

Multisymplectic connections on supermanifolds

Masoud Aminizadeh and Mina Ghotbaldini

Abstract. In this paper we show that on any multisymplectic supermanifold there exist a connection compatible to the multisymplectic form.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 58A50, 53D05.

Keywords: Multisymplectic supermanifolds, multisymplectic connections.

1. Introduction

Multisymplectic structures in field theory play a role similar to that of symplectic structures in classical mechanics. In the other hand supergeometry plays an important role in physics. In [2] and [3], the authors studied geometry of symplectic connections and in [1], the author studied symplectic connections on supermanifold. In this paper we study multisymplectic connections on supermanifolds.

A supermanifold \mathcal{M} of dimension $n|m$ is a pair $(M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$, where M is a Hausdorff topological space and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a sheaf of commutative superalgebras with unity over \mathbb{R} locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{m|n} = (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \otimes \Lambda_{\eta^1, \dots, \eta^m})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the sheaf of smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^n and $\Lambda_{\eta^1, \dots, \eta^m}$ is the grassmann superalgebra of m generators (for more details see [5]).

If \mathcal{M} is a supermanifold of dimension $n|m$, we define the tangent sheaf as follows,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U) = \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)),$$

the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ -supermodule of derivations of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is locally free of dimension $n|m$. The sections of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ are called vector fields.

Definition 1.1. If ξ be a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -supermodules on \mathcal{M} , a connection on ξ is a morphism $\nabla : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \xi \rightarrow \xi$ of sheaves of supermodules over \mathbb{R} such that

$$\nabla_{fX}v = f\nabla_Xv, \nabla_Xfv = (Xf) + (-1)^{\widetilde{X}\widetilde{f}}f\nabla_Xv \text{ and } \widetilde{\nabla_Xv} = \widetilde{v} + \widetilde{X},$$

for all homogeneous function f , vector fields X and section v of ξ . (In the case $\xi = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ we speak of a connection on \mathcal{M}).

We define the torsion of a connection ∇ on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ by

$$T(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \nabla_Y X - [X, Y].$$

Definition 1.2. A graded Riemannian metric on supermanifold \mathcal{M} is a graded-symmetric non-degenerate $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -linear morphism of sheaves

$$g : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

A supermanifold equipped with graded Riemannian metric is called a Riemannian supermanifold. If \mathcal{M} is a Riemannian supermanifold with Riemannian metric g , we call a connection ∇ metric if $\nabla g = 0$.

On a supermanifold M with a Riemannian metric g , there exist a unique torsion free and metric connection ∇^0 , which will be called the Levi-Civita connection of the metric (see [4]).

2. Multisymplectic connections on supermanifolds

Let us consider a multisymplectic supermanifold of degree k (\mathcal{M}, ω) , i.e. a supermanifold \mathcal{M} with a closed non-degenerate graded differential k -form ω .

Definition 2.1. A multisymplectic connection on \mathcal{M} is a connection for which:

i) The torsion tensor vanishes, i.e.

$$\nabla_X Y - (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \nabla_Y X = [X, Y].$$

ii) It is compatible to the multisymplectic form, i.e. $\nabla \omega = 0$.

To prove the existence of such a connection, take ∇^0 to be the Levi-Civita connection associated to a metric g on \mathcal{M} . Consider tensor N on \mathcal{M} defined by

$$\nabla_{Y_0}^0 \omega(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k) = (-1)^{\tilde{\omega} \tilde{Y}_0} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k).$$

We shall proof some properties of N .

Lemma 2.2. We have

- i) $\omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) = -(-1)^{\tilde{Y}_1 \tilde{Y}_2} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_2), Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$;
 ii) $\omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i + \sum_{p < i} \tilde{Y}_p} \tilde{Y}_i \omega(N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) = 0$,
 where the hats indicate omitted arguments.

Proof. We first prove (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) &= (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega}} \nabla_{Y_0}^0 \omega(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k) \\ &= -(-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{Y}_1 \tilde{Y}_2} \nabla_{Y_0}^0 \omega(Y_2, Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \\ &= -(-1)^{\tilde{Y}_1 \tilde{Y}_2} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_2), Y_1, \dots, Y_k). \end{aligned}$$

