

# On a system of nonlinear partial functional differential equations of different types

László Simon

**Abstract.** We consider a system of a semilinear hyperbolic functional differential equation (where the lower order terms contain functional dependence on the unknown function) and a quasilinear parabolic functional differential equation with initial and boundary conditions. Existence of weak solutions for  $t \in (0, T)$  and for  $t \in (0, \infty)$  will be shown and some qualitative properties of the solutions in  $(0, \infty)$  will be formulated.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 35M33.

**Keywords:** Semilinear hyperbolic equation, quasilinear parabolic equation, partial functional differential equation.

## 1. Introduction

In the present paper we consider weak solutions of the following system of equations:

$$u''(t) + Q(u(t)) + \varphi(x)h'(u(t)) + H(t, x; u, z) + \psi(x)u'(t) = F_1(t, x; z), \quad (1.1)$$

$$z'(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n D_j[a_j(t, x, Dz(t), z(t); u, z)] + a_0(t, x, Dz(t), z(t); u, z) = F_2(t, x; u) \quad (1.2)$$

$$(t, x) \in Q_T = (0, T) \times \Omega$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded domain and we use the notations  $u(t) = u(t, x)$ ,  $z(t) = z(t, x)$   $u' = D_t u$ ,  $z' = D_t z$   $u'' = D_t^2 u$ ,  $Dz = (D_1 z, \dots, D_n z)$ ,  $Q$  may be e.g. a linear second order symmetric elliptic differential operator in the variable  $x$ ;  $h$  is a  $C^2$  function having certain polynomial growth,  $H$  contains nonlinear functional (non-local) dependence on  $u$  and  $z$ , with some polynomial growth and  $F_1$  contains some functional dependence on  $z$ . Further, the functions  $a_j$  define a quasilinear elliptic differential operator in  $x$  (for fixed  $t$ ) with functional dependence on  $u$  and  $z$ . Finally,

$F_2$  may non-locally depending on  $u$ . (The system (1.1), (1.2) consists of a semilinear hyperbolic functional equation and a parabolic functional equation.)

This paper was motivated by some problems which were modelled by systems consisting of (functional) differential equations of different types. In [4] S. Cinca investigated a model, consisting of an elliptic, a parabolic and an ordinary nonlinear differential equation, which arise when modelling diffusion and transport in porous media with variable porosity. In [6] J.D. Logan, M.R. Petersen and T.S. Shores considered and numerically studied a similar system which describes reaction-mineralogy-porosity changes in porous media with one-dimensional space variable. J. H. Merkin, D.J. Needham and B.D. Sleeman considered in [7] a system, consisting of a nonlinear parabolic and an ordinary differential equation, as a mathematical model for the spread of morphogens with density dependent chemosensitivity. In [3], [8], [9] the existence of solutions of such systems were studied.

In Section 2 the existence of weak solutions will be proved for  $t \in (0, T)$ , in Section 3 some examples will be shown and in Section 4 we shall prove existence and certain properties of solutions for  $t \in (0, \infty)$ .

### 2. Solutions in $(0, T)$

Denote by  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a bounded domain having the uniform  $C^1$  regularity property (see [1]),  $Q_T = (0, T) \times \Omega$ . Denote by  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  the Sobolev space of real valued functions with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |D_j u|^p + |u|^p \right) dx \right]^{1/p} \quad (2 \leq p < \infty).$$

The number  $q$  is defined by  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Further, let  $V_1 \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  and  $V_2 \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  be closed linear subspaces containing  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $V_j^*$  the dual spaces of  $V_j$ , the duality between  $V_j^*$  and  $V_j$  will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , the scalar product in  $L^2(\Omega)$  will be denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Finally, denote by  $L^p(0, T; V_j)$  the Banach space of the set of measurable functions  $u : (0, T) \rightarrow V_j$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^p(0, T; V_j)} = \left[ \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{V_j}^p dt \right]^{1/p}$$

and  $L^\infty(0, T; V_j)$ ,  $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  the set of measurable functions  $u : (0, T) \rightarrow V_j$ ,  $u : (0, T) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ , respectively, with the  $L^\infty(0, T)$  norm of the functions  $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_{V_j}$ ,  $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , respectively.

Now we formulate the assumptions on the functions in (1.1), (1.2).

