

On a second order p-Laplacian impulsive boundary value problem on the half-line

Meriem Djibaoui

Abstract. In this article, we shall establish the existence of weak solutions for a p-Laplacian impulsive differential equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the half-line by using Browder theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 34B37, 47H05, 74H25, 34B40.

Keywords: Monotone operator, Browder theorem, p-Laplacian, impulsive BVPs, uniqueness, monotone theory, half-line.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following second-order p-Laplacian impulsive differential equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the half-line

$$\begin{cases} -(\rho(x)|u'|^{p-2}u')' + |u|^{p-2}u = f(x, u), & x \neq x_j, \text{ a.e. } x \geq 0, \\ \Delta(\rho(x_j)|u'(x_j)|^{p-2}u'(x_j)) = g(x_j)I_j(u(x_j)), & j \in \mathbb{N}^*, \\ u(0) = u(\infty) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $p > 1$, $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies $\rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in L^1[0, \infty)$ and

$$M_0 = \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right) dx \right) < \infty.$$

The functions $f \in C([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $I_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are assumed to be continuous with $\sum_{j=1}^\infty g(x_j) < \infty$, $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_j < \dots < x_m \rightarrow \infty$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, are the impulse points, and

$$\Delta(\rho(x_j)|u'(x_j)|^{p-2}u'(x_j)) = \rho(x_j^+)|u'(x_j^+)|^{p-2}u'(x_j^+) - \rho(x_j^-)|u'(x_j^-)|^{p-2}u'(x_j^-),$$

Received 13 December 2024; Accepted 02 July 2025.

© Studia UBB MATHEMATICA. Published by Babeş-Bolyai University

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

such that $u'(x_j^\pm) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_j^\pm} u'(x)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Recently, there is increasing interest in the existence and multiplicity of solutions for several types of differential equations with a p -Laplacian operator by applying variational methods and critical point theory. Meanwhile, some people begin to study p -Laplacian differential equations with impulsive effects, for example, see [1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9] and the references therein.

Motivated by the works cited above, in this paper, we shall discuss the existence of solutions for problem (1.1) on the half-line by adopting Browder theorem. The results obtained here improve some existing results in the literature.

2. Variational structure

Let define the following reflexive Banach space

$$X = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}(0, \infty) : u(0) = u(\infty) = 0, \quad \rho^{\frac{1}{p}} u' \in L^p(0, \infty) \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) |u'(x)|^p dx + \int_0^{+\infty} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

or the equivalent norm

$$\|u\|_X = \|\rho^{\frac{1}{p}} u'\|_p + \|u\|_p.$$

Also consider the space

$$C_0[0, +\infty) = \left\{ u \in C([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [0, +\infty)} |u(x)|.$$

In what follows, we shall convert the problem (1.1) into an integral equation. Multiply the two sides of the equality

$$-(\rho(x)|u'|^{p-2}u')' + |u|^{p-2}u = f(x, u),$$

by $v \in X$ and integrate from 0 to ∞ , to obtain,

$$-\int_0^{+\infty} (\rho(x)|u'(x)|^{p-2}u'(x))'v(x)dx + \int_0^{+\infty} |u(x)|^{p-2}u(x)v(x)dx = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x, u(x))v(x)dx.$$

Let consider the first term

$$-\int_0^{+\infty} (\rho(x)|u'(x)|^{p-2}u'(x))'v(x)dx = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{x_j^+}^{x_{j+1}^-} -(\rho(x)|u'(x)|^{p-2}u'(x))'v(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) |u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x) v'(x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\rho(x_j^+) |u'(x_j^+)|^{p-2} u'(x_j^+) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \rho(x_j^-) |u'(x_j^-)|^{p-2} u'(x_j^-) \right] v(x_j) \\
 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) |u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x) v'(x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta(\rho(x_j) |u'(x_j)|^{p-2} u'(x_j)) v(x_j) \\
 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) |u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x) v'(x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) I_j(u(x_j)) v(x_j),
 \end{aligned}$$

and then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) |u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x) v'(x) dx + \int_0^{+\infty} |u(x)|^{p-2} u(x) v(x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) I_j(u(x_j)) v(x_j) \\
 = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

This leads us to introduce the following concept for the solution for (1.1).

