Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math. 70(2025), No. 3, 427–440

DOI: 10.24193/subbmath.2025.3.04

On the coefficient estimates for a subclass of mfold symmetric bi-univalent functions

Seyed Hadi Hosseini (b), Ahmad Motamednezhad (b), Safa Salehian (b) and Ágnes Orsolya Páll-Szabó (b)

Abstract. In this work, we introduce and investigate a subclass $\mathcal{G}^{h,p}_{\Sigma_m}(\lambda,\gamma)$ of analytic and bi-univalent functions when both f and f^{-1} are m-fold symmetric in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} . Moreover, we find upper bounds for the initial coefficients $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ for functions belonging to this subclass $\mathcal{G}^{h,p}_{\Sigma_m}(\lambda,\gamma)$. The results presented in this paper would generalize and improve those that were given in several recent works.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords: Analytic functions, bi-univalent functions, coefficient estimates, m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the following normalized form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$

Also, we denote by S the class of all functions in the normalized analytic function class $f \in A$ which are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

Since univalent functions are one-to-one, they are invertible and the inverse functions need not be defined on the entire unit disk \mathbb{U} . The *Koebe One-Quarter Theorem* [4] ensures that the image of \mathbb{U} under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains

Received 12 November 2024; Accepted 31 May 2025.

[©] Studia UBB MATHEMATICA. Published by Babes-Bolyai University

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Hence, every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , which is defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \ (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \left(|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \cdots$$
 (1.2)

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} , if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{U} . The class consisting of bi-univalent functions are denoted by Σ .

Determination of the bounds for the coefficients a_n is an important problem in geometric function theory as they give information about the geometric properties of these functions. For example, the bound for the second coefficient a_2 of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ gives the growth and distortion bounds as well as covering theorems.

Lewin [8] investigated the class Σ of bi-univalent functions and showed that $|a_2|<1.51$ for the functions belonging to Σ . Subsequently, Brannan and Clunie [2] conjectured that $|a_2|\leq \sqrt{2}$. Kedzierawski [7] proved this conjecture for a special case when the function f and f^{-1} are starlike functions. Tan [14] obtained the bound for $|a_2|$ namely $|a_2|\leq 1.485$ which is the best known estimate for functions in the class Σ . Recently there are interest to study the bi-univalent functions class Σ (see [5, 6, 16, 17]) and obtain non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$. The coefficient estimate problem i.e. bound of $|a_n|$ $(n\in\mathbb{N}-\{1,2\})$ for each $f\in\Sigma$ given by (1.1) is still an open problem. For each function $f\in\mathcal{S}$ the function h(z) given by

$$h(z) = \sqrt[m]{f(z^m)}$$
 $(z \in \mathbb{U}, m \in \mathbb{N})$

is univalent and maps the unit disk $\mathbb U$ into a region with m-fold symmetry. A function is called m-fold symmetric (see[11, 12, 13]) if the function f(z) has the following normalized form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{mk+1} z^{mk+1} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}, m \in \mathbb{N})$$
 (1.3)

We denote by S_m the class of m-fold symmetric univalent functions in \mathbb{U} , which are normalized by the series expansion (1.3). In fact, the functions in the class S are one-fold symmetric, that is

$$S_1 = S$$

Analogous to the concept of m-fold symmetric univalent functions, we now introduce the concept of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. Each function $f \in \Sigma$ generates an m-fold symmetric bi-univalent function for each integer $f \in N$. The normalized form of f is given as in (1.3). Furthermore, the series expansion for f^{-1} , which was recently proven by Srivastava et al. [13], is given as follows:

$$g(w) = w - a_{m+1}w^{m+1} + [(m+1)a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}]w^{2m+1} - \left[\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(3m+2)a_{m+1}^3 - (3m+2)a_{m+1}a_{2m+1} + a_{3m+1}\right]w^{3m+1} + \cdots,$$

where $g = f^{-1}$.

We denote by Σ_m the class of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} . In the special case when m=1, the formula (1.4) for the class Σ_m coincides with the formula (1.2) for the class Σ . Some examples of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions are given below:

$$\left(\frac{z^m}{1-z^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$
 and $\left[-\log(1-z^m)\right]^{\frac{1}{m}}$

with the corresponding inverse functions given by

$$\left(\frac{w^m}{1-w^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$
 and $\left(\frac{e^{w^m}-1}{e^{w^m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$

respectively.

