

# The generalization of Mastroianni operators using the Durrmeyer's method

Cristina Sanda Cismasiu

**Abstract.** In the present paper, we define a sequence of Durrmeyer's type operators associated with Mastroianni operators and introduce a new operator.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 41A25.

**Keywords:** Mastroianni operator, operator of Durrmeyer type, approximation properties.

## 1. Introduction

In [5], [6] G. Mastroianni defined and studied a general class of linear positive approximation operators, which was generalized by O. Agratini, B. Della Vecchia [1]. In brief we recall this construction.

Taking  $[0, \infty) := \mathbb{R}_+$ , we consider the next spaces of functions:

$B(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | (\exists) M_f > 0 : |f(x)| \leq M_f\}$ , a normed space with the uniform norm  $\|f\|_B = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ ;

$B_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | |f(x)| \leq N_f \rho(x), N_f > 0, \rho(x) = 1 + x^2\}$ , a normed space with the norm  $\|f\|_\rho = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x)|}{\rho(x)} : x \geq 0 \right\} = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x)|}{1 + x^2} : x \geq 0 \right\}$ ;

$C_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{f \in B_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+) | f \text{ continuous function}\}$ ;

$C_\rho^*(\mathbb{R}_+) = \left\{ f \in C_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+) | (\exists) \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{1 + x^2} < \infty \right\}$ .

The space  $C_\rho^*(\mathbb{R}_+)$  endowed with the norm  $\|f\|_\rho$  is a Banach space.

In our estimations we use the first modulus of continuity on a finite interval  $[0, b]$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $\omega_{[0, b]}(f; \delta) = \sup \{|f(x+h) - f(x)| : 0 < h \leq \delta, x \in [0, b]\}$  and the Peetre's K-functional defined as

$$K_2(f; \delta) = \inf \{ \|f - g\|_B + \delta \|g''\|_B : g \in W_\infty^2 \}, \delta > 0,$$

where  $W_\infty^2 = \{g \in C_B(\mathbb{R}_+) : g', g'' \in C_B(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$ .

It is known (see [9] p.177, th. 2.4) that, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $K_2(f; \delta) \leq C\omega_2\left(f; \sqrt{\delta}\right)$ , where

$$\omega_2\left(f; \sqrt{\delta}\right) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \sqrt{\delta}} \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \{|f(x + 2h) - 2f(x + h) + f(x)|\}.$$

Let  $(\Phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of real functions defined on  $[0, \infty) := \mathbb{R}_+$  which are infinitely differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and satisfy the conditions:

- (i)  $\Phi_n(0) = 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} := \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$(-1)^k \Phi_n^{(k)}(x) \geq 0; \tag{1.1}$$

(iii) for each  $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0$  there exists a number  $p(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}$  and a function  $\alpha_{n,k} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\Phi_n^{(i+k)}(x) = (-1)^k \Phi_{p(n,k)}^{(i)}(x) \alpha_{n,k}(x), i \in \mathbb{N}_0, x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and

$$(iv) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{p(n, k)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n,k}(x)}{n^k} = 1.$$

**Remark 1.1.** There is easy to see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi_n^{(k)}(0)}{n^k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^k \alpha_{n,k}(0)}{n^k} = (-1)^k. \tag{1.2}$$

The Mastroianni operators  $M_n : C_B(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}_+)$  are defined by the following formula

$$M_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \tag{1.3}$$

with the basis functions,

$$m_{n,k}(x) = \frac{(-x)^k \Phi_n^{(k)}(x)}{k!}. \tag{1.4}$$

For these operators and for the test functions  $e_r(x) = x^r, r = 0, 1, 2$  the following results were obtained [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(e_0; x) &= \Phi_n(0), \\ M_n(e_1; x) &= -\frac{\Phi_n'(0)}{n}x, \\ M_n(e_2; x) &= \frac{\Phi_n''(0)x^2 - \Phi_n'(0)x}{n^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