Definition 2.1. We say that a function $u \in X$ is a weak solution of the impulsive problem (1.1) if u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) |u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x) v'(x) dx + \int_0^{+\infty} |u(x)|^{p-2} u(x) v(x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) I_j(u(x_j)) v(x_j) \\
 - \int_0^{+\infty} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the previous spaces, we have the following vital embeddings.

Lemma 2.2. Let $u \in X$. Then

$$\|u\|_p^p \leq M_0 \|u\|^p, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$M_0 = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_x^{\infty} \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right) dx.$$

Proof. For $u \in X$, we find

$$|u(x)| = \left| \int_x^{\infty} u'(s) ds \right| = \left| \int_x^{\infty} \rho^{\frac{1}{p}}(s) u'(s) \rho^{-\frac{1}{p}}(s) ds \right|.$$

Then, by the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)|^p &\leq \left(\int_x^\infty \rho(s) |u'(s)|^p ds \right) \left(\int_x^\infty \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right) \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \rho(s) |u'(s)|^p ds \right) \left(\int_x^\infty \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^\infty |u(x)|^p dx \leq \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right) dx \right) \left(\int_0^\infty \rho(s) |u'(s)|^p ds \right).$$

As a result we obtain (2.1). \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $u \in X$. Then*

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq M \|u\|,$$

where $M = \|\rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\|_1^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$.

Proof. For $u \in X$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &= \left| \int_0^x u'(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^x \rho^{-\frac{1}{p}}(s) \rho^{\frac{1}{p}}(s) |u'(s)| ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty \rho(s) |u'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \|\rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\|_1^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|u\|_\infty \leq M \|u\|$. \square

To prove that X embeds compactly in $C_0[0, +\infty)$ we need the following Corduneanu compactness criterion.

Lemma 2.4. [5] *Let $D \subset C_0([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ be a bounded set. Then D is relatively compact if the following conditions hold:*

(a) *D is equicontinuous on any compact sub-interval of \mathbb{R}^+ , i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall J \subset [0, +\infty) \text{ compact}, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x_1, x_2 \in J : \\ |x_1 - x_2| < \delta \implies |u(x_1) - u(x_2)| \leq \varepsilon, \forall u \in D; \end{aligned}$$

(b) *D is equiconvergent at $+\infty$ i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists T = T(\varepsilon) > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \forall x : x \geq T(\varepsilon) \implies |u(x) - u(+\infty)| \leq \varepsilon, \forall u \in D. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5. *The embedding $X \hookrightarrow C_0[0, \infty)$ is compact.*

Proof. Let $D \subset X$ be a bounded set. Then, D is bounded in $C_0[0, \infty)$ by Lemma 2.3. Let $R > 0$ be such that $\|u\| \leq R$ for all $u \in D$. We will apply Lemma 2.4.

(a) D is equicontinuous on every compact interval of $[0, +\infty)$. Let $u \in D$ and $x_1, x_2 \in J \subset [0, +\infty)$ where J is a compact sub-interval. Using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x_1) - u(x_2)| &= \left| \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u'(s) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \rho^{-\frac{1}{p}}(s) \rho^{\frac{1}{p}}(s) u'(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \rho(s) |u'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u\| \leq R \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $|x_1 - x_2| \rightarrow 0$.

(b) D is equiconvergent at $+\infty$. For $x \in [0, +\infty)$ and $u \in D$, using the fact that $u(\infty) = 0$ and by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u(\infty)| &= |u(x)| \\ &= \left| \int_x^\infty u'(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_x^\infty \rho^{\frac{1}{p}}(s) |u'(s)| ds \right) \left(\int_x^\infty \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_x^\infty \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u\| \\ &\leq R \left(\int_x^\infty \rho^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Finally, we present the Browder Theorem which will be needed in our argument.

Definition 2.6. [6] Let X be a reflexive real Banach space and X^* its dual. The operator $\mathcal{L} : X \rightarrow X^*$ is called to be demicontinuous if \mathcal{L} maps strongly convergent sequences in X to weakly convergent sequences in X^* .