Quite recently, Wanas and Páll-Szabó [15] introduced two new general subclasses $\mathcal{AS}_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma,\lambda;\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{AS}^*_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma,\lambda;\beta)$ of the m-fold symmetric bi-univalent function class Σ_m consisting of analytic and m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} and derived the coefficient bounds for $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ for functions in each of these new subclasses.

Definition 1.1. [15] A function $f \in \Sigma_m$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{AS}_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma, \lambda; \alpha)$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\left| arg \left[(1-\lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right]^{\gamma} \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$$

and

$$\left| arg \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right]^{\gamma} \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2},$$

where $z, w \in \mathbb{U}, \ 0 < \gamma \le 1, \ 0 \le \lambda \le 1, \ 0 < \alpha \le 1, \ m \in \mathbb{N} \ and \ g = f^{-1}$.

Theorem 1.2 ([15]). Let $f \in \mathcal{AS}_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma, \lambda; \alpha)$ be given by (1.3). Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \le \frac{2\alpha}{m\sqrt{2\alpha\gamma(1+\lambda m)+\gamma(\gamma-\alpha)(1+\lambda m)^2}}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le \frac{2\alpha^2(m+1)}{m^2\gamma^2(1+\lambda m)^2} + \frac{\alpha}{m\gamma(1+2\lambda m)}.$$

Definition 1.3. [15] A function $f \in \Sigma_m$ given by (1.3) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{AS}^*_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma,\lambda;\beta)$, if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\Re\left[(1-\lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right]^{\gamma} > \beta$$

430

and

$$\Re\left[(1-\lambda)\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda\left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right)\right]^{\gamma} > \beta,$$

Theorem 1.4 ([15]). Let $f \in \mathcal{AS}^*_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma, \lambda; \beta)$ be given by (1.3). Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \le \frac{2}{m} \sqrt{\frac{1-\beta}{2\gamma(1+\lambda m) + \gamma(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2}}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le \frac{2(m+1)(1-\beta)^2}{m^2\gamma^2(1+\lambda m)^2} + \frac{1-\beta}{m\gamma(1+2\lambda m)}.$$

The main objective of this paper is to present an elegant formula for computing the coefficients of the inverse functions for the class Σ_m of m-fold symmetric functions by means of the residue calculus. As an application, we introduce a new subclass of biunivalent functions in which both f and f^{-1} are m-fold symmetric analytic functions and obtain upper bounds for the coefficients $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ for functions in this subclass. Our results for the bi-univalent function class $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\gamma,\lambda)$, which we shall introduce in section 2, would generalize and improve some recent works by Wanas and Páll-Szabó [15] and some of other researchers[1, 9, 10]

2. Coefficient Estimates

In this section, we introduce and investigate the general subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\gamma,\lambda)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $h, p : \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ be analytic functions and

$$\min\{\Re(h(z)), \Re(p(z))\} > 0 \ (z \in \mathbb{U}) \ and \ h(0) = p(0) = 1.$$

A function f given by (1.3) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\gamma,\lambda)$, if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right]^{\gamma} \in h(\mathbb{U})$$
 (2.1)

and

$$\left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{wg''w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right]^{\gamma} \in p(\mathbb{U})$$
 (2.2)

where $z,w\in\mathbb{U}$, $0<\gamma\leq 1$, $0\leq\lambda\leq 1$, $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and $g=f^{-1}$.

Remark 2.2. There are many choices of the functions h, p which would provide interesting subclasses of the general class $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\gamma,\lambda)$. For example, if we set $\gamma=1$, the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\gamma,\lambda)$ reduces to the subclass $f\in\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda,1)$ which was introduced by Motamednezhad et al. [10].

If we let

$$h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z^m}{1-z^m}\right)^{\alpha} = 1 + 2\alpha z^m + 2\alpha^2 z^{2m} + \dots (0 < \alpha \le 1),$$

it can easily be verified that the functions h(z) and p(z) satisfy the hypotheses of Definition 2.1. Thus, if we have $f \in \mathcal{G}^{h,p}_{\Sigma_{-}}(\gamma,\lambda)$, then

$$\left| arg \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right]^{\gamma} \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$$

and

$$\left| arg \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right]^{\gamma} \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}.$$

In this case we say that f belongs to the subclass $f \in \mathcal{AS}_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma, \lambda; \alpha)$. If we put $h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z^m}{1-z^m}\right)^{\alpha}$ and $\gamma = 1$, the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\gamma, \lambda)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma_m}(\alpha, \lambda, 1)$ which was considered by Motamednezad et al. [10].