For proof (ii) we know $d\omega = 0$ so

$$0 = d\omega(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \tilde{Y}_i (\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \tilde{Y}_p)} Y_i (\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j + \sum_{i < p < j} \widetilde{Y}_j \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, [Y_i, Y_j], Y_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i (\widetilde{w} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} Y_i (\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k)) \\
& + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j + \sum_{i < p < j} \widetilde{Y}_j \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j - (-1)^{\widetilde{Y}_i \widetilde{Y}_j} \nabla_{Y_j}^0 Y_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i (\widetilde{w} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} Y_i (\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k)) \\
& + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j + \sum_{i < p < j} \widetilde{Y}_j \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, Y_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
& - \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j + \sum_{i \leq p < j} \widetilde{Y}_j \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_j}^0 Y_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i (\widetilde{w} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} Y_i (\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k)) \\
& + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j + \sum_{i < p < j} \widetilde{Y}_j \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, Y_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
& - \sum_{j < i} (-1)^{i + \sum_{j \leq p < i} \widetilde{Y}_i \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{j-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, Y_{j+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i (\widetilde{w} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} Y_i (\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k)) \\
& - \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i + \sum_{i < p < j} \widetilde{Y}_i \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_{j-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, Y_{j+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\
& - \sum_{j < i} (-1)^{i + \sum_{j \leq p < i} \widetilde{Y}_i \widetilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{j-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, Y_{j+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i (\widetilde{w} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} (Y_i (\omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k)) \\
& - \sum_j (-1)^{\widetilde{Y}_i (\widetilde{w} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_0, \dots, Y_{j-1}, \nabla_{Y_i}^0 Y_j, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k)) \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i (\widetilde{w} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} \nabla_{Y_i}^0 \omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\
& = (-1)^{\widetilde{Y}_0 \widetilde{w}} \nabla_{Y_0}^0 \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i + \widetilde{Y}_i (\widetilde{w} + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p)} \nabla_{Y_i}^0 \omega(Y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\
& = \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i + \sum_{p < i} \widetilde{Y}_p \widetilde{Y}_i} \omega(N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Now we show that on any multisymplectic supermanifold there exist a connection compatible to the multisymplectic form.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (\mathcal{M}, ω) be a multisymplectic supermanifold. Then on \mathcal{M} there is at least a multisymplectic connection.*

Proof. We define now a new connection ∇ as follows

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^0 Y + \frac{1}{k+1} N(X, Y) + \frac{(-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}}{k+1} N(Y, X).$$

It is easy to show that ∇ is a torsion free connection. We show that the connection is compatible with the multisymplectic form ω , i.e. $\nabla\omega = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_{Y_0} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = Y_0(\omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_0} Y_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ & = Y_0(\omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{p < i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_0}^0 Y_i \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{k+1} N(Y_0, Y_i) + \frac{(-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{Y}_i}}{k+1} N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ & = Y_0(\omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{1 \leq p < i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla_{Y_0}^0 Y_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{1 \leq p < i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, N(Y_0, Y_i), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ & = \nabla_{Y_0}^0 \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{Y}_i \sum_{1 \leq p < i} \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_i), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{Y}_i \sum_{0 \leq p < i} \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\ & = (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega}} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) - \frac{k}{k+1} (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega}} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i + \tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{Y}_i \sum_{0 \leq p < i} \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) \\ & = \frac{1}{k+1} (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega}} \omega(N(Y_0, Y_1), Y_2, \dots, Y_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+\tilde{Y}_i} \sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_p \omega(N(Y_i, Y_0), Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_k) = 0. \quad \square$$

Let now ∇ be a multisymplectic connection and $\nabla'_X Y = \nabla_X Y + S(X, Y)$, where S is a tensor field on \mathcal{M} . We have

Theorem 2.4. ∇' is a multisymplectic connection if and only if S is supersymmetric and

$$\sum_i (-1)^{\sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, S(Y_0, Y_i), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) = 0.$$

Proof. If we want ∇' to be torsion free then

$$\nabla_Y X + S(X, Y) - (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \nabla_Y X - (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} S(Y, X) = [X, Y].$$

So $S(X, Y) = -(-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} S(Y, X)$. If ∇' be compatible to the multisymplectic form ω . We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla'_{Y_0} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = Y_0(\omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)) \\ &\quad - \sum_i (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0(\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_p)} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, \nabla'_{Y_0} Y_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) \\ &= \nabla_{Y_0} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) - (-1)^{\tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\omega}} (\sum_i (-1)^{\sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, S(Y_0, Y_i), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k)). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_i (-1)^{\sum_{p<i} \tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{Y}_p} \omega(Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, S(Y_0, Y_i), Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_k) = 0. \quad \square$$

References

- [1] Blaga, P.A., *Symplectic connections on supermanifolds: Existence and non-uniqueness*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **58**(2013), no. 4, 477-483.
- [2] Bieliavsky, P., Cahen, M., Gutt, S., Rawnsley, J., Schwachhofer, L., *Symplectic connections*, math/0511194.
- [3] Gelfand, I., Retakh, V., Shubin, M., *Fedosov manifolds*, Advan. Math., **136**(1998), 104-140.
- [4] Goertsches, O., *Riemannian supergeometry*, Math. Z., **260**, (2008), 557-593.
- [5] Leites, D.A., *Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, **35**(1980), 1-64.

Masoud Aminizadeh

“Vali-e-Asr” University of Rafsanjan

Department of Mathematics

Rafsanjan, Iran

e-mail: m.aminizadeh@vru.ac.ir

Mina Ghotbaldini

“Vali-e-Asr” University of Rafsanjan

Department of Mathematics

Rafsanjan, Iran

