function of insurance, makes provision for several primary objectives. These objectives include verification of loss events, fairness and promptness of claims payment, and self-service assistance to the policyholders.

Market failures or inefficiencies in references to claim practices are in three phases. First is the inadequacy of customers' information with respect to relative quality assessment of claims handling practices of insurance companies. Second is the existence of agency relationship that gives the insurer liberty to control policyholders' affairs but restricted capacity to the policyholder to monitor the insurer's behaviour. Third is the insurer's capacity to act in an opportunistic manner at the time of claim (Feinman, 2014). Feinman (2014) stressed that inefficiency or failure in the insurance market milieu becomes endemic for claims settlement due to factors such as asymmetric information, agency problem, and insurers' risks of opportunism. According to Porrini (2017), insurers oftentimes suffer from information lack regarding the risk characteristics of individual persons in the contract of insurance. This asymmetry encompasses insurance contract in two ways: moral hazard and adverse selection. In the agency relationship, individual performance differences are often ignited by their expectant incentives and access to numerous information (Feinman, 2016). Feinman (2018) states that opportunism can besystematic, intentional or negligent. While the insured opportunism includes misrepresentation of application at the time of loss situation or claims settlement, which further creates a fraudulent act; the insurer's opportunism takes the form of appreciable gains from pre loss attitude which include denial or procrastination of claims settlement, either in whole or part, misrepresentation of drafted policy language, etc.

2.2.2. Risk Attitudes

Risk is defined as a situation where the outcome is uncertain and there exists a possibility of loss. (Arunajatesan&Viswanathan, 2017). According to Egerue (2017), risk is described as the deviation of future outcomes from the expected or predicted values. Risk is an unlikely event with either a positive or negative impact on specific objectives (Rejda& McNamara, 2014). However, attitude is defined as an individual disposition to react with a specific degree of favour or disfavour (Taofeeq & Adeleke, 2019). Therefore, Risk attitude is described as an individual enlightenment towards either avoiding or preferring risk when deciding on how to proceed in the circumstances embedded with the uncertain outcome. (Glanz, Greeke, LaRussa, Stuart, Rintell, Chitmis, & Healy, 2016). Risk attitude functions as an individual perception of risk with the tendency of experiencing an adverse event (Lippi, Barbieri, Piva, & De Bondt, 2018).

2.2.3. Motor Insurance

Motor insurance is a pecuniary tool to safeguarding insurers' risks against motormishaps (Olowokudejo, Aduloju, & Ajemunigbohun, 2020). According to Zerou (2016), it is a contract between the insured and the insurer, in which the insured agrees to pay premium and the insurer, agrees to pay losses as per the policy. It was further simply put as the protection to risk of accident on property (covering accident damage on the motor and theft), liability (covering third-party legal responsibility to others' property damage or bodily injury) and medical coverage and death (takes care of emergency medical expense, cost of funeral or the agreed sum insured life in case of death). According to Falegan (1991) as cited in Onafalujo et al. (2011), motor insurance provides for bodily injury or property destruction to the third-party emerging from the use of vehicles. It is most times categorised in line with vehicle usage embodying private cars, goods carrying vehicles, mechanical plants of specific structure, and agricultural and forestry vehicles (Bassey, 2018; Ngwuta, 2007). More so, earlier submission by Akintayo (2004) stated some characterising procedures connected to motor insurance to include period of insurance, vehicle value, hired vehicles of not less than twelve months, no claim discount, betterment, waivers, policies cancellation, etc.

2.3. Review of Previous Studies

Several surveys have been devoted both in Nigeria and other countries of the world to identify claims handling processes in insurance and how they are in relations to policyholders' perception in motor insurance (e.g. Bortoluzzo, Claro, Caeteno, & Artes, 2011; Gangil & Vishnoi, 2020; Gurung, 2016; Islam & Hossain, 2018; Yusuf & Ajemunigbohun, 2015).

In reference to a study carried out by Jiandong (2016), model for created to explain claim happenings, reporting, and controlling of insurance companies' mechanisms. The study derived a formula that includes the joint distribution and the joint moments for the combination of claims reporting and control procedures such as loss Incurred But Not Reported (IBNR), loss Reported But Not Settled (RBHS), loss Settled (S). A generalised model known as Poisson claim arrival was employed. The study concluded that a relationship between the claim happenings, reporting, and controlling metrics probably affects IBNR, RBNS, and Settled claims' volatilities.