Lemma 2.7 (Browder theorem). [3], [6] Let X be a reflexive real Banach space. Moreover, Let $\mathcal{L} : X \rightarrow X^*$ be an operator satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) \mathcal{L} is bounded and demicontinuous;
- (ii) \mathcal{L} is coercive, that is, $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \mathcal{L}(u), u \rangle}{\|u\|} = +\infty$;
- (iii) \mathcal{L} is monotone on the space X ; that is; for all $u, v \in X$; one has

$$\langle \mathcal{L}(u) - \mathcal{L}(v), u - v \rangle \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Then the equation $\mathcal{L}(u) = f^*$ has at least one solution $u \in X$ for every $f^* \in X^*$.
If, moreover, the inequality (2.2) is strict for all $u, v \in X$, $u \neq v$, then the equation $\mathcal{L}(u) = f^*$ has precisely one solution $u \in X$ for all $f^* \in X^*$.

3. Results

Suppose the following hypotheses hold:

- (H1) The function $f(x, u)$ is decreasing about u , uniformly in $x \in [0, \infty)$; and $I_j(u)$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}^*$) are increased functions with u .
(H2) There exist $\alpha_j, \beta_j > 0$ and $\gamma \in [1, p)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j g(x_j) < \infty$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j g(x_j) < \infty$, such that

$$|I_j(u)| \leq \alpha_j + \beta_j |u|^{\gamma-1}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

- (H3) There exist positive functions $c_1, c_2 \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}[0, \infty)$ and a constant $\mu \in (0, p-1)$ such that

$$|f(x, u)| \leq c_1(x) + c_2(x)|u|^{\mu}, \quad \forall (x, u) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Let \mathcal{L} be the operator defined from X into X^* by

$$\langle \mathcal{L}(u), v \rangle = \langle L_1(u), v \rangle + \langle L_2(u), v \rangle - \langle L_3(u), v \rangle, \quad \forall u, v \in X,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_1(u), v \rangle &= \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) |u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x) v'(x) dx + \int_0^{+\infty} |u(x)|^{p-2} u(x) v(x) dx, \\ \langle L_2(u), v \rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) I_j(u(x_j)) v(x_j), \\ \langle L_3(u), v \rangle &= \int_0^{+\infty} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We search for a weak solution of problem (1.1) which is a solution for the operator equation $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold. Then (1.1) has a unique weak solution.

Proof. The proof consists of four steps:

Claim 1. \mathcal{L} is bounded and demicontinuous.

It is sufficient to show that the operators L_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are bounded and continuous. Firstly, we prove that \mathcal{L} is bounded.

Using Hölder inequality, together with the following result

$$\forall a, b, c, d > 0 \quad \forall \beta \in (0, 1) : \quad (a + b)^{\beta} (c + d)^{1-\beta} \geq a^{\beta} c^{1-\beta} + b^{\beta} d^{1-\beta},$$

we obtain for all $u, v \in X$, (see [7]),

$$|\langle L_1(u), v \rangle| = \left| \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) |u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x) v'(x) dx + \int_0^{+\infty} |u(x)|^{p-2} u(x) v(x) dx \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) |u'(x)|^p dx + \int_0^{+\infty} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
 &\times \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) |v'(x)|^p dx + \int_0^{+\infty} |v(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \|u\|^{p-1} \|v\| \\
 &< \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

as a result, L_1 is bounded.

Now, we prove the boundedness of L_2 and L_3 respectively. Using Lemma 2.3 and (H2), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle L_2(u), v \rangle| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) I_j(u(x_j)) v(x_j) \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) |I_j(u(x_j))| |v(x_j)| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) (\alpha_j + \beta_j |u(x_j)|^{\gamma-1}) |v(x_j)| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_j g(x_j) + \beta_j g(x_j) \|u\|_{\infty}^{\gamma-1}) \|v\|_{\infty} \\
 &\leq M \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j g(x_j) + M^{\gamma-1} \|u\|^{\gamma-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j g(x_j) \right) \|v\| \\
 &< \infty, \quad \forall u, v \in X,
 \end{aligned}$$

that implies L_2 is bounded.

