

General decay rates of the solution energy in a viscoelastic wave equation with boundary feedback and a nonlinear source

Islem Baaziz, Benyattou Benabderrahmane and Salah Drabla

Abstract. In a bounded domain, we consider a viscoelastic equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \Delta u(\tau) d\tau = |u|^\gamma u$$

with a nonlinear feedback localized on a part of the boundary, where $\gamma > 0$ and the relaxation function g satisfied $g'(t) \leq \xi(t)g^p(t)$, $1 \leq p < \frac{3}{2}$, and certain initial data. We establish an explicit and general decay rate result, using some properties of the convex functions. Our new results substantially improve several earlier related results in the literature.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35L05, 35L70, 35L15, 93D20, 74D05.

Keywords: General decay, nonlinear source, viscoelastic, wave equation, relaxation function.


1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the energy decay rate of the following viscoelastic problem with nonlinear boundary dissipation and a nonlinear source

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \Delta u(\tau) d\tau = |u|^\gamma u, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, \infty) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(\tau) d\tau + h(u_t) = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x); \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Received 17 December 2021; Accepted 10 April 2022.

© Studia UBB MATHEMATICA. Published by Babeş-Bolyai University

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

where Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$. Here, Γ_0 and Γ_1 are closed and disjoint, with $\text{meas}(\Gamma_0) > 0$, ν is the unit outward normal to $\partial\Omega$, $\gamma > 0$, and g, h are specific functions.

Let us mention some known results related to the viscoelastic problem with nonlinear boundary dissipation. In [7], Cavalcanti and al. considered the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \int_0^t g(t-s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(s) ds + h(u_t) = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0, \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The existence and uniform decay rate results were established under quite restrictive assumptions on damping term h and the kernel function g . Later, Cavalcanti and al. [6] generalized this result without imposing a growth condition on h and under a weaker assumption on g . Recently, Messaoudi and Mustafa [18] exploited some properties of convex functions [2] and the multiplier method to extend these results. They established an explicit and general decay rate result without imposing any restrictive growth assumption on the damping term h and greatly weakened the assumption on g . Also, Li et al [11] have analyzed the global existence and decay estimates for nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation with boundary dissipation. They established uniform decay rate of the energy under suitable conditions on the initial data and the relaxation function g . Let us also mention other papers in connection with viscoelastic effects such as Dafermos [8] [9], Mustafa MI [22], Lagnese [10], Aassila et al. [1]. On considering the boundary dissipation, we refer the reader to related works Mohammad M. Al-Gharabli [3], [20], [21], [23] and the references therein.

In a situation in which a source term is competing with the viscoelastic dissipation, many authors have established stability results. For example, Messaoudi [16] looked at

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d\tau = |u|^\gamma u, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x); \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 1$) with a smooth boundary, $\gamma > 0$, and the relaxation function g is a positive and uniformly decaying function satisfies a relation of the form

$$g'(t) \leq -\xi(t)g(t), \tag{1.3}$$

where ξ is a nonincreasing differentiable function such that

$$\left| \frac{\xi'(t)}{\xi(t)} \right| \leq k, \quad \xi(t) > 0, \quad \xi'(t) \leq 0, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \int_0^\infty \xi(t) dt = +\infty.$$

He established a more general decay result, from which the usual exponential and polynomial decay rates are only special cases.

In a situation in which a source term is competing with the viscoelastic dissipation and on considering the boundary dissipation, Shun and Hsueh [24] considered

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{tt} - k_0 \Delta u(t) + \int_0^t g(t-s) \operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u(s)) ds + b(x) u_t &= f(u), \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
 k_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \int_0^t g(t-s) (a(x) \nabla u(s)) \cdot \nu ds + h(u_t) &= 0, \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty), \\
 u(x, t) &= 0, \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, \infty), \\
 u(x, 0) = u_0, \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1, \quad x \in \Omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 1$) with a smooth boundary, the relaxation function g is a positive and uniformly decaying function satisfying (1.3), and where ξ is a nonincreasing differentiable positive function such that

$$\int_0^\infty \xi(t) dt = +\infty.$$

The authors established the general decay rate of the solution energy which is not necessarily of exponential or polynomial type. Another problems, in which in which a source term is competing with the viscoelastic dissipation and on considering the boundary dissipation, were discussed in [5], [14], [12] and [13], and the existence, uniform decay rate results were established.