(A<sub>1</sub>).  $Q : V_1 \rightarrow V_1^*$  is a linear continuous operator such that

$$\langle Qu, v \rangle = \langle Qv, u \rangle, \quad \langle Qu, u \rangle \geq c_0 \|u\|_{V_1}^2$$

for all  $u, v \in V_1$  with some constant  $c_0 > 0$ .

(A<sub>2</sub>).  $\varphi, \psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are measurable functions satisfying

$$c_1 \leq \varphi(x) \leq c_2, \quad c_1 \leq \psi(x) \leq c_2 \text{ for a.a. } x \in \Omega$$

with some positive constants  $c_1, c_2$ .

(A<sub>3</sub>).  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a twice continuously differentiable function satisfying

$$h(\eta) \geq 0, \quad |h''(\eta)| \leq \text{const}|\eta|^{\lambda-1} \text{ for } |\eta| > 1 \text{ where}$$

$$1 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0 = \frac{n}{n-2} \text{ if } n \geq 3, \quad 1 < \lambda < \infty \text{ if } n = 2.$$

(A<sub>4</sub>).  $H : Q_T \times L^2(Q_T) \times L^p(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function for which  $(t, x) \mapsto H(t, x; u, z)$  is measurable for all fixed  $u \in L^2(\Omega), z \in L^p(Q_T)$ ,  $H$  has the Volterra property, i.e. for all  $t \in [0, T], H(t, x; u, z)$  depends only on the restriction of  $u$  and  $z$  to  $(0, t)$ . Further, the following inequality holds for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $u \in L^2(\Omega), z \in L^p(Q_T)$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} |H(t, x; u, z)|^2 dx \leq \text{const} \left[ \|z\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^2 + 1 \right] \left[ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} h(u(\tau)) dx d\tau + \int_{\Omega} h(u) dx \right];$$

$$\int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} |H(\tau, x; u_1, z) - H(\tau, x; u_2, z)|^2 dx \right] d\tau \leq M(K, z) \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} |u_1 - u_2|^2 dx \right] d\tau$$

if  $\|u_j\|_{L^\infty(0, T; V_1)} \leq K$

where for all fixed number  $K > 0, z \mapsto M(K, z) \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is a bounded (nonlinear) operator.

Finally,  $(z_k) \rightarrow z$  in  $L^p(Q_T)$  implies

$$H(t, x; u_k, z_k) - H(t, x; u_k, z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(Q_T) \text{ uniformly if } \|u_k\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \leq \text{const.}$$

(A<sub>5</sub>).  $F_1 : Q_T \times L^p(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function satisfying  $(t, x) \mapsto F_1(t, x; z) \in L^2(Q_T)$  for all fixed  $z \in L^p(Q_T)$  and  $(z_k) \rightarrow z$  in  $L^p(Q_T)$  implies that  $F_1(t, x; z_k) \rightarrow F_1(t, x; z)$  in  $L^2(Q_T)$ .

Further,

$$\int_0^T \|F_1(\tau, x; z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq \text{const} \left[ 1 + \|z\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\beta_1} \right]$$

with some constant  $\beta_1 > 0$ .

(B<sub>1</sub>) The functions

$$a_j : Q_T \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times L^2(Q_T) \times L^p(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

are measurable in  $(t, x) \in Q_T$  for all fixed  $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, u \in L^2(Q_T), z \in L^p(Q_T)$  and continuous in  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  for all fixed  $u \in L^2(Q_T), z \in L^p(Q_T)$  and a.a. fixed  $(t, x) \in Q_T$ .

Further, if  $(u_k) \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2(Q_T)$  and  $(z_k) \rightarrow z$  in  $L^p(Q_T)$  then for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , a.a.  $(t, x) \in Q_T$ , for a subsequence

$$a_j(t, x, \xi; u_k, z_k) \rightarrow a_j(t, x, \xi; u, z) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

(B<sub>2</sub>) For  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$

$$|a_j(t, x, \xi; u, z)| \leq g_1(u, z) |\xi|^{p-1} + [k_1(u, z)](t, x),$$

where  $g_1 : L^2(Q_T) \times L^p(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a bounded, continuous (nonlinear) operator,

$$k_1 : L^2(Q_T) \times L^p(Q_T) \rightarrow L^q(Q_T) \text{ is continuous and}$$

$$\|k_1(u, z)\|_{L^q(Q_T)} \leq \text{const}(1 + \|u\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^\gamma + \|z\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{p_1})$$

with some constants  $\gamma > 0, 0 < p_1 < p - 1$ .