From the condition (H3), we get

$$|\langle L_3(u), v \rangle| = \left| \int_0^{+\infty} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx \right| \leq \int_0^{+\infty} (c_1(x) + c_2(x) |u(x)|^{\mu}) |v(x)| dx,$$

by the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we arrive immediately at

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle L_3(u), v \rangle| &\leq \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |c_1(x)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |v(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \|u\|_{\infty}^{\mu} \\
 &\quad \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |c_2(x)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |v(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \|c_1\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|v\|_p + \|u\|_{\infty}^{\mu} \|c_2\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|v\|_p \\
 &\leq M_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\|c_1\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} + M^{\mu} \|c_2\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|u\|^{\mu} \right) \|v\| \\
 &< \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

as a consequence, L_3 is bounded. We deduce that \mathcal{L} is a bounded operator.

Secondly, we prove that \mathcal{L} is demicontinuous.

For $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle L_1(u_n) - L_1(u), u_n - u \rangle| &\leq \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) \left(|u'_n(x)|^{p-2} u'_n(x) - |u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x) \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{+\infty} \left(|u_n(x)|^{p-2} u_n(x) - |u(x)|^{p-2} u(x) \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u_n - u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\| = 0$, the last integral tends to zero. We see that L_1 is continuous.

To show the continuity of L_2 , we prove that L_2 is strongly continuous, that is, if $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X then $L_2(u_n) \rightarrow L_2(u)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Assume $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X , Lemma 2.5 guarantees that (u_n) converges uniformly to u on $[0, \infty)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since I_j are continuous, then

$$I_j(u_n(x_j)) \rightarrow I_j(u(x_j)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

moreover, from (H2) we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) I_j(u_n(x_j)) < \infty,$$

by applying Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) I_j(u_n(x_j)) \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) I_j(u(x_j)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

consequently,

$$|\langle L_2(u_n) - L_2(u) \rangle| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

that means L_2 is strongly continuous and therefore it is continuous.

In what follows, we discuss the continuity of L_3 .

Let (u_n) be such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X . So (u_n) is bounded in X and by Lemma 2.5, we have that (u_n) is bounded in $C_0[0, +\infty)$. By Lemma 2.5, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $C_0[0, +\infty)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_3(u_n) - L_3(u)\|_{X^*} &= \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} |\langle L_3(u_n) - L_3(u), v \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \left| \int_0^{+\infty} [f(x, u_n(x)) - f(x, u(x))] v(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |f(x, u_n(x)) - f(x, u(x))| |v(x)| dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |f(x, u(x))v(x)| dx \right) \\
 & \leq \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} (c_1(x)|v(x)| + c_2(x)|u_n(x)|^\mu |v(x)|) dx \right) \\
 & \quad + \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} (c_1(x)|v(x)| + c_2(x)|u(x)|^\mu |v(x)|) dx \right) \\
 & \leq 2\|c_1\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \left(\|u_n\|_\infty^\mu + \|u\|_\infty^\mu \right) \\
 & \leq 2\|c_1\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} + M^\mu \|c_2\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(\|u_n\|_\infty^\mu + \|u\|_\infty^\mu \right) \\
 & \leq 2\|c_1\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} + CM^\mu \|c_2\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Since $u_n \rightarrow u$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $C_0[0, +\infty)$, we obtain

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (f(x, u_n(x)) - f(x, u(x))) v(x) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

this implies that L_3 is continuous. Thus the operator \mathcal{L} is continuous and hence it is demicontinuous. So assumption (i) of Lemma 2.7 holds.

Claim 2. \mathcal{L} is monotone.