Angima & Mwangi (2017) examined the relationships between underwriting and claims management and the financial performance of property and casualty insurance companies in East Africa. The study adopted descriptive research design. The study employed both primary and secondary data. While questionnaire survey was as a primary source for collection of data from 82 property and casualty firms' members of staff, the secondary data was procured from yearly financial report for the period 2010 to 2014. To analyse the data, a linear regression model was exerted in the research outcome. The study confirmed and established significant relationships between the variables.

Salleh, Kassim, Yazid, & Rashid (2018) embarked on a study aimed at determining the factors influencing consumers' attitudes towards insurance claims fraud. The study was descriptive in its design and employed a questionnaire survey for obtaining data from 210 respondents. In an attempt to decisively analyse the collected data, a multiple regression technique was adopted. The study established the influential effects of moral hazard, economic issues, and perceived fairness on insurance claims fraud. With this result, the study confirmed a positive nexus between consumers' attitude and insurance claim fraud.

Ajemunigbohun, Sogunro, & Oluwaleye (2020) conducted their study on the claims handling process attributes, with respect to the perceptions of motor insurance policyholders in Lagos, Nigeria. The study employed cross-sectional survey design with the sample size of 287 participants among selected motor insurance policyholders in Lagos, Nigeria. Both descriptive statistics and Friedman's rank test were adopted for data analysis. This study established a mean rank test among speedy settlement of claims, transparency of the claims process, easy contact with providers anytime, prompt communication network with providers, staff care for the policyholders in claims handling, and multiple channels to connect with the providers.

Oyetunji, Adepoju, & Oladokun (2021) research was based on the relationship between poor claims settlement and demand for insurance policies in Nigeria. While a structured questionnaire was used to gather information from 115 participants, Pearson's correlation coefficient technique was adopted in the data analysis. The study stressed the importance of prompt and adequate claims settlement in order to attract patronage for insurance policies. In conclusion, the study revealed vital interrelationship between claims settlement and demand for insurance. The study established further that the different cycles of claims procedures are important in attracting more premium income, customers' patronage, market efficiencies, and the like.

2.4. Gap identified

Jiandong (2016) observes that there seem to be a functional framework for claims processing, reporting and handling of insurance companies' mechanisms; with the tendency to enhance quality service provisions and satisfy customers' expectations profitably. For risk attitude, decision makers' analyses have always been affected either as a risk averse, risk neutral, or risk seeking individual (Obayelu, Olowe, & Falaye, 2017; Oladeinde & Oladeinde, 2014). Considering the numbers of existing literature investigated on claims settlement and risk attitude, there seems to be limited numbers of research conducted in relation to insurance studies. With the core importance of these adopted variables, empirical studies among Nigeria's motorists are rare, and then, appear not to have been extensively explored. This is a major gap in Nigeria which calls for intervention and part of which initiate this study. More so, theoretical choice of rational choice was a gap as there seems not to be no existing studies where these selected variables were related (i.e. claims and risk attitude). Furthermore, this study differs from existing studies in Nigeria, by examining claims settlement in relation to risk attitudes among Nigeria's motorists. There is therefore the gap in the relationships that this study seeks to fill by using multinomial logistics regression technique.

3. Methodology

To attain the study objective, a cross-sectional survey research design was adopted. The reason for the adoption of this research design was because it provided the researcher with chances of collecting data from a number of cases within identical time space; and at a single point in time. It further assists for large and representative sample from the population of interest (Kothari & Garg, 2016; Oyeniyi, Abiodun, Obamiro, Moses, & Osibanjo, 2016). Data collection was conducted through survey strategy around selected motorists within the 52 licensing stations of Motor Vehicle Administration Agency in Lagos State, Nigeria. The research instrument employed was structured questionnaire. The instrument was designed to consist of two parts, A and B. While part A comprised of personal profile of participants, part B was designed to contain survey items relating to construct understudied. More so, the participants" views in relation to the research instrument were designed to reflect five Likert-type scale measurements from strongly agree to strongly disagree (Cooper & Shindler, 2014).

The study population consists of the aggregate of registered motorists in Lagos State recorded at 704,828 (Motor Vehicle Administration Agency, 2019). The choice of Lagos State, as a study area, was supported by the fact that the state had the highest volume of motor insurance premium generation and claims settlement in Nigeria (Nigerian Insurers Association, 2019). Quota and convenience sampling method were adopted in the data distribution and collection processes. The research instrument was distributed to all licensing stations in a stratified manner, and collected on the availability and readiness of the selected motorists in all the 52 licensing stations. Since the target population comprised of all registered motorists in Lagos metropolis, sample size was calculated in reference to Taro Yamane's (1967) formula as cited in Ajay and Masuku (2014) to be 399. Out of this, 287 participants' responses were selected to have useful for data analysis (72% response rate).