(B<sub>3</sub>) The following inequality holds for all  $t \in [0, T]$  with some constants  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $c_3 \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 \geq 0$  (not depending on  $t, u, z$ ):

$$\sum_{j=0}^n [a_j(t, x, \xi; u, z) - a_j(t, x, \xi^*; u, z)](\xi_j - \xi_j^*) \geq \frac{c_2}{1 + \|u\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^\beta + \|z\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\gamma_1}} |\xi - \xi^*|^p - c_3 |\xi_0 - \xi_0^*|^2.$$

(B<sub>4</sub>) For all fixed  $u \in L^2(Q_T)$  the function

$$F_2 : Q_T \times L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ satisfies } (t, x) \mapsto F_2(t, x; u) \in L^q(Q_T),$$

$$\|F_2(t, x; u)\|_{L^q(Q_T)} \leq \text{const} \left[ 1 + \|u\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^\gamma \right]$$

(see (B<sub>2</sub>)) and

$$(u_k) \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(Q_T) \text{ implies } F_2(t, x; u_k) \rightarrow F_2(t, x; u) \text{ in } L^q(Q_T).$$

Finally,

$$\max\{(\beta_1\beta)/2, \gamma_1\} + \max\{(\beta_1\gamma)/2, p_1\} < p - 1.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume (A<sub>1</sub>) – (A<sub>5</sub>) and (B<sub>1</sub>) – (B<sub>4</sub>). Then for all  $u_0 \in V_1$ ,  $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $z_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  there exists  $u \in L^\infty(0, T; V_1)$  such that

$$u' \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad u'' \in L^2(0, T; V_1^*) \text{ and } z \in L^p(0, T; V_2), \quad z' \in L^q(0, T; V_2^*)$$

such that  $u$  satisfies (1.1) in the sense: for a.a.  $t \in [0, T]$ , all  $v \in V_1$

$$\langle u''(t), v \rangle + \langle Q(u(t)), v \rangle + \int_\Omega \varphi(x) h'(u(t)) v dx + \int_\Omega H(t, x; u, z) v dx + \tag{2.1}$$

$$\int_\Omega \psi(x) u'(t) v dx = \int_\Omega F_1(t, x; z) v dx$$

and the initial conditions

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1. \tag{2.2}$$

Further,  $u, z$  satisfy (1.2) in the sense: for a.a.  $t \in (0, T)$ , all  $w \in V_2$

$$\langle z'(t), w \rangle + \int_\Omega \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(t, x, Dz(t), z(t); u, z) \right] D_j w dx + \tag{2.3}$$

$$\int_\Omega a_0(t, x, Dz(t), z(t); u, z) w dx = \int_\Omega F_2(t, x; u) w dx \text{ and} \tag{2.4}$$

$$z(0) = z_0.$$

*Proof.* The proof is based on the results of [11], the theory of monotone operators (see, e.g. [13]) and Schauder's fixed point theorem as follows.

Consider the problem (2.1), (2.2) for  $u$  with an arbitrary fixed  $z = \tilde{z} \in L^p(Q_T)$ . According to [11] assumptions (A<sub>1</sub>) – (A<sub>5</sub>) imply that there exists a unique solution  $u = \tilde{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; V_1)$  with the properties  $\tilde{u}' \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $\tilde{u}'' \in L^2(0, T; V_1^*)$  satisfying (2.1) and the initial condition (2.2). Then consider problem (2.3) (2.4) for

$z$  with the above  $u = \tilde{u}$  and with  $z = \tilde{z}$  functional terms (see (2.6)). According to the theory of monotone operators (see, e.g., [13]) there exists a unique solution  $z \in L^p(0, T; V_2)$  of (2.3), (2.4) such that  $z' \in L^q(0, T; V_2^*)$ . By using the notation  $S(\tilde{z}) = z$ , we shall show that the operator  $S : L^p(Q_T) \rightarrow L^p(Q_T)$  satisfies the assumptions of Schauder's fixed point theorem: it is continuous, compact and there exists a closed ball  $B_0(R) \subset L^p(Q_T)$  such that

$$S(B_0(R)) \subset B_0(R). \tag{2.5}$$

Then Schauder's fixed point theorem will imply that  $S$  has a fixed point  $z^* \in L^p(0, T; V_2)$ . Defining  $u^*$  by the solution of (2.1), (2.2) with  $z = z^*$ , functions  $u^*$ ,  $z^*$  satisfy (2.1) – (2.4).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Consider problem (2.1), (2.2) for  $u$  with an arbitrary fixed  $z = \tilde{z} \in L^p(Q_T)$ . Assumptions  $(A_1) - (A_5)$  imply that there exists a unique  $u = \tilde{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; V_1)$  such that  $\tilde{u}' \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $\tilde{u}'' \in L^2(0, T; V_1^*)$  and (2.1), (2.2) are satisfied.*

