On sandwich theorems for p-valent functions involving a new generalized differential operator

T. Al-Hawary, B.A. Frasin and M. Darus

Abstract. A new differential operator $F^m_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z)$ is introduced for functions of the form $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ which are p-valent in the unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. The main object of this paper is to derive some subordination and superordination results involving differential operator $F^m_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C45.

Keywords: Analytic functions, differential subordination, differential superordination, sandwich theorems.

1. Introduction

Let $H(\mathbb{U})$ denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$$

and let H[a, b] denote the subclass of the functions $f \in H(\mathbb{U})$ of the form:

$$f(z) = a + a_p z^p + a_{p+1} z^{p+1} + \dots \ (a \in \mathbb{C}; \ p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}).$$
(1.1)

For simplicity H[a] = H[a, 1]. Also, let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ be the subclass of $H(\mathbb{U})$ consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \qquad (a_{n} \ge 0; p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}),$$
(1.2)

which are p-valent in \mathbb{U} . If $f, g \in H(\mathbb{U})$, we say that f is subordinate to g or g is subordinate to f, written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists an analytic function w on \mathbb{U} such that w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1, such that g(z) = h(w(z)) for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence (see [5] and [13]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ and h(z) be univalent in \mathbb{U} . If p(z) is analytic in \mathbb{U} and satisfies the second-order differential subordination:

$$\phi\left(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z\right) \prec h(z), \tag{1.3}$$

then p(z) is a solution of the differential subordination (1.3). The univalent function q(z) is called a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination (1.3) if $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all p(z) satisfying (1.3). A univalent dominant \tilde{q} that satisfies $\tilde{q} \prec q$ for all dominants of (1.3) is called the best dominant. If p(z) and $\phi(p(z), zp'(z); z)$ are univalent in \mathbb{U} and if p(z) satisfies second-order differential superordination:

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z),$$
 (1.4)

then p(z) is a solution of the differential superdination (1.4). An univalent function q(z) is called a subordinant of the solutions of the differential superordination (1.4) if $q(z) \prec p(z)$ for all p(z) satisfying (1.4). A univalent subordinant \tilde{q} that satisfies $q \prec \tilde{q}$ for all subordinants of (1.4) is called the best subordinant. Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [14], Bulboaca [4] considered certain classes of first-order differential superordinations as well as superordination-preserving integral operators [5]. Ali et al. [1], have used the results of Bulboaca [4] to obtain sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{A}(1)$ to satisfy:

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

where q_1 and q_2 are given univalent normalized functions in \mathbb{U} with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$.

Also, Tuneski [23] obtained a sufficient condition for starlikeness of $f \in \mathcal{A}(1)$ in terms of the quantity $\frac{f''(z)f(z)}{(f'(z))^2}$.

Recently, Shanmugam et al. [18], [19] and [21] obtained sufficient conditions for the normalized analytic function $f \in \mathcal{A}(1)$ to satisfy

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{f^2(z)} \prec q_2(z).$$

Recently, Shanmugam et al. [19] obtained the such called sandwich results for certain classes of analytic functions.

For the function $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$, we define the following new differential operator:

$$F^{0}f(z) = f(z);$$

$$F^{1}_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z) = (1 - p\beta(\lambda - \alpha))f(z) + \beta(\lambda - \alpha)zf'(z);$$

$$F^{2}_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z) = (1 - p\beta(\lambda - \alpha))(F^{1}_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z)) + \beta(\lambda - \alpha)z(F^{1}_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z))'$$

396

and for m = 1, 2, 3, ...

$$F^{m}_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z) = (1 - p\beta(\lambda - \alpha))(F^{m-1}_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z)) + \beta(\lambda - \alpha)z(F^{m-1}_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z))'$$

$$= F^{1}_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}(F^{m-1}_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z))$$

$$= z^{p} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[1 + \beta(\lambda - \alpha)(n - p)\right]^{m} a_{n}z^{n}, \qquad (1.5)$$

for $\alpha \ge 0, \beta \ge 0, \lambda \ge 0$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

It easily verified from (1.5) that

$$\beta(\lambda - \alpha)z(F^m_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z))' = F^{m+1}_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z) - (1 - p\beta(\lambda - \alpha))F^m_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}f(z).$$
(1.6)

Remark 1.1. (i) When $\delta = 0$ and p = 1, we have the operator introduced and studied by Rabha (see [7]).