On the validity of the research instrument, congruent and logical validity were employed. While the former was carried out through measures of construct understudied from well-grounded literature, the latter was structured via the allocation of a set of drafted questionnaire to few selected motorists and some members of academics in the field of insurance and transportation. These experts scrutinized the instrument and came up with reasonable suggestions which assisted the researchers in the survey items on the questionnaire. The Cronbach alpha value of the reliability test of the research instrument for items was 0.832, above the standard required value of 0.7.

The study variables were operationalised and modeled in specific terms towards the study objective as clearly stipulated below:

Model specification

Model 1

$$1a. \qquad \ln\left(\frac{P(Y=RS)}{P(Y=RN)}\right) = a_o + a_1 ICS \tag{1}$$

1b.
$$\ln\left(\frac{p(Y=RA)}{p(Y=RN)}\right) = b_o + b_1 ICS$$
(2)

Likewise, c_0, c, d_0, d_1 are the regression constants

However, the data analytical technique employed for the study was multinomial logistics regression. The technique was employed because it connects with results of polytomous discretevariables whose categorical values were more than two categories. The statistical tool allowed for the identification and comparison of parameter calculations to the response variable's reference category (Asampana, Nantomah, & Tungosiamu, 2017). It is thus seen as an extension of the binomial (dichotomous) model (Senyefia, Adams, & Prah, 2019).

4. Results, Analysis, and Discussion

4.1. Descriptive Analysis of Participants Responses

Table 4.1: Participants' Perceptions of Motor Insurance Policies

| | Response | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Variables | Label | Frequency | Percentage | | | | |
| Do you have motor insurance policy | | | | | | | |
| | Yes | 252 | 87.8 | | | | |
| | No | 35 | 12.2 | | | | |
| Need for claims in the last 12 months | | | | | | | |
| | Yes | 63 | 22.0 | | | | |
| | No | 224 | 78.0 | | | | |
| Access to claims in the last 12 months | | | | | | | |
| | Not at all | 130 | 45.3 | | | | |
| | Once | 109 | 38.0 | | | | |
| | Twice | 26 | 9.1 | | | | |
| | Thrice | 16 | 5.6 | | | | |
| | Four times and | 6 | 2.1 | | | | |
| | above | Ū | | | | | |
| Level of satisfaction towards motor insurance policy | | | | | | | |
| | Very | 22 | 77 | | | | |
| | dissatisfied | 22 | 7.7 | | | | |
| | Dissatisfied | 55 | 19.2 | | | | |
| | Satisfied | 193 | 67.2 | | | | |
| | Very satisfied | 17 | 5.9 | | | | |
| Possibility to stop business with a motor insurance provider | | | | | | | |
| ······ | Very unlikely | 92 | 32.1 | | | | |
| | Unlikely | 125 | 43.6 | | | | |
| | Quite likely | 48 | 16.7 | | | | |
| | Very likely | 22 | 7.7 | | | | |
| Handling and settlement of claims | , , | | | | | | |
| 5 | Very dissatisfied | 27 | 9.4 | | | | |
| | Dissatisfied | 65 | 22.6 | | | | |
| | Satisfied | 170 | 59.2 | | | | |
| | | | 8.7 | | | | |
| Source: Field Survey, 2020 | Very satisfied | 25 | 8.7 | | | | |

Source: Field Survey, 2020

Table 4.1 reveals that large number of the participants representing 87.8 percent confirmed their possession of motor insurance policies. The participants with just 12.2 percent expressed their lack of motor insurance cover. While 78 percent of the respondents expressed their non-request for motor insurance claims in the last 12 months, 22 percent noted their claims notification for motor insurance. More so, 45.3 percent of the respondents admitted not to have access to motor insurance