In this article, we devote ourselves to the study of the problem (1.1). Motivated by previous work and by the idea of Messaoudi and Mustafa [17], which considers a wider class of relaxation functions g , we obtain a more general and explicit energy decay formula, to from which the exponential and the polynomial decay rates are only special cases of our result. In fact, our decay formulas extend and improve some results of the literature.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we prepare some material needed in the proof of our result. We have the imbedding: $H_{\Gamma_0}^1 \hookrightarrow L^{2(\gamma+1)}(\Omega)$. Let $C_e > 0$ be the optimal constant of Sobolev imbedding which satisfies the following inequality:

$$\|u\|_{2(\gamma+1)} \leq C_e \|\nabla u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1, \tag{2.1}$$

and we use the trace-Sobolev imbedding: $H_{\Gamma_0}^1 \hookrightarrow L^k(\Gamma_1)$, $1 \leq k < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}$. In this case, the imbedding constant is denoted by B_1 , that is

$$\|u\|_{k, \Gamma_1} \leq B_1 \|\nabla u\|_2. \tag{2.2}$$

Next, we state the assumptions for problem (1.1) as follows.

For the relaxation function g we assume the following:
 (G_1) $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a nonincreasing C^1 function satisfying

$$g(0) > 0, \quad 1 - \int_0^\infty g(s) ds = l > 0.$$

(G₂) There exists a nonincreasing differentiable function $\xi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, with $\xi(0) > 0$, and satisfying

$$g'(t) \leq \xi(t)g^p(t), \quad 1 \leq p < \frac{3}{2}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

(G₃) For the nonlinear term, we assume

$$0 < \gamma \leq \frac{2}{(n-2)}, \quad n \geq 3$$

$$\gamma > 0, \quad n = 1, 2.$$

(G₄) $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing C^0 function such that there exist a strictly increasing function $h_0 \in C^1([0, +\infty))$, with $h_0(0) = 0$, and positive constants c_1, c_2, ϵ such that

$$h_0(|s|) \leq |h(s)| \leq h_0^{-1}(|s|) \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \epsilon$$

$$c_1 |s| \leq |h(s)| \leq c_2 |s| \quad \text{for all } |s| \geq \epsilon.$$

In addition, we assume that the function H , defined by $H(s) = \sqrt{s}h_0(\sqrt{s})$, is a strictly convex C^2 function on $(0, r^2]$, for some $r > 0$, when h_0 is nonlinear.

By using the Galerkin method and procedure similar to that of [11], and [23], we have the following local existence result for problem (1.1).

Theorem 2.1. *Let hypotheses (G1)-(G4) hold and assume that $u_0 \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1 \cap H^2(\Omega)$, $u_1 \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1$. Then there exists a strong solution u of (1.1) satisfying*

$$u \in L^\infty([0, T]; H_{\Gamma_0}^1 \cap H^2(\Omega))$$

$$u_t \in L^\infty([0, T]; H_{\Gamma_0}^1)$$

$$u_{tt} \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)),$$

for some $T > 0$.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that (G1), (G3) and (G4) hold. Let $(u_0, u_1) \in V \times L^2(\Omega)$ be given, satisfying (2.7). Then the solution u of (1.1) is global and bounded.*

We introduce the following functionals

$$J(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(k_1 - \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}$$

$$E(t) = J(u(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T] \tag{2.3}$$

$$I(t) = I(u(t)) = \left(k_1 - \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$(g \circ v)(t) = \int_0^t g(t-s) \|v(t) - v(s)\|_2^2 ds, \tag{2.5}$$

and $E(t)$ is the energy functional.