By (H1), for all $u, v \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathcal{L}(u) - \mathcal{L}(v), u - v \rangle &= \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) \left[|u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x) - |v'(x)|^{p-2} v'(x) \right] (u'(x) - v'(x)) dx \\
 & \quad + \int_0^{+\infty} \left[|v(x)|^{p-2} v(x) - |v(x)|^{p-2} v(x) \right] (u(x) - v(x)) dx \\
 & \quad - \int_0^{+\infty} \left[f(x, u(x)) - f(x, v(x)) \right] (u(x) - v(x)) dx \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[g(x_j) I_j(u(x_j)) - g(x_j) I_j(v(x_j)) \right] (u(x_j) - v(x_j)) \\
 & \geq \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(x) \left[|u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x) - |v'(x)|^{p-2} v'(x) \right] (u'(x) - v'(x)) dx \\
 & \quad + \int_0^{+\infty} \left[|v(x)|^{p-2} v(x) - |v(x)|^{p-2} v(x) \right] (u(x) - v(x)) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \left(\|u\|^{p-1} - \|v\|^{p-1} \right) \left(\|u\| - \|v\| \right) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

so, \mathcal{L} is monotone.

Claim 3. \mathcal{L} is coercive.

For all $u, v \in X$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}(u), u \rangle = \|u\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) I_j(u(x_j)) u(x_j) - \int_0^{+\infty} f(x, u(x)) u(x) dx.$$

From Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, combining assumption (H2) and (H3), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}(u), u \rangle &\geq \|u\|^p - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) I_j(u(x_j)) u(x_j) - \int_0^{+\infty} f(x, u(x)) u(x) dx \\ &\geq \|u\|^p - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(x_j) \left(\alpha_j + \beta_j |u(x_j)|^{\gamma-1} \right) u(x_j) \\ &\quad - \int_0^{+\infty} \left(c_1(x) + c_2(x) |u(x)|^\mu \right) |u(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}(u), u \rangle &\geq \|u\|^p - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j g(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j g(x_j) \|u\|_{\infty}^{\gamma-1} \right) \|u\|_{\infty} - \int_0^{+\infty} c_1(x) |u(x)| dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^{+\infty} c_2(x) |u(x)|^\mu |u(x)| dx \\ &\geq \|u\|^p - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j g(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j g(x_j) \|u\|_{\infty}^{\gamma-1} \right) \|u\|_{\infty} - \|c_1\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|u\|_p \\ &\quad - \|u\|_{\infty}^{\mu} \|c_2\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|u\|_p \\ &\geq \|u\|^p - \left(M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j g(x_j) + M_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \|c_1\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right) \|u\| - \left(M^{\gamma} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j g(x_j) \right) \|u\|^{\gamma} \\ &\quad - M_0^{\frac{1}{p}} M^{\mu} \|c_2\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|u\|^{\mu+1}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \mathcal{L}(u), u \rangle}{\|u\|} = +\infty$.

Lemma 2.7 guarantees that problem (1.1) has a weak solution.

Claim 4. Uniqueness.

For all $u, v \in X$, $u \neq v$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}(u) - \mathcal{L}(v), u - v \rangle \geq \left(\|u\|^{p-1} - \|v\|^{p-1} \right) \left(\|u\| - \|v\| \right) > 0,$$

so \mathcal{L} is strictly monotone. \square

Example 3.2. Let $p = 4$ and $\gamma = \frac{5}{2}$. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -(e^{3x}|u'|u')' + |u|u &= e^{-x} - 2e^{-3x}u^2, & \text{a.e. } x \neq x_j, \ x \geq 0, \\ \Delta(e^{3j}u'(j)) &= e^{-j} \left(\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{j^2}|u(j)|^{\frac{3}{2}} \right), & j \in \mathbb{N}^*, \\ u(0) = u(\infty) &= 0, \end{cases}$$

where $c_1(x) = e^{-x}$, $c_2(x) = 2e^{-3x}$ and $g(x) = e^{-x}$.

It's clear that (H1) – (H3) hold true. Hence, we may apply Lemma 2.7 and conclude that (1.1) has precisely a weak solution.

Next, we consider the limit case $\mu = p - 1$.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that (H1) and (H2) are hold both with

(H4) There exist positive functions $c_1, c_2 \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}[0, \infty)$ such that

$$|f(x, u)| \leq c_1(x) + c_2(x)|u|^{p-1}, \quad \forall (x, u) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

with

$$M_0^{\frac{1}{p}} M^{p-1} \|c_2\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} < 1.$$

Then (1.1) has a unique weak solution.

