

ROLVAL UNIVERSITY

Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai **NEGOTIA** 

## Reviewers' Guidelines for Studia UBB. Negotia papers

Please asses the paper/ papers sent to you for evaluation using the following form:

	Points/ Note
The title, abstract and paper content are correlated	
The abstract represents an accurate briefing of the paper content and (if considered necessary) of the conclusions	
The conclusions are in the same line with the themes/ problems announced within the title, abstract and within the body of the paper	
The paper includes a good literature review in the researched field	
The papers' contribution to the business administration and business economics research field is important and/ or original through the themes/ problems discussed within the paper	
The information used within the paper comes from reliable sources	
The presentation of the research method is accurate	
The use of relevant and/or recent studies within the references is recommended	
The language used in writing the paper is at an academic level, without grammar mistakes (as much as possible)	
Average note/ point (simple arithmetic mean)	
ervations:	
	<ul> <li>paper content and (if considered necessary) of the conclusions</li> <li>The conclusions are in the same line with the themes/ problems announced within the title, abstract and within the body of the paper</li> <li>The paper includes a good literature review in the researched field</li> <li>The papers' contribution to the business administration and business economics research field is important and/ or original through the themes/ problems discussed within the paper</li> <li>The information used within the paper comes from reliable sources</li> <li>The presentation of the research method is accurate</li> <li>The use of relevant and/or recent studies within the references is recommended</li> <li>The language used in writing the paper is at an academic level, without grammar mistakes (as</li> </ul>

Please give a note between 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest) for every of the 9 aspects indicated to be assessed (breaks from 0.25 points can be used: like 3.75).

If you consider that more detailed observations are necessary, mark with an x the appropriate box and write details below (no more than 3 pages).

The paper will be evaluated as follow:

Level of acceptance	Average note/ points
Accepted as submitted	4.01 to 5
Accepted with minor alterations	3.01 to 4
Accepted but only after performing important alterations indicated by reviewer	2.01 to 3
Rejected	1 to 2

Name

Date