A direct differentiation, using (1.1), leads to

$$E'(t) = \frac{1}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{2} g(t) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 - \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t(t) h(u_t(t)) d\Gamma \leq 0, \tag{2.6}$$

For completeness, using similar procedure in [15], we state the global existence result.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that (G1) and (G3) hold, and $(u_0, u_1) \in V \times L^2(\Omega)$, such that*

$$\beta = \frac{C_e^{\gamma+2}}{l} \left(\frac{2(\gamma+2)}{\gamma l} E(u_0, u_1) \right)^{\gamma/2} < 1 \tag{2.7}$$

$$I(u_0) > 0,$$

then $I(u(t)) > 0, \forall t > 0$.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that (G1), (G3) and (G4) hold. Let $(u_0, u_1) \in V \times L^2(\Omega)$ be given, satisfying (2.7). Then the solution u of (1.1) is global and bounded.*

Adopting the proof of [17], we have the following results which are crucial for the proof of our main result.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that g satisfies (G1) and (G2) then*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \xi(t) g^{1-\sigma}(t) dt < +\infty, \quad \forall \sigma < 2 - p.$$

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that g satisfies (G1) and (G2), and u is the solution of (1.1) then, for $0 < \delta < 1$, we have*

$$(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq C \left[\left(\int_0^{+\infty} g^{1-\sigma}(t) dt \right) E(0) \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p-1+\delta}} (g^p \circ \nabla u)^{\frac{\delta}{p-1+\delta}}(t).$$

By taking $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq C \left[\int_0^t g^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) ds \right]^{\frac{2p-2}{2p-1}} (g^p \circ \nabla u)^{\frac{1}{2p-1}}(t). \tag{2.8}$$

Corollary 2.7. *Assume that g satisfies (G1) and (G2), and u is the solution of (1.1) then*

$$\xi(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq C [-E'(t)]^{\frac{1}{2p-1}}. \tag{2.9}$$

If G is a convex function on $[a, b]$, ($-G$ is convex), $f : \Omega \rightarrow [a, b]$ and h are integrable functions on Ω , with $h(x) \geq 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} h(x) dx = k > 0$, then Jensen's inequality states that

$$\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Omega} G[f(x)] h(x) dx \leq G \left[\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Omega} f(x) h(x) dx \right]. \tag{2.10}$$

For the special case $G(y) = y^{\frac{1}{q}}, y \geq 0, p > 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Omega} [f(x)]^{\frac{1}{q}} h(x) dx \leq \left[\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Omega} f(x) h(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

3. Decay of solutions

In this section we state and prove the main result of our work. For this purpose, we adopt the following result from [24] without proof.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist positive constants $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, m, t_0$ such that the fun*

$$F(t) := E(t) + \varepsilon_1\psi_1(t) + \varepsilon_2\psi_2(t), \tag{3.1}$$

is equivalent to E and satisfies

$$F'(t) \leq -mE(t) + c \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau + c(g \circ \nabla u)(t). \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\psi_1(t) := \int_{\Omega} uu_t dx, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\psi_2(t) := - \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t g(t - \tau)(u(t) - u(\tau))d\tau dx.$$

Lemma 3.2. [19] *Under the assumptions (G1), (G2) and (G4), the solution satisfies the estimates*

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\Gamma \leq \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t h(u_t)d\Gamma, \quad \text{if } h_0 \text{ is linear} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\Gamma \leq cH^{-1}(J(t)) - cE'(t), \quad \text{if } h_0 \text{ is nonlinear} \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$J(t) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{12}|} \int_{\Gamma_{12}} u_t h(u_t)d\Gamma \leq E'(t),$$

and

$$\Gamma_{12} = \{x \in \Gamma_1 : |u_t| \leq \varepsilon_1\}.$$

Proof. Case 1: h_0 is linear, using (G4) we have

$$c'_1 |u_t| \leq |h(u_t)| \leq c'_2 |u_t|,$$

and hence

$$h^2(u_t) \leq c'_2 u_t h(u_t).$$

So, (3.4) is established.

Case 2: h_0 is nonlinear on $[0, \varepsilon]$:

First, we assume that $\max\{r, h_0(r)\} < \varepsilon$; otherwise we take r smaller. Let $\varepsilon_0 = \min\{r, h_0(r)\}$; them for $\varepsilon_0 \leq |s| \leq \varepsilon$, using (G4), we have

$$|h(s)| \leq \frac{h_0^{-1}(|s|)}{|s|} |s| \leq \frac{h_0^{-1}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon_0} |s| \quad \text{and} \quad |h(s)| \geq \frac{h_0(|s|)}{|s|} |s| \geq \frac{h_0(\varepsilon_0)}{\varepsilon} |s|,$$

so, we conclude that

$$\begin{cases} h_0(|s|) \leq |h(s)| \leq h_0^{-1}(|s|) & \text{for all } |s| < \varepsilon_0 \\ c'_1 |s| \leq |h(s)| \leq c'_2 |s| & \text{for all } |s| \geq \varepsilon_0. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Since $H(s^2) = |s| h_0(|s|)$, then using (3.6), we obtain

$$H(h^2(s)) \leq sh(s) \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

which gives

$$h^2(s) \leq H^{-1}(sh(s)) \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