In terms of the hypergeometric and confluent hypergeometric functions, recent results, about Durrmeyer type operators [2], [3], [4], [8], have considered in the definition of the basis functions, the family's functions:

$$\Phi_{n,c}(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-nx}, & c = 0, x \geq 0 \\ (1 + cx)^{-\frac{n}{c}}, & c \in \mathbb{N}, x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

For these functions we have

$$\Phi_{n,c}^{(k+1)}(x) = -n\Phi_{n+c,c}^{(k)}(x), n > \max\{0, -c\}$$

respectively

$$\Phi_{n,c}^{(i+k)}(x) = (-1)^k n_{[k,-c]} \Phi_{n+kc,c}^{(i)}(x)$$

where  $n_{[k,-c]} = n(n+c)(n+2c) \cdots (n+k-1c)$  is the factorial power of order  $k$  of  $n$  with the increment  $-c$  and  $n_{[0,-c]} = 1$ .

So, the conditions (iii)-(iv) are true, for  $p(n, k) = n + kc$  and  $\alpha_{n,k}(x) = n_{[k,-c]}$ .

In the next section we propose a Mastroianni–Durrmeyer operator, when the sequence of functions  $(\Phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$  satisfy the conditions (i)-(iv) and other supplementary conditions, is non-nominated.

### 2. Main results

Let  $(\Phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the sequence of functions which satisfy the conditions (i)-(iv) and the next supplementary conditions, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ :

(v)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^r \Phi_n^{(k)}(x) = 0$

(vi)  $(\exists) J_{n,k,r} := \int_0^\infty x^r \Phi_n^{(k)}(x) dx < \infty, (\exists) J_{n,0,0} := \int_0^\infty \Phi_n(x) dx \neq 0.$

We define the operators of Durrmeyer type associated with Mastroianni operators (1.3)-(1.4) for each real value function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  for which the series exists:

$$DM_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty m_{n,k}(x) \frac{\int_0^\infty m_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt}{\int_0^\infty m_{n,k}(t) dt} = \int_0^\infty K_n(t, x) f(t) dt \tag{2.1}$$

with the kernel

$$K_n(t, x) = \frac{1}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty m_{n,k}(x) m_{n,k}(t), I_{n,0,0} = J_{n,0,0} = \int_0^\infty \Phi_n(t) dt \neq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The next identity is true for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$*

$$I_{n,k,r} = \frac{(r+1)_k}{k!} I_{n,0,r},$$

where

$$I_{n,k,r} := \int_0^\infty t^r m_{n,k}(t) dt = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} J_{n,k,r+k}$$

and

$$(n)_k = n(n+1)(n+2) \cdots (n+k-1) = n_{[k,-1]}, (n)_0 = 1$$

is the Pochhammer symbol or the factorial power of order  $k$  of  $n$  and the increment  $-1$ . So,  $(1)_k = k!, (2)_k = (k+1)!$ .

The proof suppose an easy computation, so we have omitted them. We remark that

$$I_{n,0,r} = J_{n,0,r} = \int_0^\infty t^r \Phi_n(t) dt, r \geq 0, \text{ (the moments of the } r\text{-th order reported to } \Phi_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n,k,0} &= \int_0^\infty m_{n,k}(t) dt = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} J_{n,k,k}, \\
J_{n,k,k} &= (-1)^k k! J_{n,0,0}, \quad k \geq 0, \\
I_{n,k,0} &= I_{n,0,0} = J_{n,0,0} = \int_0^\infty \Phi_n(t) dt, \\
I_{n,k,r} &= \int_0^\infty t^r m_{n,k}(t) dt = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\infty t^{r+k} \Phi_n^{(k)}(t) dt = \frac{(r+1)_k}{k!} \int_0^\infty t^r \Phi_n(t) dt \\
&= \frac{(r+1)_k}{k!} J_{n,0,r} = \frac{(r+1)_k}{k!} I_{n,0,r}, \quad (\text{the moments of the } r\text{-th order reported to } m_{n,k}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *The moments of the operators  $DM_n(f; x)$  are given for  $e_r(x) = x^r$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  as*