Also, for $h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z^m}{1-z^m}\right)^{\alpha}$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\gamma,\lambda)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\Sigma_m}$ which was considered by Altinkaya and Yalcin [1].

On the other hand, if we take

$$h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z^m}{1 - z^m} = 1 + 2(1 - \beta)z^m + 2(1 - \beta)z^{2m} + \dots (0 \le \beta < 1).$$

then the conditions of Definition 2.1 are satisfied for both functions h(z) and p(z). Thus, if $f \in \mathcal{G}^{h,p}_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma,\lambda)$; then

$$\Re\left[(1-\lambda)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right]^{\gamma} > \beta$$

and

$$\Re\left[(1-\lambda)\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda\left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right)\right]^{\gamma} > \beta.$$

In this case we say that f belonges to the subclass $f \in \mathcal{AS}^*_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma, \lambda; \beta)$. If we put $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z^m}{1-z^m}$ and $\gamma = 1$, the subclass $\mathcal{G}^{h,p}_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma, \lambda)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma_m}(\beta, \lambda, 1)$ which was considered by Motamednezad et al. [10]. Also, for $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z^m}{1-z^m}$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, the subclass $\mathcal{G}^{h,p}_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma, \lambda)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{S}^{\beta}_{\Sigma_m}$ which was considered by Altinkaya and Yalcin [1].

Remark 2.3. For one-Fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, we denote the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\gamma,\lambda) = \mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\gamma,\lambda)$. Special cases of this subclass illustrated below:

- (A) By putting $h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}$ and $\gamma = 1$, then the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda,\gamma)$
- reduces to the subclass $M_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \lambda)$ studied by Li and Wang [9]. (B) By putting $h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, then the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$ reduces to the subclass $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha)$ studied by Brannan and Taha[3].

- (C) By putting $h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}$, and $\lambda = \gamma = 1$, then the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda,\gamma)$ reduces to the subclass $M_{\Sigma}(\alpha,1)$ studied by Li and Wang [9].
- (D) By putting $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + (1 2\beta)z}{1 z}$ and $\gamma = 1$, then the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$ reduces to the subclass $B_{\Sigma}(\beta, \lambda)$ studied by Li and Wang [9].
- (E) By putting $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z}$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, then the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda,\gamma)$ reduces to the subclass $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\beta)$ of bi-starlike functions of order $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$ studied by Brannan and Taha[3].
- $\beta < 1$) studied by Brannan and Taha[3]. (F) By putting $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z}$ and $\lambda = \gamma = 1$, then the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda,\gamma)$ reduces to the subclass $B_{\Sigma}(\beta,1)$ of bi-convex functions of order $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$ studied by Li and Wang [9].

We are now ready to express the bounds for the coefficients $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ for the subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\gamma,\lambda)$ of the normalized bi-univalent function class Σ_m .

Theorem 2.4. Let the function f given by (1.3) be in the class $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\gamma,\lambda)$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \le \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{|h_{2m}| + |p_{2m}|}{m^2 \gamma [2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma - 1)(1+\lambda m)^2]}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_m|^2 + |p_m|^2}{2[m\gamma(1+\lambda m)]^2}} \right\}$$
(2.3)

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|h_{2m}| + |p_{2m}|}{4\gamma m (1 + 2\lambda m)} + \frac{(m+1)(|h_m|^2 + |p_m|^2)}{4\gamma^2 m^2 (1 + \lambda m)^2}, \\ \frac{|2m(1+\lambda m) + 2(m+1)(1+2\lambda m) + m(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2|}{4m^2 \gamma (1+2\lambda m)[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]} |h_{2m}| + \frac{|2(m+1)(1+2\lambda m) - 2m(1+\lambda m) - m(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2|}{4m^2 \gamma (1+2\lambda m)[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]} |p_{2m}| \right\}.$$
 (2.4)

Proof. The main idea in the proof of Theorem 2.4 is to get the desired bounds for the coefficient $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$. Indeed, by considering the relations (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right]^{\gamma} = h(z)$$
 (2.5)

and

$$\left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right]^{\gamma} = p(z), \tag{2.6}$$

where each of the functions h and p satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.3. In light of the following Taylor-Maclaurin series expansions for the functions h and p, we get

$$h(z) = 1 + h_m z^m + h_{2m} z^{2m} + h_{3m} z^{3m} + \cdots$$
 (2.7)

and

$$p(w) = 1 + p_m w^m + p_{2m} w^{2m} + p_{3m} w^{3m} + \cdots$$
 (2.8)