To estimate the last integral in (3.2), we consider the following partition of Γ_1 :

$$\Gamma_{11} = \{x \in \Gamma_1 : |u_t| > \varepsilon_0\}, \quad \Gamma_{12} = \{x \in \Gamma_1 : |u_t| \leq \varepsilon_0\}.$$

Recalling the definition of ε_0 and using (3.6), we obtain on Γ_{12} ,

$$u_t h(u_t) \leq \varepsilon_0 h_0^{-1}(\varepsilon_0) \leq h_0(r)r = H(r^2) \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$u_t h(u_t) \leq \varepsilon_0 h_0^{-1}(\varepsilon_0) \leq r h_0^{-1} h_0(r) = r^2.$$

Jensen's inequality gives

$$H^{-1}(J(t)) \geq c \int_{\Gamma_{12}} H^{-1}(u_t h(u_t)) d\Gamma. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, using (3.6) – (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t) d\Gamma &= \int_{\Gamma_{12}} h^2(u_t) d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{11}} h^2(u_t) d\Gamma \\ &\leq \int_{\Gamma_{12}} H^{-1}(u_t h(u_t)) d\Gamma + c \int_{\Gamma_{11}} u_t h(u_t) d\Gamma \\ &\leq cH^{-1}(J(t)) - cE'(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

□

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(u_0, u_1) \in (H_{\Gamma_0}^1 \times L^2(\Omega))$ be given. Assume that (G1)-(G4) are satisfied and h_0 is linear. Then, for any $t_0 > 0$, there exist two positive constants K , and λ such that the solution of (1.1) satisfies, for all $t \geq t_0$,*

$$E(t) \leq K e^{-\lambda \int_{t_0}^t \xi(s) ds}, \quad \text{if } p = 1. \tag{3.10}$$

$$E(t) \leq K \left[\frac{1}{1 + \int_{t_0}^t \xi^{2p-1}(s) ds} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p-2}}, \quad 1 < p < \frac{3}{2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Moreover, if

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{t \xi^{2p-1}(t) + 1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p-2}} dt < +\infty, \quad 1 < p < \frac{3}{2}, \tag{3.12}$$

then

$$E(t) \leq K \left[\frac{1}{1 + \int_{t_0}^t \xi^p(s) ds} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad 1 < p < \frac{3}{2}. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. Multiplying (3.2) by $\xi(t)$ and using Eqs. 3.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t)F'(t) &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + c\xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau \\ &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + c\xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) - c\xi(t)E'(t) \end{aligned}$$

which gives, as $\xi(t)$ is non-increasing,

$$(\xi\mathcal{F}+CE)'(t) \leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + c\xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \tag{3.14}$$

Let $L(t) := \xi(t)\mathcal{F}(t) + CE(t)$, then clearly $L \sim E$ and we have, for some $m_1 > 0$,

$$L'(t) \leq -m_1\xi(t)L(t) + c\xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Now, using the procedure similar to that of [17], we obtain the results of the theorem. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(u_0, u_1) \in V \times L^2(\Omega)$ be given, satisfying (2.7). Assume that (G1) – (G4) hold and h_0 is nonlinear. Then there exist positive constants k_1, k_2 and k_3 such that the solution of (1.1) satisfies , for all $t \geq t_0$,*

$$E(t) \leq k_3H_1^{-1} \left(k_1 \int_{t_0}^t \xi(s)ds + k_2 \right), \quad p = 1. \tag{3.15}$$

$$E(t) \leq k_3H_1^{-1} \left(k_1 \int_{t_0}^t \xi^{2p-1}(s)ds + k_2 \right), \quad 1 < p < \frac{3}{2}. \tag{3.16}$$

Moreover, if

$$\int_0^{+\infty} H_1^{-1} (k_1t\xi^{2p-1}(t) + k_2) dt < +\infty, \quad 1 < p < \frac{3}{2}, \tag{3.17}$$

then

$$E(t) \leq k_3H_2^{-1} \left(k_1 \int_{t_0}^t \xi^p(s)ds + k_2 \right), \quad 1 < p < \frac{3}{2}, \tag{3.18}$$

where $H_1(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{1}{t^{2p-1}H'(\varepsilon_0t)} ds$. and where $H_2(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{1}{t^{2p-1}H'(\varepsilon_0t)} ds$.