$$DM_n(e_r; x) = \frac{I_{n,0,r}}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(r+1)_k}{k!} m_{n,k}(x). \quad (2.3)$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
DM_n(e_0; x) &= 1, \\
DM_n(e_1; x) &= \frac{I_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} (1 - x\Phi_n'(0)), \\
DM_n(e_2; x) &= \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{2I_{n,0,0}} (x^2\Phi_n''(0) - 4x\Phi_n'(0) + 2), \\
DM_n((e_1 - xe_0)^2; x) &= x^2 \left( \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{2I_{n,0,0}} \Phi_n''(0) + 2 \frac{I_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \Phi_n'(0) + 1 \right) \\
&\quad - 2x \left( \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{I_{n,0,0}} \Phi_n'(0) + \frac{I_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \right) + \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{I_{n,0,0}}.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
DM_n(e_r; x) &= \frac{1}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty m_{n,k}(x) I_{n,k,r} = \frac{I_{n,0,r}}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty m_{n,k}(x) \frac{(r+1)_k}{k!}. \\
DM_n(e_0; x) &= \frac{1}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty m_{n,k}(x) I_{n,k,0} = \frac{I_{n,0,0}}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty m_{n,k}(x) \frac{(1)_k}{k!} = 1, \\
DM_n(e_1; x) &= \frac{1}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty m_{n,k}(x) I_{n,k,1} = \frac{I_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty m_{n,k}(x) \frac{(2)_k}{k!} \\
&= \frac{I_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty m_{n,k}(x) \frac{(k+1)!}{k!} = \frac{I_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty m_{n,k}(x) (k+1) \\
&= \frac{nI_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \left( M_n(e_1; x) + \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{nI_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \left( -\frac{\Phi_n'(0)}{n} x + \frac{1}{n} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 DM_n(e_2; x) &= \frac{1}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) I_{n,k,2} = \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) \frac{(3)_k}{k!} \\
 &= \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{2I_{n,0,0}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) \frac{(k+2)!}{k!} \\
 &= \frac{n^2 I_{n,0,2}}{2I_{n,0,0}} \left( M_n(e_2; x) + \frac{3}{n} M_n(e_1; x) + \frac{2}{n^2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{n^2 I_{n,0,2}}{2I_{n,0,0}} \left( \frac{\Phi_n''(0)x^2 - \Phi_n'(0)x}{n^2} - \frac{3\Phi_n'(0)x}{n^2} + \frac{2}{n^2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Because  $DM_n((e_1 - xe_0)^2; x) = DM_n(e_2; x) - 2xDM_n(e_1; x) + x^2DM_n(e_0; x)$  is easy to obtain the relation of enunciation.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let*

$$\overline{DM}_n(f; x) = DM_n(f; x) - f\left(\frac{nI_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}}\left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{\Phi_n'(0)}{n}x\right)\right) + f(x). \tag{2.5}$$

The following assertions hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{DM}_n(e_0; x) &= 1, \\
 \overline{DM}_n(e_1; x) &= x, \\
 \overline{DM}_n(e_1 - xe_0; x) &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof suppose an easy computation, so we have omitted them. Further, we consider the next conventions:

$$|DM_n(e_1 - xe_0; x)| = \left| \frac{I_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}}(1 - x\Phi_n'(0)) - x \right| := \lambda_n(x), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 DM_n((e_1 - xe_0)^2; x) &= x^2 \left( \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{2I_{n,0,0}} \Phi_n''(0) + 2\frac{I_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \Phi_n'(0) + 1 \right) \\
 &\quad - 2x \left( \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{I_{n,0,0}} \Phi_n'(0) + \frac{I_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \right) + \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{I_{n,0,0}} \\
 &= \beta_n(x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

From (1.1) we have  $\Phi_n(x) \geq 0$ ,  $\Phi_n'(x) \leq 0$ ,  $\Phi_n''(x) \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and so

$$\beta_n(x) \leq x^2 \left( \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{I_{n,0,0}} \Phi_n''(0) + 1 \right) - 2x \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{I_{n,0,0}} \Phi_n'(0) + \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{I_{n,0,0}} := \eta_n(x). \tag{2.8}$$