(ii) When $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = p = 1$, we have the operator introduced and studied by Al-Oboudi (see [3]).

(iii) And when $\alpha = 0$ and $\lambda = \beta = p = 1$, we have the operator introduced and studied by Sălăgean (see [17]).

In this paper, we will derive several subordination results, superordination results and sandwich results involving the operator $F_{\lambda,p}^m f(z)$.

2. Definitions and preliminaries

In order to prove our subordinations and superordinations, we need the following definition and lemmas.

Definition 2.1. [14] Denote by Q, the set of all functions f that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \setminus E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \left\{ \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U} \colon \lim_{z \to \zeta} f(z) = \infty \right\},\$$

and are such that $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U} \setminus E(f)$.

Lemma 2.2. [14] Let q(z) be univalent in \mathbb{U} and let θ and φ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(\mathbb{U})$, with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\mathbb{U})$. Set $\psi(z) = zq'(z)\varphi(q(z))$ and $h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + \psi(z)$. Suppose that

(i) ψ is a starlike function in \mathbb{U} ,

(ii) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zh'(z)}{\psi(z)}\right\} > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{U}.$

If p(z) is a analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = q(0), p(\mathbb{U}) \subset D$ and

$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)),$$
(2.1)

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and q(z) is the best dominant of (2.1).

Lemma 2.3. [4] Let q(z) be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} and let ϑ and ϕ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(\mathbb{U})$. Suppose that

(i) $Re\left\{\frac{\vartheta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))}\right\} > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{U},$ (ii) $\Psi(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in \mathbb{U} . If $p(z) \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $p(\mathbb{U}) \subseteq D$, and $\vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z))$ is univalent band

in \mathbb{U} and

$$\vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) \prec \vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)),$$
(2.2)

then $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and q(z) is the best subordinant of (2.2).

3. Subordination and superordination for p-valent functions

We begin with the following result involving differential subordination between analytic functions.

Theorem 3.1. Let q(z) be univalent in \mathbb{U} with q(0) = 1, Further, assume that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{2(\delta+\alpha)q(z)}{\delta} + 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right\} > 0.$$
(3.1)

If $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ satisfy the following subordination condition:

$$\Upsilon(m,\lambda,p,\delta;z) \prec \delta z q'(z) + (\delta + \alpha) (q(z))^2, \qquad (3.2)$$

where

$$\Upsilon(m,\lambda,p,\delta;z) = \frac{\delta F_{\lambda,p}^{m+2}f(z)}{\beta(\lambda-\alpha)F_{\lambda,p}^{m}f(z)} + \left(\delta + \alpha - \frac{\delta}{\beta(\lambda-\alpha)}\right) \frac{\left(F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(F_{\lambda,p}^{m}f(z)\right)^{2}}, \quad (3.3)$$

then

$$\frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^mf(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

Proof. Define a function p(z) by

$$p(z) = \frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^m f(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$
(3.4)

Then the function p(z) is analytic in \mathbb{U} and p(0) = 1. Therefore, differentiating (3.4) logarithmically with respect to z and using the identity (1.6) in the resulting equation, we have

$$\frac{\delta F_{\lambda,p}^{m+2} f(z)}{\beta(\lambda-\alpha) F_{\lambda,p}^{m} f(z)} + \left(\delta + \alpha - \frac{\delta}{\beta(\lambda-\alpha)}\right) \frac{\left(F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1} f(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(F_{\lambda,p}^{m} f(z)\right)^{2}} = \left(\delta + \alpha\right) \left(p(z)\right)^{2} + \delta z p'(z),$$
(3.5)

that is,

$$(\delta + \alpha) (p(z))^2 + \delta z p'(z) \prec (\delta + \alpha) (q(z))^2 + \delta z q'(z).$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 now follows by applying Lemma 2.2 by setting

$$\theta(w) = (\delta + \alpha)w^2$$
 and $\varphi(w) = \delta$.

398

Corollary 3.2. Let $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ $(-1 \le B < A \le 1)$ in Theorem 3.1, further assuming that (3.1) holds.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ satisfy the following subordination condition:

$$\Upsilon(m,\lambda,p,\delta;z)\prec \frac{\delta(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)^2}+(\delta+\alpha)\left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)^2,$$

then

$$\frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^mf(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

and the function $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is the best dominant. In particular, if $q(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, then for $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ we have,

$$\Upsilon(m,\lambda,p,\delta;z) \prec \frac{2\delta z}{\left(1-z\right)^2} + \left(\delta + \alpha\right) \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2,$$

then

$$\frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^mf(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

and the function $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is the best dominant.