Here, H_1 and H_2 are strictly decreasing and convex on $(0, 1]$, with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} H_i(t) = +\infty$, $i = 1, 2$.

Simple calculations show that (3.16) and (3.17) yield

$$\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} E(t) dt < +\infty.$$

Proof. Case of $p = 1$. Recalling $G(2)$ and (2.6), Multiplying (3.2) by $\xi(t)$, we obtain, for all $t \geq t_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t)\mathcal{F}'(t) &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + C(\xi(t)g \circ \nabla u)(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau \tag{3.19} \\ &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t) - C(g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau \\ &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t) - CE'(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$(\xi\mathcal{F}+CE)'(t) \leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \quad (3.20)$$

Let $L(t) := \xi(t)\mathcal{F}(t) + CE(t)$, then clearly $L \sim E$ and we have, for some $m_1 > 0$,

$$L'(t) \leq -m_1\xi(t)L(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Now, using the procedure similar to that of [19], we obtain the results of the theorem.

Case of $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$.

Multiplying (3.2) by $\xi(t)$ and we using 2.7, we obtain

$$\xi(t)F'(t) \leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau + k(-E'^{\frac{1}{2p-1}}(t)),$$

multiplying by $\xi^{2p-2}(t)E^{2p-2}(t)$ and using Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-2}(t)F'(t) &\leq -m\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-1}(t) + c\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-2}(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau \\ &\quad + k(-E'(t))^{\frac{1}{2p-1}}(t)\xi^{2p-2}(t)E^{2p-2}(t) \\ &\leq -m\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-1}(t) + c\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-2}(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau \\ &\quad + k(-E'^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-1}(t)) \\ F'_2(t) &\leq k_1\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-1}(t) + c\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-2}(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t)d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

With $F_2(t) = F(t)\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-2}(t) + kE(t)$; $F_0 \sim E$.

Therefore, using (3.5), (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F'_2(t) &\leq k_1\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-1}(t) + c\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-2}(t) (H^{-1}(\lambda(t)) - E'(t)) \\ F'_2(t) &\leq k_1\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-1}(t) + c\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-2}(t)H^{-1}(\lambda(t)) - c\xi^{2p-1}(0)E^{2p-2}(0)E'(t) \\ F'_3(t) &\leq k_1\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-1}(t) + c\xi^{2p-1}(t)E^{2p-2}(t)H^{-1}(\lambda(t)) \end{aligned}$$

with $F_3 = F_2 + CE$ then, $F_3 \sim E$.

Now, for $\epsilon_0 < r^2$ and $c_0 > 0$, using (3.9) and the fact that $E' \leq 0$, $H' \geq 0$, $H'' \geq 0$ on $(0, r^2]$, we find that the functional F_2 defined by

$$F_4(t) := H' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) F_2(t) + c_0E(t)$$

satisfies, for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$,

$$\alpha_1F_4(t) \leq E(t) \leq \alpha_2F_4(t) \quad (3.22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'_4(t) &= \epsilon_0 \frac{E'(t)}{E(0)} H'' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) F_2(t) + H' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) F'_2(t) + c_0 E'(t) \\
 &\leq -k \xi^p E^p(t) H' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) + c \xi^p(t) E^{p-1}(t) H^{-1}(\lambda(t)) H' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) + c_0 E'(t).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.23}$$

Let H^* be the convex conjugate of H in the sense of young (see [4] p. 61 – 64); then

$$H^*(s) = s (H')^{-1}(s) - H \left[(H')^{-1}(s) \right], \quad \text{if } s \in [0, H^2]$$

and H^* satisfies the following Young’s inequality:

$$AB \leq H^*(A) + H(B), \quad \text{if } A \in (0, H^2], B \in (0, r^2].
 \tag{3.24}$$