claims in the last 12 months. Among the other participants, 38 percent claimed to have access once, 9.1 percent claimed twice, 5.6 percent claimed thrice, and 2.1 percent only claimed to have access four times and above. This is an indication that among all the participants surveyed, only 45.3 percent indicated not to enjoy access to motor insurance claims in the last 12 months. Participants' level of satisfaction with respect to motor insurance revealed that while 7.7 percent were very satisfied, 19.2 percent dissatisfied, 67.2 percent satisfied, and 5.9 percent very satisfied. This proves that majority of the participants exhibited high level of satisfaction in motor insurance policies with a total of 73.1 percent responses. Accordingly, the participants expressed the possibility to stop patronising a motor insurance provider with very unlikely recorded at 32.1 percent, unlikely 43.6 percent, quite likely 16.7 percent, and very likely 7.7 percent. Ultimately, the majority of the participants had shown that they are unlikely to stop patronising their current motor insurance provider with an indication of 75.7 percent overall. Conclusively, participants' responses to handling and settlement of claims by their motor insurance providers revealed that while 9.4 percent were very satisfied, 22.6 percent dissatisfied, 59.2 percent satisfied, and 8.7 percent very satisfied. This proves that majority of the participants expressed high level of satisfaction in the handling and settlement of their claims by motor insurance providers with a total of 67.9 percent responses.

| | | So | _ | | | | |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Variables | SD | D | U | Α | SA | Mean | Std Dev. |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | Dev. |
| I buy motor insurance policy due to prompt claims settlement. | 12.2 | 28.6 | 15.7 | 30.0 | 13.6 | 3.04 | 1.273 |
| I buy motor insurance policy because I have high level trust in claims settlement process. | 11.1 | 27.5 | 19.9 | 34.1 | 7.3 | 2.99 | 1.166 |
| I buy motor insurance policy due to efficiency in the claims settlement process. | 6.6 | 27.9 | 27.2 | 30.3 | 8.0 | 3.05 | 1.081 |
| I buy motor insurance because adequate claims settlement is guaranteed. | 9.4 | 28.6 | 21.6 | 33.8 | 6.6 | 3.00 | 1.127 |
| I buy motor insurance policy because appropriate claims settlement method is always provided (such as repairs, cash, replacement) | 11.5 | 21.3 | 18.8 | 38.7 | 9.8 | 3.14 | 1.198 |

Table 4.2: Claims settlement

Source: Field Survey, 2020

In Table 4.2 (and Fig 4.1), the claims settlement items for which data were sought from the entire respondents were promptness, high level of trust, efficiency, adequacy, and method appropriateness in claims settlement. The respondents responded to the various items, wherein 43% expressed their agreement in terms of prompt claims settlement, 16% indifferent, and 41% flaunted their disagreement. For high-level trust in claims settlement, while respondents expressed 41% in support, 39% were in disagreement with it. Then, 20% were indecisive. As for the efficiency of claims settlement, 38% of the entire respondents displayed their agreement, 27% were indecisive, and 35% disagreed. For adequacy of claims settlement, 40% agreed, 22% undecided, and 38% expressed their displeasure. For the appropriate claims settlement method, 48% agreed, 33% disagreed, and 19% indifferent. In all, there seems to be an indication that while claims settlement is slightly prompt, respondents' trust in claims settlement is on the rise. Thus, the results further proved that efficiency in claims settlement rises by 3%. The adequacy of claims settlement also indicated a 2% increase, while the appropriateness of the claims settlement method indicated 15%.





4.2. Test of Hypothesis

 $H_{\text{o}}\text{:}Claims$ settlements have no significant effect on the risk attitudes of motor insurance policyholders

| Pseudo R-Square | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|---|-----|------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| Cox and Snell Nagelkerke McFadden | | | | | | | .027 .035 .018 | | | |
| Likelihood Ratio Tests | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Model Fitting Criteria | | | | | Likelihood Ratio Tests | | | | |
| Effect | -2 Log Likelihood of Reduced Model | | of | Chi | -Sq | uare | Df | Sig. | | |
| Intercept | 121.892 | | | | .700 | - | 2 | .705 | | |
| Claims Settlement | 129.058 | | _ | | 7.86 | | 2 | .020 | | |
| Parameter Estimates | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 95% Confidence Interval for Exp(B) | | | | | | | |
| Risk Attitude Constructs ^a | В | Std. Error | Wa | ald | Df | Sig. | Exp(B) | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | |
| Risk Intercept | 088 | .861 | .0 | 11 | 1 | .918 | | | | |
| Averse ClaimsSettlement | 469 | .311 | 2.2 | 276 | 1 | .311 | .626 | .340 | 1.150 | |
| Risk Intercept | .355 | .492 | .5 | 16 | 1 | .471 | | | | |
| Seeking Claims Settlement | .249 | .158 | 2.4 | 79 | 1 | .115 | 1.283 | .941 | 1.750 | |
| a. The reference category is: Risk Neutral. | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4.3. Multinomial Regression Result for Claims Settlement vs. Risk Attitude

Source: Researcher's Computation, 2020

The R-squared statistic (Cox & Snell and Nagelkerke and McFadden R-Square), as explained by the fitted model, implies that the claims settlement variations explain about 1.8% to 3.5% of the total variation in the measure of risk attitude. As regards the variables in the parameter estimate, a significant value improves the model and indicates a positive effect. The findings show that claims settlement insignificantly predicted (= -0.469, *SE* = 0.311, *p* > 0.05) risk-averse and also insignificantly predicted (= 0.249, *SE* = 0.158, *p* > 0.05) risk-seeking. The likelihood ratio tests of the multinomial regression indicate that there is a significant association between the predictor variable and the response variable. As a result, it can be concluded that claims settlements have a significant effect on motorists' risk attitudes in Lagos, Nigeria.