Furthermore, if we take $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu}$, $(0 < \mu \leq 1)$, then for $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ we have,

$$\Upsilon(m,\lambda,p,\delta;z) \prec \frac{2\delta\mu z}{(1-z)^2} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu-1} + (\delta+\alpha) \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2\mu},$$

then

$$\frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^mf(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu}$$

and the function $\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu}$ is the best dominant.

Next, by applying Lemma 2.3 we prove the following.

Theorem 3.3. Let q(z) be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} with q(0) = 1. Assume that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{2(\delta+\alpha)q(z)q'(z)}{\delta}\right\} > 0.$$
(3.6)

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ such that $\frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^mf(z)} \in H[q(0),1] \cap Q, \ \Upsilon(m,\lambda,p,\delta;z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} and the following superordination condition

$$(\delta + \alpha) (q(z))^2 + \delta z q'(z) \prec \Upsilon(m, \lambda, p, \delta; z)$$
(3.7)

holds, then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^m f(z)}$$
(3.8)

and q(z) is the best subordinant.

Proof. Let the function p(z) be defined by

$$p(z) = \frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^m f(z)}.$$

Then from the assumption of Theorem 3.3, the function p(z) is analytic in U and (3.5) holds. Hence, the subordination (3.7) is equivalent to

$$(\delta + \alpha) (q(z))^{2} + \delta z q'(z) \prec (\delta + \alpha) (p(z))^{2} + \delta z p'(z)$$

The assertion (3.8) of Theorem 3.3 now follows by an application of Lemma 2.3.

Corollary 3.4. Let $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ $(-1 \le B < A \le 1)$ in Theorem 3.3, further assuming that (3.6) holds.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ such that $\frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^m f(z)} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q, \Upsilon(m, \lambda, p, \delta; z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} and the following superordination condition

$$\frac{\delta(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)^2} + (\delta + \alpha) \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)^2 \prec \Upsilon(m, \lambda, p, \delta; z)$$

holds, then

$$\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \prec \frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^m f(z)}$$

and q(z) is the best subordinant.

Also, let $q(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, then for $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ we have,

$$\frac{2\delta z}{\left(1-z\right)^{2}} + \left(\delta + \alpha\right) \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2} \prec \Upsilon(m, \lambda, p, \delta; z),$$

then

$$\frac{1+z}{1-z} \prec \frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^m f(z)}$$

and the function $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is the best subordinant.

Finally, by taking $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu}$, $(0 < \mu \le 1)$, then for $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ we have,

$$\frac{2\delta\mu z}{(1-z)^2} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu-1} + (\delta+\alpha) \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2\mu} \prec \Upsilon(m,\lambda,p,\delta;z),$$

then

$$\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu} \prec \frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^{m}f(z)}$$

and the function $\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu}$ is the best subordinant. Combining Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3, we get the following sandwich theorem.

400

Theorem 3.5. Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ and satisfies (3.1) and (3.6) respectively. If $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ such that $\frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^mf(z)} \in H[q(0),1] \cap Q$, $\Upsilon(m,\lambda,p,\delta;z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} and

$$(\delta + \alpha) (q_1(z))^2 + \delta z q'_1(z) \prec \Upsilon(m, \lambda, p, \delta; z) \prec (\delta + \alpha) (q_2(z))^2 + \delta z q'_2(z),$$

holds, then $q_1(z) \prec \frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^mf(z)} \prec q_2(z)$ and $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

Corollary 3.6. Let $q_i(z) = \frac{1+A_iz}{1+B_iz}$ $(i = 1, 2; -1 \le B_2 < B_1 < A_1 \le A_2 \le 1)$ in Theorem 3.5. If $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ such that $\frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^mf(z)} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, $\Upsilon(m, \lambda, p, \delta; z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} and

$$\frac{\delta(A_1 - B_1)z}{(1 + B_1z)^2} + (\delta + \alpha) \left(\frac{1 + A_1z}{1 + B_1z}\right)^2 \prec \Upsilon(m, \lambda, p, \delta; z) \prec \frac{\delta(A_2 - B_2)z}{(1 + B_2z)^2} + (\delta + \alpha) \left(\frac{1 + A_2z}{1 + B_2z}\right)^2$$

holds, then $\frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z} \prec \frac{F_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}f(z)}{F_{\lambda,p}^mf(z)} \prec \frac{1+A_2z}{1+B_2z}$ and $\frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z}$ and $\frac{1+A_2z}{1+B_2z}$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

Remarks. Other works related to differential subordination or superordination can be found in [2], [6], [8]-[12], [15], [16], [20], [22].