With $A = H' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right)$ and $B = H^{-1}(\lambda(t))$, using (2.6), (3.7) and (3.23) – (3.24), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'_4(t) &\leq -k \xi^{2p-1} E^{2p-1}(t) H' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) + c \xi(t) \lambda(t) \\
 &\quad + c \xi^{2p-1}(t) E^{2p-2}(t) H^* \left(H' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) \right) + c_0 E'(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

that gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'_4(t) &\leq -k \xi^{2p-1} E^{2p-1}(t) H' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) + c \epsilon_0 \xi^{2p-1}(t) \frac{E^{2p-1}(t)}{E(0)} H' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) \\
 &\quad - c E'(t) + c_0 E'(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, with a suitable choice of ϵ_0 and k , we obtain, for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$F'_4(t) \leq -k_1 \xi^{2p-1}(t) \left(\frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right)^{2p-1} H' \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) = -k_1 \xi^{2p-1}(t) H_2 \left(\frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right),
 \tag{3.25}$$

where $H_2(t) = t^{2p-1} H'(\epsilon_0 t)$.

Since $h_0 \in C^1([0, +\infty))$, then it is evident that $H \in C^1([0, +\infty))$ and $H'(0) = h'_0(0)$. So, $H_2(0) = 0$ and since

$$H'_2(t) = (2p - 1)t^{2p-2} H'(\epsilon_0 t) + \epsilon_0 t^{2p-1} H''(\epsilon_0 t)$$

then, using the strict convexity of H on $(0, r^2]$, we find that $H'_2(t), H_2(t) > 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

Thus, with $R(t) = \frac{\alpha_1 F_4(t)}{E(0)}$, and using (3.22) and (3.25), we have $R \sim E$ and, for some $k_1 > 0$,

$$R'(t) \leq -k_1 \xi^{2p-1}(t) H_2(R(t)), \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Then, a simple integration gives, for some $k_2 > 0$,

$$R(t) \leq H_1^{-1} \left(k_1 \int_{t_0}^t \xi^{2p-1}(s) ds + k_2 \right), \quad \forall t > t_0,$$

where $H_1(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{1}{H_2(s)} ds$.

To establish (3.18) Multiplying(3.2) by $\xi(t)$ and recall Remark 3. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t)\mathcal{F}'(t) &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + C\xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t) d\tau \\ &= -m\xi(t)E(t) + C \frac{\eta(t)}{\eta(t)} \int_0^t [\xi^p(s) g^p(s)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(t-s)\|_2^2 ds \\ &\quad + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t) d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(t) &= \int_0^t \|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(t-s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u(t-s)\|_2^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t [E(t) + E(t-s)] ds \leq 2C \int_0^t E(t-s) ds \\ &= 2C \int_0^t E(s) ds < 2C \int_0^{+\infty} E(s) ds < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Jensen’s inequality (2.10) for the second term on the right hand side of (3.26), with

$$G(y) = y^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad y > 0, \quad f(s) = \xi^p(s) g^p(s)$$

and

$$h(s) = \|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(t-s)\|_2^2,$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t)F'(t) &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t) d\tau, \\ &\quad + C\eta(t) \left[\frac{1}{\eta(t)} \int_0^t \xi^p(s) g^p(s) \|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(t-s)\|_2^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

where we assume that $\eta(t) > 0$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t)F'(t) &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t) d\tau \\ &\quad + C\eta^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(t) \left[\xi^{p-1}(0) \int_0^t \xi(s) g^p(s) \|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(t-s)\|_2^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + C(-g' \circ \nabla u)^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t) d\tau \\ &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t) + C(-E'(t))^{\frac{1}{p}} + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $\xi^p(t) E^p(t)$, and repeating the same computations as in above, we arrive at

$$E(t) \leq k_3 H_2^{-1} \left(k_1 \int_{t_0}^t \xi^p(s) ds + k_2 \right), \quad 1 < p < \frac{3}{2},$$

where $H_2(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{1}{t^p H'(\varepsilon_0 t)} ds$.