4.3. Discussion of Findings

From the empirical analysis conducted and the test of hypotheses carried out, this study has been able to address the research objective raised. The result

shows that claims settlements have significant and positive relationships with the risk attitudes of motor insurance policyholders in Nigeria, thereby invalidate the null hypothesis and validate the alternate hypothesis. The result further justifies that claims settlements of motorists in Nigeria bring to bear their risk-seeking nature. This result, thus, proves that motorists in Nigeria are not behaviourally conscious of their insurance demand hence they are inversely and unevenly risk-averse. This study further confirms that they do not take necessary scientific steps to procure motor insurance policies that can serve as shock absorbers in the event of motor accident loss. This finding affirmed Sumaila's (2013) claim that drivers' behavioural understanding is essential and significant in reducing the influence of human factors in road traffic crashes through appropriate risk control strategies. This result corroborates the findings of Brown, Montalva, Thomas, & Velasquez (2017) but of divergent view to that of Kavitha, Latha, & Jamuna (2012). Brown et al. (2017) stated that policyholders' risk attitudes have significant effects over major choices of their life and thus play a substantial influence on their social, economic, health and welfare development. In contrary to the findings of hypothetical statement one. Kavitha et al. (2012) had admitted the significance of consumers' attitude towards general insurance products (motor insurance inclusive) as positive in terms of the increasing risk aversion of the policyholders toward their claims settlement methodology.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

The findings have shown the significance of claims settlements on motorists' risk attitudes. The findings of the study, if adopted will lead to effective claims settlement and reasonable risk attitudes from motor insurance policyholders. The findings further affirmed that claims settlement was significant in attracting reasonable risk attitudes from policyholders. Thus, the study's outcome may be considered useful by policymakers and motor insurance practitioners in designing their strategies for improving claims settlement mechanisms among motorists in Nigeria. Therefore, embracing the claims settlement outcome in relation to motorists' risk attitudes provided for in this study will assist in redeeming policyholders' perceptions for motor insurance policies, as this will imbibe insurer's trust, confidence, honesty, reliability and competence in the heart of the insuring public.

To properly justify the findings of this study, the study recommended that claims settlement mechanisms should be strategically designed to imbibe mutually related ambiance between policyholders and insurers. Motor insurance providers should put in place claim settlement procedure that will boost the confidence level of the motoring communities. Government should rejuvenate and empower motor insurance public complaint commission to address issues relating to motor insurance claims of either party in the motor insurance contract. Motor insurance regulators should take proactive steps in monitoring the contractual agreement towards motor insurance is addressed. Motor insurance providers should corroborate efforts with other stakeholders to see how they can proffer solutions that can properly determine insurance claims function, insurance cost-effectiveness, and enhance market efficiency.

This study contributes to knowledge in that it sensitizes the claims managers to the need to ensure that claims settlement is taken seriously and handled passionately when dealing with motor insurance policyholders. This study benefits the motor insuring communities in terms of increase in confidence level, effective claims delivery, and the likes. The study suggests that further research works should focus attention on nexus between claims settlements and premium income of the motor insurance in Nigeria. Lastly, future research work could direct attention at insurance fraud issues emanating from insurance claims settlement manual.