By substituting the relations (2.7) and (2.8) into (2.5) and (2.6), respectively, we get

$$m\gamma(1+\lambda m)a_{m+1} = h_m, (2.9)$$

$$\gamma m \left[\frac{(\gamma - 1)}{2} m (1 + \lambda m)^2 - (\lambda m^2 + 2\lambda m + 1) \right] a_{m+1}^2 + 2m\gamma (1 + 2\lambda m) a_{2m+1} = h_{2m},$$
(2.10)

$$-m\gamma(1+\lambda m)a_{m+1} = p_m \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\gamma m \left[\left(3\lambda m^2 + 2(\lambda + 1)m + 1 \right) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)}{2} m (1 + \lambda m)^2 \right] a_{m+1}^2 -2m\gamma (1 + 2\lambda m) a_{2m+1} = p_{2m}.$$
 (2.12)

Comparing the coefficients (2.9) and (2.11), we obtain

$$h_m = -p_m \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$2m^2\gamma^2(1+\lambda m)^2a_{m+1}^2 = h_m^2 + p_m^2. (2.14)$$

Now, if we add (2.10) and (2.12), we get the following relation

$$m^2 \gamma \left[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma - 1)(1+\lambda m)^2 \right] a_{m+1}^2 = h_{2m} + p_{2m}.$$
 (2.15)

Therefore, from (2.14) and (2.15), we have

$$a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{h_m^2 + p_m^2}{2[m\gamma(1+\lambda m)]^2}$$
 (2.16)

and

$$a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{h_{2m} + p_{2m}}{m^2 \gamma [2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma - 1)(1+\lambda m)^2]},$$
(2.17)

respectively.

Therefore, we find from the equations (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$|a_{m+1}|^2 \le \frac{|h_m|^2 + |p_m|^2}{2\gamma^2 m^2 (1 + \lambda m)^2}$$

and

$$|a_{m+1}|^2 \le \frac{|h_{2m}| + |p_{2m}|}{m^2 \gamma [2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma - 1)(1+\lambda m)^2]},$$

respectively. We have thus derived the desired bound on the coefficient $|a_{m+1}|$. The proof is completed by finding the bound on the coefficient $|a_{2m+1}|$. Upon subtracting (2.12) from (2.10), we get

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{h_{2m} - p_{2m}}{4\gamma m(1+2\lambda m)} + \frac{(m+1)}{2}a_{m+1}^2.$$
 (2.18)

Putting the value of a_{m+1}^2 from (2.16) into (2.18), it follows that

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{h_{2m} - p_{2m}}{4\gamma m(1 + 2\lambda m)} + \frac{(m+1)h_{2m} + p_{2m}}{m^2\gamma[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma - 1)(1+\lambda m)^2]}.$$

Therefore, we conclude the following bound:

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le \frac{|h_{2m}| + |p_{2m}|}{4\gamma m(1+2\lambda m)} + \frac{(m+1)(|h_m|^2 + |p_m|^2)}{4[\gamma m(1+\lambda m)]^2}.$$
 (2.19)

By substituting the value of a_{m+1}^2 from (2.17) into (2.18), we obtain

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{m[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma - 1)(1+\lambda m)^2](h_{2m} - p_{2m}) + (m+1)(1+2\lambda m)}{4m^2\gamma(1+2\lambda m)[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma - 1)(1+\lambda m)^2]} \quad (2.20)$$

$$\frac{(h_{2m} + p_{2m})}{4m^2\gamma(1+2\lambda m)[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]}$$

which readily yields

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le \frac{\left|2m(1+\lambda m) + 2(m+1)(1+2\lambda m) + m(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2\right|}{4m^2\gamma(1+2\lambda m)[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]}|h_{2m}| +$$
(2.21)

$$\frac{\left|2(m+1)(1+2\lambda m)-2m(1+\lambda m)-m(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2\right|}{4m^2\gamma(1+2\lambda m)[2(1+\lambda m)+(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]}|p_{2m}|. (2.22)$$

Finally, from (2.19) and (2.21), we get the desired estimate on the coefficient $|a_{2m+1}|$ as asserted in Theorem 2.4. The proof of Theorem 2.4 is thus completed.