Remark 3.5. In the case where $\| \nabla u(t) - \nabla u(t - s) \| = 0$ and hence from (3.2) we have

$$\mathcal{F}'(t) \leq -mE(t) + c \int_{\Gamma_1} h^2(u_t) d\tau,$$

using the procedure similar to that of [19], we obtain

Case h_0 linear

$$E(t) \leq C e^{-mt}.$$

Case h_0 nonlinear

$$E(t) \leq H_1^{-1}(k_1 t + k_2), \quad \forall t > t_0$$

This completes the proof of our main result. □

Example 3.6. As in [17], we give an example to illustrate the existence of relaxation function g and ξ satisfying (G2):

If $p = 1$:

Let $g(t) = a e^{-b(1+t)}$, where $b > 0 < \nu \leq 1$ and $a > 0$ is chosen so that $\int_0^{+\infty} g(t) dt < 1$. Then $g'(t) = -\xi(t) g(t)$ where $\xi(t) = b$.

If $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$:

Let $g(t) = \frac{a}{(1+t)^\nu}$, $\nu > 2$, where $a > 0$ is a constant so that $\int_0^{+\infty} g(t) dt < 1$. We have

$$g'(t) = -\frac{a\nu}{(1+t)^{\nu+1}} = -b \left(\frac{a}{(1+t)^\nu} \right)^{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu}} = -bg^p(t), \quad p = \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} < \frac{3}{2}, \quad b > 0.$$

with $\xi(t) = b$.

Example 3.7. As in [2, 6], we give an example to illustrate the energy decay rates given by **Theorem (3.3)** and **Theorem (3.4)**.

If h satisfies

$$c_1 \min \{ |s|, |s|^q \} \leq |h(s)| \leq c_2 \max \{ |s|, |s|^{1/q} \},$$

for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $q \geq 1$. Then $h_0(s) = cs^q$ and $\bar{H}(s) = \sqrt{s} h_0(\sqrt{s}) = cs^{\frac{q+1}{2}}$ is a strictly convex C^2 function on $(0, \infty)$, then $H_1^{-1}(t) = (ct + c_1)^{\frac{2}{4p+q-5}}$, and the relaxation function g and ξ given in Example 3.6.

Then, we obtain for some constants $c, c', c'' > 0$:

If $p = 1$ and $q = 1$ (h_0 is linear), by **Theorem (3.3)** we arrive at

$$E(t) \leq ce^{-c' \int_0^t \xi(s) ds} = ce^{-c'bt}.$$

If $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$ and $q = 1$ (h_0 is linear), by **Theorem (3.3)** we arrive at

$$E(t) \leq c \left(c' \int_0^t \xi^{2p-1}(s) ds + c'' \right)^{-\frac{1}{2p-2}} = c (c'bt + c'')^{-\frac{1}{2p-2}}.$$

If $p = 1$ and $q > 1$ (h_0 is nonlinear), by **Theorem (3.4)** we arrive at

$$E(t) \leq c \left(c' \int_0^t \xi(s) ds + c'' \right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} = c (c'bt + c'')^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}.$$

If $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$ and $q > 1$ (h_0 is nonlinear), by **Theorem (3.4)** we arrive at

$$E(t) \leq c \left(c' \int_0^t \xi^{2p-1}(s) ds + c'' \right)^{-\frac{2}{4p+q-5}} = c (c'bt + c'')^{-\frac{2}{4p+q-5}}.$$