References

- Abdalla, I.M., &Enaji, M. (2014). Effect of motor insurance premium on driver behaviour and road safety. *Journal of Ergonomics*, 3 (7), 1-7.
- Afolabi, T.S. (2018). Effect of claims payments on profitability in the Nigerian insurance industry. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal, 5 (4), 94-101.
- Ajemunigbohun, S.S. (2018). Policyholder's experience of claims settlement methodologies in motor insurance business in Nigeria. Annals of "Dunarea de Jos" University of Galati, 23(2), 5-11.
- Ajemunigbohun, S.S., Isimoya, O.A., & Ipigansi, P.M. (2019). Insurance claims fraud in homeowner's insurance: Empirical evidence from the Nigerian Insurance Industry. *FactaUniversitatis Series: Economics and Organization*, 16 (1), 103-116.
- Ajemunigbohun, S.S., Sogunro, A.B., & Oluwaleye, T.O. (2020). Claims handling process attributes: Perceptions of motor insurance policyholders in Lagos, Nigeria. *Journal of Corporate Governance, Insurance, and Risk Management*, 9 (1), 21 – 32.
- Ajzen, I. (2011). The theory of planned behaviour: reactions and reflections. *Psychology and Health,* 26 (9), 1113-1127.
- Akintayo, L.A. (2004). *Introduction to general insurance underwriting.* 2nd ed. Lagos: CSS Bookshops Limited.
- Angima, C.B., & Mwangi, M. (2017). Effects of underwriting and claims management on performance of property and casualty insurance companies in East Africa. *European Scientific Journal, 13* (13), 358-373.
- Arunajatesan, S. &Viswanathan, T.R. (2017). Risk management and insurance: Concepts and practices of life and general insurance. 2nd ed. India: Laxmi Publication Pvt Limited.
- Asampana, G., Nantomah, K.K., & Tungosiamu, E.A. (2017). Multinomial logistic regression analysis of the determinants of students' academic performance in mathematics at basic education certificate examination. *Higher Education Researcher*, 2 (1), 22-26.
- Ayuba, B., Isyaka, M.S., & Azuoonwu, A.G. (2020). Impact of claims settlement on performance of general insurance businesses: Evidence from Federal Capital Territory, Abuja. *International Journal of Management Science Research*, 4 (1), 329-347.
- Bassey, F. (2018). Element of motor insurance cover. A book chapter in Anatomy of finance, a publication of the Department of Banking and Finance, University of Uyo, Nigeria
- Bortoluzzo, A., Claro, D.P., Caeteno, M.A., & Artes, R. (2011). Estimating total claim size in auto insurance industry: A comparison between Tweedie and zero-adjusted inverse Gaussian distribution. *Brazilian Administration Review*, 8 (1), 37-47.

- Botha, A. (2017). Financial behaviours of customers as determinants for risk aversion and insurance consumption in South Africa. Gordon Institute of Business Science, University of Pretoria.
- Brahmana, R., Brahmana, R., & Memarista, G. (2018). Planned behaviour in purchasing health insurance. *The South East Asian Journal of Management*, *12* (1), 53 64.
- Brown, R., Montalva, V., Thomas, D., & Velasquez, A. (2017). Impact of violent crime of risk aversion: Evidence from the Mexican drug war. NBER Working Paper Series, No. 23181, 1-25
- Bruggmann, P., Catlin, T., Chinzewski, J., Lorenz, J., & Prymaka, S. (2018). Claims in the digital age: How insurers can get started. McKinsey and Company Financial Service, April.
- Bulbul, S.E. & Baykal, X.B. (2016). Optimal bonus-malus system design in motor third-party liability insurance in Turkey: Negative binomial model. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 8(8), 205-210.
- Capgemini (2011). Claims transformation: Enhancing brand value by delivery on customer commitments, capturing efficiency gains, and optimizing indemnity expenditure. USA: Computer Science Corporation.
- Cooper, D.R. & Schindler, P.S. (2014). *Business research methods*. 12th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- DiNapoli, T.P. (2013). Improving the effectiveness of your claims auditing process. Office of the New York State Comptroller. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.osc.state.ny.us</u>.
- Egerue, P.E. (2017). *Basic insurance for secondary and colleges.* Lagos: Mbeyi & Associates (Nigeria) Limited.
- Ellis, R. (1983). *Insurance of transportation*. Cambridge: The Burlington Press (Cambridge) Limited.
- Feinman, J.M. (2014). *The regulation of insurance claim practices.* Paper presented at a symposium on the relationship between insurance and legal regulation: Normative, theoretical, and empirical perspectives, held at the University of California, Irvine School of Law, September.
- Feinman, J.M. (2016). Insurance fraud, agency and opportunism: False swearing in insurance claims. Paper presented at insurance fraud symposium, University of Southampton School of Law. Retrieved from <u>https://doi.10.7282/T3JW8H6z</u>.
- Feinman, J.M. (2018). Contract and claim in insurance law. *Connecticut Insurance Law Journal*, *25* (1), 159-196.
- Gage, T., Bishop, R., & Morris, J. (2015). The increasing importance of vehiclebased risk assessment for the vehicle insurance industry. *Minnesota Journal of Law, Science & Technology, 16* (2), 771-785.
- Gangil, R. &Vishnoi, S. (2020). Customer perception towards general insurance: A factor analysis approach. *Journal of Management Research and Analysis*, 7 (1), 15-20.
- Glanz, B.I., Greeke, E., LaRussa, A., Stuart, F., Rintell, D.J., Chitmis, T., & Healy, B.C. (2016). Risk attitudes and risk perceptions in individual with multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal-Experimental, Translational and Clinical*, 2, 1-11
- Gurung, J.B. (2016). Insured's perception towards insurance services in Pokhara. *Repositioning*, *1*(1), 23-36.
- Harrison, G.W., & Ng, J.M. (2019). Behavioural insurance and economic theory: A literature review. *Risk Management and Insurance Review, 22,*133 182.