3. Corollaries and Consequences

If we put

$$h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z^m}{1-z^m}\right)^{\alpha} = 1 + 2\alpha z^m + 2\alpha^2 z^{2m} + \cdots,$$

in Theorem 2.4, then it can be obtained the following result.

Corollary 3.1. Let the function f given by (1.3) be in the class $\mathcal{AS}_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma,\lambda;\alpha)$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2\alpha}{m\gamma(1+\lambda m)}, \frac{2\alpha}{m\sqrt{\gamma[2(1+\lambda m)+(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2}{m\gamma(1+2\lambda m)} + \frac{2(m+1)\alpha^2}{\gamma^2 m^2 (1+\lambda m)^2}, \\ \left| \frac{2m(1+\lambda m) + 2(m+1)(1+2\lambda m) + m(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2}{2m^2\gamma(1+2\lambda m)[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]} \right| \alpha^2 + \\ \left| \frac{2(m+1)(1+2\lambda m) - 2m(1+\lambda m) - m(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2}{2m^2\gamma(1+2\lambda m)[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]} \right| \alpha^2 \right\}.$$

Remark 3.2. For the coefficient $|a_{2m+1}|$ it is easily seen that

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{m\gamma(1+2\lambda m)} + \frac{2(m+1)\alpha^2}{\gamma^2 m^2(1+\lambda m)^2} \leq \frac{\alpha}{m\gamma(1+2\lambda m)} + \frac{2(m+1)\alpha^2}{\gamma^2 m^2(1+\lambda m)^2}.$$

Therefore, clearly, Corollary 3.1 provides an improvement over Theorem 1.2.

By setting $\gamma = 1$ in Corollary 3.1, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.3. Let the function f given by (1.3) be in the subclass $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma_m}(\alpha, \lambda, 1)$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2\alpha}{m(1+\lambda m)}, \frac{2\alpha}{m\sqrt{2(1+\lambda m)}} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{2\alpha}{m\sqrt{2(1+\lambda m)}} \ , \ 0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{m} \\ \frac{2\alpha}{m(1+\lambda m)} \ , \quad \frac{1}{m} \leq \lambda < 1 \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{m(1+\lambda m)^2 + 2(m+1)(1+2\lambda m)}{m^2(1+2\lambda m)(1+\lambda m)^2} \alpha^2, \frac{(m+1)}{m^2(1+\lambda m)} \alpha^2 \right\}.$$

By setting $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 3.3, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.4. Let the function f given by (1.3) be in the subclass $\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\Sigma_m}$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \le \min\left\{\frac{2\alpha}{m}, \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{m}\right\} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{m}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(3m+2)\alpha^2}{m^2}, \frac{(m+1)\alpha^2}{m^2} \right\} = \frac{(m+1)\alpha^2}{m^2}.$$

Remark 3.5. The bounds on $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ given in Corollary 3.4 are better than those given by Altinkaya and Yalcin [1, Corollary 6], because of

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{m} \le \frac{2\alpha}{m\sqrt{\alpha+1}}$$

and

$$\frac{(m+1)\alpha^2}{m^2} \le \frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{2(m+1)\alpha^2}{m^2}.$$

By setting $\gamma = 1$ and m = 1 in Corollary 3.1, we conclude the following result.

436

Corollary 3.6. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the subclass $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\alpha,\lambda)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\lambda}, \alpha\sqrt{\frac{2}{1+\lambda}}\right\} = \alpha\sqrt{\frac{2}{1+\lambda}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda^2 + 10\lambda + 5}{(1+2\lambda)(1+\lambda)^2} \alpha^2, \frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\lambda} \right\} = \frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\lambda}.$$

Remark 3.7. The bounds on $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ given in Corollary 3.6 are better than those given by Li and Wang [9, Theorem 2.2].

By setting $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 3.6, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.8. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the subclass $S_{\Sigma}^*(\alpha)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \sqrt{2}\alpha$$
 and $|a_3| \le 2\alpha^2$.

Remark 3.9. The bounds on $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ given in Corollary 3.10 are better than those given by Brannan and Taha [3].

By setting $\lambda = 1$ in Corollary 3.6, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.10. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the subclass $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, 1)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \alpha$$
 and $|a_3| \le \alpha^2$.