References

- [1] Aassila, M., Cavalcanti, M.M., Soriano, J.A., *Asymptotic stability and energy decay rates for solutions of the wave equation with memory in a star-shaped domain*, SIAM J. Control Optim., (2000), 1581-1602.
- [2] Alabau-Boussouira, F., *On convexity and weighted integral inequalities for energy decay rates of nonlinear dissipative hyperbolic systems*, Appl. Math. Optim., **51**(2005), 61-105.
- [3] Al-Gharabli, M.M., Al-Mahdi, A.M., Messaoudi, S.A., *General and optimal decay result for a viscoelastic problem with nonlinear boundary feedback*, J. Dyn. Control Syst., **25**(2019), 551-572.
- [4] Arnold, V., *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, 61-64, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [5] Berrimi, S., Messoudi, S.A., *Existence and decay of solution of a viscoelastic equation with a nonlinear source*, Nonlinear Anal., **64**(2006), 2314-2331.
- [6] Cavalcanti, M., Cavalcanti, V.D., Martinez, P., *General decay rate estimates for viscoelastic dissipative systems*, Nonlinear Anal., **68**(1)(2008), 177-193.
- [7] Cavalcanti, M., Cavalcanti, V.D., Prates Filho, J., Soriano, J., et al., *Existence and uniform decay rates for viscoelastic problems with nonlinear boundary damping*, Differential and Integral Equations, **14**(1)(2001), 85-116.
- [8] Dafermos, C.M., *An abstract volterra equation with application to linear viscoelasticity*, J. Differential Equations, **7**(1970), 554-589.
- [9] Dafermos, C.M., *Asymptotic stability in viscoelasticity*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **37**(1970), 297-308.
- [10] Lagnese, J.E., *Asymptotic Energy Estimates for Kirchhoff Plates Subject to Weak Viscoelastic Damping*, in: International Series of Numerical Mathematics, **91**, Birkhäuser, Verlag, Basel, 1989.

- [11] Li, F., Zhao, Z., Chen, Y., *Global existence uniqueness and decay estimates for nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation with boundary dissipation*, Nonlinear Analysis, Real World Applications, **12**(2011), no. 3, 1759-1773.
- [12] Liu, W.J., *General decay rate estimate for a viscoelastic equation with weakly nonlinear time-dependent dissipation and source terms*, J. Math. Phys., **50**(11)(2009), Art. 113506.
- [13] Liu, W.J., Yu, J., *On decay and blow-up of the solution for a viscoelastic wave equation with boundary damping and source terms*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, **74**(2011), no. 6, 2175-2190.
- [14] Lu, L., Li, S., Chai, S., *On a viscoelastic equation with nonlinear boundary damping and source terms: Global existence and decay of the solution*, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, **12**(2011), no. 1, 295-303.
- [15] Messaoudi, S.A., *General decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation*, JMAA 341, (2008), 1457-1467.
- [16] Messaoudi, S.A., *General decay of the solution energy in a viscoelastic equation with a nonlinear source*, Nonlinear Anal., **69**(2008), 2589-2598.
- [17] Messaoudi, S.A., Al-Khulaifi, W., *General and optimal decay for a quasilinear viscoelastic equation*, Appl. Math. Lett., **66**(2017), 16-22.
- [18] Messaoudi, S.A., Mustafa, M.I., *On the control of solutions of viscoelastic equations with boundary feedback*, Nonlinear Anal., Real World Applications, **10**(2009), 3132-3140.
- [19] Messaoudi, S.A., Mustafa, M.I., *On convexity for energy decay rates of a viscoelastic equation with boundary feedback*, Nonlinear Analysis, **72**(2010), 3602-3611.
- [20] Messaoudi, S.A., Soufyane, A., *General decay of solutions of a wave equation with a boundary control of memory type*, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, **11**(2010), no. 4, 2896-2904.
- [21] Muñoz Rivera, J.E., Peres Salvatierra, A., *Asymptotic behaviour of the energy in partially viscoelastic materials*, Quarterly of Applied Mathematics, **59**(2001), no. 3, 557-578.
- [22] Mustafa, M.I., *Uniform decay rates for viscoelastic dissipative systems*, J. Dyn. Control Syst., **22**(1)(2016), 101-116.
- [23] Park, J.Y., Park, S.H., *Existence and asymptotic stability for viscoelastic problems with nonlocal boundary dissipation*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **56**(2006), no. 2, 273-286.
- [24] Shun-Tang, W., Chen, H.F., *Uniform decay of solutions for a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation with boundary dissipation*, Journal of Function Spaces and Applications, (2012), Art. ID 421847, 17 pages.
- [25] Wu, S.T., *General decay for a wave equation of Kirchhoff type with a boundary control of memory type*, Boundary Value Problems, **55**(2011).

Benyattou Benabderrahmane
National Higher School of Mathematics,
Scientific and Technology Hub of Sidi Abdellah,
P.O. Box 75, Algiers 16093, Algeria
e-mail: benyattou.benabderrahmane@univ-msila.dz

Salah Drabla
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences,
University Farhat Abbas of Setif1, Setif 19000, Algeria
e-mail: drabla.s@univ-setif.dz