- Hartwig, R.P., Lynch, J., & Weisbart, S. (2016). Personal automobile insurance: More accidents, larger claims drive cost higher. New York: Insurance Information Institute.
- Ibiwoye, A., Adeleke, I.A., & Aduloju, A.S. (2011). Quest for optimal bonus-malus in automobile insurance in developing economics: An actuarial perspective. *International Business Research*, 4 (4), 74-83.
- Isimoya, O.A., & Ajemunigbohun, S.S. (2019). Claims settlement options and policyholders' preferences for motor insurance policies in Lagos, Nigeria. LASU Journal of Social Sciences, 13 (1), 125-136
- Islam, A. & Hossain, S. (2018). Insured's perception on determinants of insurance claim recovery process: Are these illusions or realities for the insurers in Bangladesh? *International Journal on Customer Relations*, 6 (2), 1-8.
- Jayasudha, S.M. (2015). Analysis of the policyholders' perception in claim and settlement procedures in motor insurance business in Erode district. *HUSS: International Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences*, 2 (2), 74-79.
- Jiandong, R. (2016). Analysis of insurance claims settlement process with Markovian Arrival Processes. *Risks*, *4* (6), 1-10.
- Jiandong, R. (2016). Analysis of insurance claims settlement process with Markovian Arrival Processes. *Risks*, *4* (6), 1-10.
- Kautonen, T., Van Gelderen, M., & Tornikoski, E. T. (2013). Predicting entrepreneurial behaviour: a test of the theory of planned behaviour. *Applied Economics*, 45 (6), 697–707.
- Kavitha, T., Latha, A., &Jamuna, S. (2012). Customers' attitude towards general insurance – A factor analyses approach. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 3 (1), 30-36.
- Kothari, C., & Garg, G. (2016). *Research methodology: Methods and techniques*. 3rd ed. New Delhi: New Age International (P) Limited.
- Kwanga, C.F. (2017). Claims settlement in insurance contracts from a customer protection perspective in Cameroon. *Juridical Tribune*, 7 (special issue), 37-53.
- Lawrence, B.A., Evans, T., & Richard, O. (2017). Analysis of factors affecting outstanding claims. Provision of nonlife insurance firms in Ghana. *IOSR Journal* of Economics and Finance, 8 (4), 26-34.
- Lippi, A., Barbieri, L., Piva, M., & De Bondt, W. (2018). Time varying risk behaviour and prior investment outcomes: Evidence from Italy. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 13 (5), 471-483.
- Magri, A., Farrugia, A., Valletta, F., & Grima, S. (2019). An analysis of the risk factors determining motor insurance premium in a Small Island State: The case of Malta. *International Journal of Finance, Insurance and Risk Management*, 9 (1/2), 63-85
- Mai, T.H., Nguyen, T.C., Vu, L.L., Bui, V.H., Nguyen, T.T., & Do, D.J. (2020). A study ion behaviourof purchasing life insurance in Vietnam. *Management Science Letters*, 10, 1693 – 1700.
- Mamum, A.A., Rahman, M.K., Munikrushnan, U.T., & Permarupan, P.Y. (2021). Predicting the intention and purchase of health insurance among Malaysian working adults. Sage Open, 10, 1-18.
- Motor Vehicle Administration Agency (MVAA, 2019). *Motor vehicle statistics*. Ikeja: Planning, Research & Statistics Unit.