Remark 3.11. The bound on $|a_3|$ given in Corollary 3.8 are better than those given by Li and Wang [9, Theorem 2.2] for $\lambda = 1$.

By letting

$$h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z^m}{1 - z^m} = 1 + 2(1 - \beta)z^m + 2(1 - \beta)z^{2m} + \dots (0 \le \beta < 1).$$

in Theorem 2.4, we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 3.12. Let the function f given by (1.3) be in the class $f \in \mathcal{AS}^*_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma, \lambda; \beta)$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \le \min \left\{ \frac{2(1-\beta)}{m\gamma(1+\lambda m)}, \frac{2}{m} \sqrt{\frac{(1-\beta)}{\gamma[2(1+\lambda m)+(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le \min \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{m\gamma(1+2\lambda m)} + \frac{2(m+1)(1-\beta)^2}{\gamma^2 m^2 (1+\lambda m)^2}, \right.$$

$$\left. \left| \frac{2m(1+\lambda m) + 2(m+1)(1+2\lambda m) + m(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2}{2m^2 \gamma (1+2\lambda m)[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]} \right| (1-\beta) + \left. \left| \frac{2(m+1)(1+2\lambda m) - 2m(1+\lambda m) - m(\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2}{2m^2 \gamma (1+2\lambda m)[2(1+\lambda m) + (\gamma-1)(1+\lambda m)^2]} \right| (1-\beta) \right\}.$$

Remark 3.13. Clearly, Corollary 3.12 provides an improvement over Theorem 1.4.

By setting $\gamma = 1$ in Corollary 3.12, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.14. Let the function f given by (1.3) be in the subclass $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma_m}(\alpha, \lambda, 1)$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \le min \left\{ \frac{2(1-\beta)}{m(1+\lambda m)}, \frac{2}{m} \sqrt{\frac{(1-\beta)}{2(1+\lambda m)}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le \min \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{m(1+2\lambda m)} + \frac{2(m+1)(1-\beta)^2}{m^2(1+\lambda m)^2}, \frac{m+1}{m^2(1+\lambda m)}(1-\beta) \right\}.$$

By setting $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 3.14, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.15. Let the function f given by (1.3) be in the subclass $S_{\Sigma_m}^{\beta}$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \le \min \left\{ \frac{2(1-\beta)}{m}, \frac{\sqrt{2(1-\beta)}}{m} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\sqrt{2(1-\beta)}}{m} \ , \ 0 \le \beta \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{2(1-\beta)}{m} \ , \ \frac{1}{2} \le \beta < 1 \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{2m+1}| &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{m(1-\beta) + 2(m+1)(1-\beta)^2}{m^2}, \frac{m + (1-\beta)}{m^2} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{m + (1-\beta)}{m^2} \;,\; 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1+2m}{2(1+m)} \\ \\ \frac{m(1-\beta) + 2(m+1)(1-\beta)^2}{m^2} \;,\; \frac{1+2m}{2(1+m)} \leq \beta < 1. \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.16. Clearly, the bounds on $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ given in Corollary 3.15 are better than those given by Altinkaya and Yalcin [1, Corolary 7].

By setting $\gamma = 1$ and m = 1 in Corollary 3.12, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.17. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the subclass $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\beta,\lambda)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \min \left\{ \frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}}, \quad 0 \le \beta \le \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \\ \frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}, \quad \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \le \beta < 1 \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \min \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{1+2\lambda} + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)^2}, \frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda} \right\}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda} , & 0 \le \beta \le \frac{3+4\lambda-3\lambda^2}{4(1+2\lambda)} \\ \frac{1-\beta}{1+2\lambda} + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)^2} , & \frac{3+4\lambda-3\lambda^2}{4(1+2\lambda)} \le \beta < 1. \end{cases}$$

.

438

Remark 3.18. The bounds on $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ given in Corollary 3.17 is better than that given by Li and Wang [9, Theorem 3.2].

By setting $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 3.17, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.19. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the subclass $S_{\Sigma}^*(\beta)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \min \left\{ 2(1-\beta), \sqrt{2(1-\beta)} \right\} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2(1-\beta)}, & 0 \le \beta \le \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1-\beta), & \frac{1}{2} \le \beta < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \min\left\{ (1-\beta)(5-4\beta), 2(1-\beta) \right\} = \begin{cases} 2(1-\beta) , \ 0 \le \beta \le \frac{3}{4} \\ (1-\beta)(5-4\beta) , \ \frac{3}{4} \le \beta < 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.20. The bounds on $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ given in Corollary 3.19 are better than those given by Brannan and Taha [3].