Because  $DM_n$  is a linear positive operator, using the Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_n(x) &= |DM_n(e_1 - xe_0; x)| \leq DM_n(|e_1 - xe_0|; x) \\
 &\leq \sqrt{DM_n((e_1 - xe_0)^2; x)} = \sqrt{\beta_n(x)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\gamma_n(x) = \beta_n(x) + \lambda_n^2(x) \leq 2\beta_n(x). \tag{2.9}$$

**Lemma 2.4.** For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $f'' \in C_B(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we have

$$|\overline{DM}_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\|f''\|_B}{2} \gamma_n(x).$$

*Proof.* Using Taylor's expansion

$$f(t) = f(x) + (t - x)f'(x) + \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du$$

we obtain with Lemma 2.3 that

$$\overline{DM}_n(f; x) - f(x) = \overline{DM}_n \left( \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du; x \right).$$

Because  $\left| \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du \right| \leq \|f''\|_B \frac{(t - x)^2}{2}$  using Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{DM}_n(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq DM_n \left( \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du; x \right) \\ &= \frac{I_{n,0,2}(1 - x\Phi'_n(0))}{I_{n,0,0}} \\ &\quad - \int_x^t \left( \frac{I_{n,0,1}(1 - x\Phi'_n(0)) - u}{I_{n,0,0}} \right) f''(u)du \\ &\leq \frac{\|f''\|_B}{2} DM_n((t - x)^2; x) + \frac{\|f''\|_B}{2} \left( \frac{I_{n,0,1}(1 - x\Phi'_n(0)) - x}{I_{n,0,0}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\|f''\|_B}{2} (\beta_n(x) + \lambda_n^2(x)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.5.** For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the operators (2.1)-(2.2) satisfy the following relations

- (i) If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^r I_{n,0,r}}{r! I_{n,0,0}} = 1$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} DM_n(f; x) = f(x)$ ,
- (ii)  $|DM_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega \left( f, \sqrt{\beta_n(x)} \right)$ ,
- (iii)  $|DM_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq 2C\omega_2 \left( f, \sqrt{\gamma_n(x)} \right) + \omega(f, \lambda_n(x))$ .

with  $\lambda_n(x), \beta_n(x), \eta_n(x), \gamma_n(x)$  defined as (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9).

*Proof.* (i) Because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi_n^{(k)}(0)}{n^k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^k \alpha_{n,k}(0)}{n^k} = (-1)^k$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^r I_{n,0,r}}{r! I_{n,0,0}} = 1$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2$  using Lemma 2.2 we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} DM_n(e_r; x) = e_r(x)$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2$  and the Bohmann-Korovkin assure the conclusion (i) of the theorem.

(ii) Using a result of O. Shisha, B. Mond [7] with the modulus of continuity of  $f$  we obtain a quantitative estimation of the remainder of the approximation formula. Indeed,

$$|DM_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \left( 1 + \delta_n^{-1}(x) \sqrt{DM_n((e_1 - xe_0)^2; x)} \right) \omega(f, \delta_n(x)).$$

Taking

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(x) &= \sqrt{\beta_n(x)} = \sqrt{DM_n((e_1 - xe_0)^2; x)} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{I_{n,0,2}}{2I_{n,0,0}} (x^2 \Phi_n''(0) - 4x \Phi_n'(0) + 2) - 2x \frac{I_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} (1 - x \Phi_n'(0)) + x^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

the proof of (ii) is completed.