- Namukaka, J., Ssekakubo, J., & Bagenda, B. (2017). Assessment of the level of awareness and perception of motor third-party insurance in Kampala, Uganda. *The Journal of Risk Management and Insurance*, 21 (1), 22-40.
- Ngwuta, O. (2007). *Modern insurance business management.* Lagos: Nigerian Insurers Association.
- Nigerian Insurers Association (2019). *Nigeria Insurance Digest*. Lagos: Nigeria's Insurer Association.
- Nyce, C.M. (2007). *Foundations of risk management and insurance*. 2nd ed. Pennsylvania: American Institute for Chartered Property Casualty.
- Obayelu, O.A., Olowe, O.O., & Faleye, T.G. (2017). Do social network have effects on the risk attitude of commercial poultry farmers? Evidence from Southwest, Nigeria. *Rural Sustainability Research*, 38 (333), 2 – 13.
- Oladeinde, M.H., & Oladeinde, C.A. (2014). An investigation into the decision makers' risk attitude index ranking technique for fuzzy critical path analysis. *Nigerian Journal of Technology*, *33* (3), 345 360.
- Olowokudejo, F.F., Aduloju, A.S., & Ajemunigbohun, S.S. (2020). Risk attitude and policyholders' perception: Evidence from third-party liability motor insurance policyholders in Lagos, Nigeria. *LASU Journal of Management Sciences, 14* (1), 24-37.
- Onafalujo, A.K., Abass, O.A., &Dansu, S.F. (2011). Effects of risk perception on the demand for insurance: Implications on the Nigerian road users. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Economics and Management Sciences*, 2 (4), 285-290.
- Onesede, N.A. (2013). *Insurance claims handling process*.United Kingdom: Chartered Insurance Institute Learning Solution.
- Oyeniyi, O.J., Abiodun, J.A., Obamiro, K.J., Moses, C.L., & Osibanjo, O.A. (2016). Research methodology with simplified step-by-step application of SPSS package. Lagos: Pumark Nigeria Limited.
- Oyetunji, O.I.O., Adepoju, J.A., & Oladokun, R.A. (2021). Poor claims settlement and demand for insurance policies in Nigeria. *Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Science*, 9 (8), 11-18.
- Porrini, D. (2017). Insurance market failures. In A. Marciano, & G.B. Ramello (eds.). *Encyclopedia of law and economic*, Springer Science+Business Media LLC.
- Raghuram, A. (2019). Leveraging behavioural science in insurance: A systematic review. Behavioural and Decision Sciences Program, University of Pennysylvania.
- Rejda, G.E., & McNamara, M.J. (2014). *Principles of risk management and insurance.* 12th ed. USA: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Salleh, F., Kassim, D.N.H., Yazid, A.S., & Rashid, N. (2018). Consumer behaviour and insurance claim fraud in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in International Journal of Academic Research in Business & Social Sciences*, 8 (12), 568-598.
- Segovia-Vargas, M., Camacho-Minano, M., & Pascual-Ezama, D. (2013). Risk factor selection in automobile insurance policies: A way to improve the bottom line of insurance companies. *Review of Business Management*, 17 (57), 1228-1245.
- Senyefia, A., Adams, F.H., & Prah, K.A. (2019). Survival and multinomial logistics regression in analysis of Sekondi-Takoradi district level National health insurance data in Ghana. *American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 9 (2), 109-114.
 Singh, V. (2012). Clobal transfer in non-life insurance: Cloims, USA: Congerning.
- Singh, V. (2012). Global trends in non-life insurance: Claims. USA: Capgemini.

- Sumaila, A. F. (2013). Road crashes trends and safety management in Nigeria. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning*, 6 (3), 53-62.
- Sung, K.C.J., Yam, S.C.P., Yung, S.P., & Zhou, J.H. (2011). Behavioural optimal insurance. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 49, 418 428.
- Taofeeq, D.M., & Adeleke, A.O. (2019). Factors influencing contractors risk attitude in the Malaysian construction industry. *Journal of Construction Business and Management*, 3(2), 59-67.
- Yadav, R.K., & Mohania, S. (2015). Claims settlement process of life insurance services- A case study of ICICI Prudential Life Insurance Company. *International Letters of Social and Humanistic Sciences*, 35, 26-32.
- Yusuf, O.T. & Ajemunigbohun, S.S. (2015). Effectiveness, efficiency, and promptness of claims handling process in the Nigerian Insurance Industry. *European Journal* of Business and Economics, 10 (2), 6-10.
- Zerou, T. (2016). The effect of opportunity and challenges on motor insurance: the case of Ethiopia Insurance Corporation. Dissertation submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, ST. Mary's University, for the award of Master's Degree in Business Administration.
- Zhang, P, & Cain, K.W. (2017). Reassessing the link between risk aversion and entrepreneurial intention: The mediating role of the determinants of planned behaviour. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research*, 23 (5), 793 – 811.