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we prove that \mathcal{L} is bounded, demi-continuous and monotone.

We check that \mathcal{L} is a coercive. Indeed, under (H2), (H4), in view of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}(u), u \rangle &\geq \|u\|^p - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j g(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j g(x_j) \|u\|^{\gamma-1} \right) \|u\|_{\infty} - \int_0^{+\infty} c_1(x) |u(x)| dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^{+\infty} c_2(x) |u(x)|^{p-1} |u(x)| dx \\ &\geq \|u\|^p - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j g(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j g(x_j) \|u\|^{\gamma-1} \right) \|u\|_{\infty} \\ &\quad - \|c_1\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|u\|_p - \|u\|_{\infty}^{p-1} \|c_2\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|u\|_p \\ &\geq \|u\|^p - M \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j g(x_j) + M^{\gamma-1} \|u\|^{\gamma-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j g(x_j) \right) \|u\| - M_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \|c_1\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|u\| \\ &\quad - M_0^{\frac{1}{p}} M^{p-1} \|c_2\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|u\|^p \\ &\geq \left(1 - M_0^{\frac{1}{p}} M^{p-1} \|c_2\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right) \|u\|^p - \left(M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j g(x_j) + M_0^{\frac{1}{p}} \|c_1\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right) \|u\| \end{aligned}$$

$$- \left(M^\gamma \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j g(x_j) \right) \|u\|^\gamma,$$

we conclude that $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \mathcal{L}(u), u \rangle}{\|u\|} = +\infty$.

Theorem 3.3 guarantees that problem (1.1) has a unique weak solution. \square

Example 3.4. Let $p = 2$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -(e^x |u'|u')' + |u|u &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-x}|u|, & \text{a.e. } x \neq x_j, \ x \geq 0, \\ \Delta(e^j u'(j)) &= e^{-j} \left(\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{j^2} |u(j)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), & j \in \mathbb{N}^*, \\ u(0) = u(\infty) &= 0, \end{cases}$$

where $c_1(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x}$, $c_2(x) = e^{-x}$, $\|c_2\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $g(x) = e^{-x}$ and $M = M_0 = 1$.

It's clear that (H1), (H2) and (H4) hold true. Hence, from Lemma 2.7 we find that problem (1.1) has precisely a weak solution.

References

- [1] Bai, L., Dai, B., *Three solutions for a p -Laplacian boundary value problem with impulsive effects*, Appl. Math. Comput. **217**(24), (2011), 9895-9904.
- [2] Bogun, I., *Existence of weak solutions for impulsive p -Laplacian problem with superlinear impulses*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **13**(6), (2012), 2701-2707.
- [3] Brézis, H., *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, 2010.
- [4] Chen, P., Tang, X. H., *Existence of solutions for a class of p -Laplacian systems with impulsive effects*, Taiwanese J. Math. **16**(3), (2012), 803-828.
- [5] Corduneanu, C., *Integral Equations and Stability of Feedback Systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [6] Dràbek, P., Milota, J., *Methods of Nonlinear Analysis: Applications to Differential Equations*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher, Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland 2007.
- [7] Menasria, L., Bouali, T., Guefaïfa, R., Biomy, M., *Existence of weak solutions for p -Laplacian problem with impulsive effects*, Appl. Sci. **22**(2020), 128-145.
- [8] Wang, L., Ge, W., Pei, M., *Infinitely many solutions of a second-order p -Laplacian problem with impulsive condition*, Appl. Math. **55**(5), (2010), 405-418.
- [9] Wei, D., Jiafa, X., Xiaoyan, Z., *Weak Solutions for a p -Laplacian Impulsive Differential Equation*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013.

Meriem Djibaoui

Department of Mathematics, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Laghouat
Algeria, 4033, Laghouat.

Applied Sciences and Didactics Laboratory, ENS of Laghouat, Algeria.

Laboratory of Fixed Point Theory and Applications ENS of Kouba, Algiers, Algeria

e-mail: m.djibaoui@ens-lagh.dz