By setting $\lambda = 1$ in Corollary 3.17, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.21. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the subclass $B_{\Sigma}(\beta, 1)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{1 - \beta, \sqrt{1 - \beta}\right\} = 1 - \beta$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \min\left\{\frac{(1-\beta) + 3(1-\beta)^2}{3}, 1-\beta\right\} = \begin{cases} 1-\beta, & 0 \le \beta \le \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{(1-\beta) + 3(1-\beta)^2}{3}, & \frac{1}{3} \le \beta < 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.22. The bounds on $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ given in Corollary 3.21 are better than those given by Li and Wang [9, Theorem 3.2] for $\lambda = 1$.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we introduce a new subclass $\mathcal{G}^{h,p}_{\Sigma_m}(\gamma,\lambda)$ of analytic functions, characterized by m-fold symmetric as a foundational framework. It is worth noting that this subclass is a generalization of many well-known or new subclasses, mentioned in section 2. Moreover, by Theorem 2.4, we obtained sharp bounds of the coefficients for many well-known subclasses as consequences. That in certain cases our data has improved the results of others.

Declaration of authorship

All authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

References

- [1] Altinkaya, Ş., Yalçin, S., Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, J. Math. Article ID **241683** (2015), 1-5.
- [2] Brannan, D. A., Clunie, J. G., (Eds.), Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute held at the University of Durham, Durham; July 1-20, 1979, (Academic Press, New York and London, 1980).
- [3] Brannan, D. A., Taha, T. S., On Some classes of bi-univalent functions, Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math., 31(1986), no. 2, 70-77.
- [4] Duren, P. L., Univalent Functions, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1983.
- [5] Frasin, B. A., Aouf, M. K., New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 24(2011), no. 9, 1569-1573.
- [6] Hayami, T., Owa, S., Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions, Pan. Math., J. 22(2012), no. 4, 15-26.
- [7] Kedzierawski, A. W., Some remarks on bi-univalent functions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A. 39(1985), 77-81.
- [8] Lewin, M., On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18(1967) 63-68.
- [9] Li , X. F., Wang, A. P., Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, International Mathematical Forum. 7(2012),no. 30, 1495-1504.
- [10] Motamednezhad, A., Salehian, S., Magesh, N., Coefficient estimates for subclass of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Kragujevac J. Math., 46(2022), no. 3, 395-406.
- [11] Srivastava, H. M., Gaboury, S., Ghanim, F., Coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform. 41(2015), 153-164.
- [12] Srivastava, H. M., Gaboury, S., Ghanim, F., Initial coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), 36(2016), 863-871.
- [13] Srivastava, H. M., Sivasubramanian, S., Sivakumar, R., Initial coefficient bounds for a subclass of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Adv. Stud. Euro-Tbil. Math. J. 7(2014), no. 2, 1-10.
- [14] Tan, D. L., Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions, Chinese Ann.Math. Ser. A., 5(1984), no. 5, 559-568.
- [15] Wanas, A. K., Páll-Szabó, Á. O., Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of analytic and m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math., 66(2021) no. 4, 659-666.
- [16] Xu, Q. H., Gui, Y. C., Srivastava, H. M., Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 25(2012), no. 6, 990-994.
- [17] Xu, Q. H., Xiao, H. G., Srivastava, H. M., A certain general subclass of analytic and biunivalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, Appl. Math. Comput. 218(2012), no. 23, 11461-11465.

440 S. H. Hosseini, A. Motamednezhad, S. Salehian and Á. O. Páll-Szabó

Seyed Hadi Hosseini (5)
"Shahrood University of Technology",
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
P. O. Box 316-36155, Shahrood, Iran
e-mail: hosseini.hadi2012@gmail.com

Ahmad Motamednezhad (5)
"Shahrood University of Technology",
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
P. O. Box 316-36155, Shahrood, Iran
e-mail: a.motamedne@gmail.com

Safa Salehian (5)
"Islamic Azad University",
Department of Mathematics
Go. C., Gorgan, Iran
e-mail: s.salehian84@iau.ac.ir

Ágnes Orsolya Páll-Szabó (1)
"Babeş-Bolyai" University,
Department of Statistics- Forecasts- Mathematics,
FSEGA, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
e-mail: agnes.pallszabo@econ.ubbcluj.ro