Acknowledgement. The work here was fully supported by AP-2013-009.

References

- Ali, R.M., Ravichandran, V., Khan, M.H., Subramanian, K.G., Differential sandwich theorems for certain analytic functions, Far East J. Math. Sci., 15(2004), no. 1, 87-94.
- [2] Al-Abbadi, M.H., Darus, M., Differential subordination defined by new generalised derivative operator for analytic functions, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2010(2010), Article ID 369078, 15 pages.
- [3] Al-Oboudi, F.M., On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, J. Math. Math. Sci., 27(2004), 1429-1436.
- Bulboacă, T., Classes of first-order differential superordinations, Demonstratio Math., 35(2002), no. 2, 287-292.
- [5] Bulboacă, T., Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results, House of Science Book Publ. Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [6] Darus, M., Faisal, I., Differential subordination for meromorphic multivalent quasiconvex functions, Facta Universitatis (NIS), Ser. Math. Inform., 26(2011), 1-7.
- [7] Ibrahim, R.W., Certain problems related to classes of analytic functions and applications, PhD Thesis, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 2010.
- [8] Ibrahim, R.W., Darus, M., Subordination and superordination for univalent solutions for fractional differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 345(2008), no. 2, 871-879.
- [9] Ibrahim, R.W., Darus, M., On subordination theorems for new classes of normalize analytic functions, Appl. Math. Sci., 56(2008), no. 2, 2785-2794.
- [10] Ibrahim, R.W., Darus, M., Differential subordination for meromorphic multivalent quasiconvex functions, Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae, 79(2010), no. 1, 39-45.

- [11] Ibrahim, R.W., Darus, M., Subordination for meromorphic functions defined by an operator, Int. J. Pure and Appl. Math., 69(2011), no. 4, 413-419.
- [12] Darus, M., Al-Shaqsi, K., On Subordinations for certain analytic functions associated with generalized integral operator, Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, 29, no. 2, 90-97.
- [13] Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T., Differential Subordination, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [14] Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T., Subordinants of differential superordinations, Complex Var. Theory Appl., 48(2003), no. 10, 815-826.
- [15] Mohammed, A., Darus, M., Breaz, D., On subordination, starlikeness and convexity of certain integral operators, Mathematica, 76(2011), no. 2, 165-170.
- [16] Mohd, M.H., Darus, M., Differential subordination and superordination for Srivastava-Attiya operator, Int. J. Differential Equations, 2011 (2011), Article ID 902830, 19 pages.
- [17] Sălăgean, G.S., Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1013(1983), 362-372.
- [18] Shanmugan, T.N., Ramachandran, C., Darus, M., Sivasubbramanian, S., Differential sandwich theorems for some subclasses of analytic functions involving a linear operator, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian., 74(2007), no. 2, 287-294.
- [19] Shanmugam, T.N., Ravichandran, V., Sivasubramanian, S., Differential sandwhich theorems for some subclasses of analytic functions, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 3(2006), no. 1, 1-11.
- [20] Shanmugam, T.N., Srikandan, S., Frasin, B.A., Kavitha, S., On sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving carlson-shaffer operator, J. Korean Math. Soc., 45(2008), no. 3, 611-620.
- [21] Shanmugam, T.N., Sivasubramanian, S., Silverman, H., On sandwhich theorems for some classes of analytic functions, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., Article ID 29684, (2006), 1-13.
- [22] Sivasubramanian, S., Mohammed, A., Darus, M., Certain subordination properties for subclasses of analytic functions involving complex order, Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2011 (2011), Article ID 375897, 8 pages.
- [23] Tuneski, N., On certain sufficient conditions for starlikeness, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 23(2000), no. 8, 521-527.

T. Al-Hawary

Department of Applied Science, Ajloun College Al-Balqa Applied University, Ajloun 26816, Jordan e-mail: tariq_amh@yahoo.com

B.A. Frasin Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics Al al-Bayt University, P.O. Box: 130095 Mafraq, Jordan e-mail: bafrasin@yahoo.com

M. Darus

School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology University Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia e-mail: maslina@ukm.edu.my (Corresponding author)