(iii) From (2.5) we obtain for  $g \in W_\infty^2$

$$\begin{aligned} &|DM_n(f; x) - f(x)| \\ &\leq |\overline{DM}_n(f - g; x) - (f - g)(x) + \overline{DM}_n(g; x) - g(x)| \\ &+ \left| f \left( \frac{nI_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{\Phi_n'(0)}{n} x \right) \right) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \|f - g\|_B + \frac{\|g''\|_B \gamma_n(x)}{2} \\ &+ \left| f \left( \frac{nI_{n,0,1}}{I_{n,0,0}} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{\Phi_n'(0)}{n} x \right) \right) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \|f - g\|_B + \frac{\|g''\|_B \gamma_n(x)}{2} + \omega(f, \lambda_n(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking infimum over  $g \in W_\infty^2$  on the right hand side, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |DM_n(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq 2K_2(f, \gamma_n(x)) + \omega(f, \lambda_n(x)) \\ &\leq 2C\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\gamma_n(x)}) + \omega(f, \lambda_n(x)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $f \in C_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $\omega_{[0,b+1]}(f; \delta)$  be its modulus of continuity on the finite interval  $[0, b + 1]$ ,  $b > 0$ . Then

$$\|DM_n(f) - f\|_{C[0,b]} \leq 3N_f \eta_n(b)(1 + b)^2 + 2\omega_{[0,b+1]}(f, \sqrt{\eta_n(b)}),$$

with  $\eta_n(x)$  defined as (2.8).

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $t > b + 1$  Because  $f \in C_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+)$  using the growth condition of  $f$  since  $t - x > 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - f(x)| &\leq N_f(2 + t^2 + x^2) \leq N_f(2 + (t - x + x)^2 + x^2) \\ &\leq 3N_f(t - x)^2(1 + b)^2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $t < b + 1$  we have

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{|t - x|}{\delta} \right) \omega_{[0,b+1]}(f, \delta).$$

So,

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq 3N_f(t-x)^2(1+b)^2 + \left(1 + \frac{|t-x|}{\delta}\right) \omega_{[0,b+1]}(f, \delta).$$

and with (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |DM_n(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq 3N_f DM_n((e_1 - xe_0)^2; x) (1+b)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(1 + \frac{DM_n(|e_1 - xe_0|; x)}{\delta}\right) \omega_{[0,b+1]}(f, \delta) \\ &\leq 3N_f DM_n((e_1 - xe_0)^2; x) (1+b)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{DM_n((e_1 - xe_0)^2; x)}}{\delta}\right) \omega_{[0,b+1]}(f, \delta) \\ &\leq 3N_f \eta_n(b)(1+b)^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{\eta_n(b)}}{\delta}\right) \omega_{[0,b+1]}(f, \delta) \\ &\leq 3N_f \eta_n(b)(1+b)^2 + 2\omega_{[0,b+1]}(f, \sqrt{\eta_n(b)}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] Agratini, O. , Della Vecchia, B., *Mastroianni operators revisited*, Facta Universitatis (Nis), Ser. Math. Inform., **19**(2004), 53-63.
- [2] Govil, N. K., Gupta, V., Soybas, D., *Certain new classes of Durrmeyer type operators*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **225**(2013), 195-203.
- [3] Gupta, V., *Combinations of integral operators*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **224**(2013), 876-881.
- [4] Gupta, V., *A new class of Durrmeyer operators*, Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, **23**(2013), no. 2, 219-224.
- [5] Mastroianni, G., *Su un operatore lineare e positivo*, Rend. Acc. Sc. Fis. Mat., Napoli, **46**(4)(1979), 161-176.
- [6] Mastroianni, G., *Su una classe di operatori e positivi*, Rend. Acc. Sc. Fis. Mat., Napoli, **48**(4)(1980), 217-235.
- [7] Shisha, O., Mond, B., *The degree of convergence of linear positive operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **60**(1968), 1196-1200.
- [8] Srivastava, H. M., Gupta, V., *A Certain Family of Summation-Integral Type Operators*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, **37**(2003), 1307-1315.
- [9] De Vore, R.A., Lorentz, G.G., *Constructive Approximation*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.

Cristina Sanda Cismasiu  
 Transilvania University of Brasov  
 Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences  
 B-dul Eroilor nr.29  
 500036 Brasov, Romania  
 e-mail: c.cismasiu@unitbv.ro