Lemma 2.2 directly follows from Theorem 4.1 of [11].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Consider the following modification of problem (2.3), (2.4) with arbitrary fixed  $\tilde{u} \in L^2(Q_T)$ ,  $\tilde{z} \in L^p(Q_T)$ : find  $z \in L^p(0, T; V_2)$  such that  $z' \in L^q(0, T; V_2^*)$  and for a.a.  $t \in [0, T]$ , all  $w \in V_2$*

$$\langle z'(t), w \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(t, x, Dz(t), z(t); \tilde{u}, \tilde{z}) \right] D_j w dx + \tag{2.6}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} a_0(t, x, Dz(t), z(t); \tilde{u}, \tilde{z}) w dx = \int_{\Omega} F_2(t, x; \tilde{u}) w dx, \tag{2.7}$$

$$z(0) = z_0.$$

Assumptions  $(B_1) - (B_4)$  imply that there exists a unique solution of (2.6), (2.7).

*Proof.* Let  $a > 0$  be a fixed constant. A function  $z$  is a solution of (1.2), (2.4) if and only if  $\hat{z}(t) = e^{-at}z(t)$  satisfies

$$\hat{z}'(t) - e^{-at} \sum_{j=1}^n D_j [a_j(t, x, e^{at}D\hat{z}(t), e^{at}\hat{z}(t); \tilde{u}, \tilde{z})] + \tag{2.8}$$

$$e^{-at} a_0(t, x, e^{at}D\hat{z}(t), e^{at}\hat{z}(t); \tilde{u}, \tilde{z}) + a\hat{z}(t) = e^{-at} F_2(t, x; \tilde{u}), \tag{2.9}$$

$$\hat{z}(0) = z_0.$$

We shall apply the theory of monotone operators to (2.8), (2.9) with sufficiently large  $a > 0$ .

Define (with fixed  $\tilde{u} \in L^2(Q_T)$ ,  $\tilde{z} \in L^p(Q_T)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ ) operator  $\hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}$  by

$$\langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(\hat{z}), w \rangle = \int_{\Omega} e^{-at} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(t, x, e^{at}D\hat{z}(t), e^{at}\hat{z}(t); \tilde{u}, \tilde{z}) D_j w dx +$$

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-at} a_0(t, x, e^{at}D\hat{z}(t), e^{at}\hat{z}(t); \tilde{u}, \tilde{z}) w dx + a \int_{\Omega} \hat{z} w dx,$$

$$\hat{z} \in L^p(0, T; V_2), \quad w \in V_2.$$

By  $(B_1)$ ,  $(B_2)$  operator  $\hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}} : L^p(0, T; V_2) \rightarrow L^q(0, T; V_2^*)$  is bounded and demi-continuous (see, e.g. [13]). Further, it is uniformly monotone if  $a > 0$  is sufficiently large.

Indeed, by  $(B_3)$ , for arbitrary  $\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2 \in L^p(0, T; V_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(\hat{z}_1) - \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(\hat{z}_2), \hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}_2 \rangle dt = \tag{2.10} \\ & \int_{Q_T} e^{-2at} \sum_{j=1}^n [a_j(t, x, e^{at} D\hat{z}_1(t), e^{at} \hat{z}_1(t); \tilde{u}, \tilde{z}) - \\ & a_j(t, x, e^{at} D\hat{z}_2(t), e^{at} \hat{z}_2(t); \tilde{u}, \tilde{z})] e^{at} D_j(\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}_2) dt dx + \\ & \int_{Q_T} e^{-2at} [a_0(t, x, e^{at} D\hat{z}_1(t), e^{at} \hat{z}_1(t); \tilde{u}, \tilde{z}) - \\ & a_0(t, x, e^{at} D\hat{z}_2(t), e^{at} \hat{z}_2(t); \tilde{u}, \tilde{z})] e^{at} (\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}_2) dt dx \geq \\ & \frac{c_2}{1 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^\beta + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\gamma_1}} \int_{Q_T} e^{-2at} [e^{at} |D\hat{z}_1 - D\hat{z}_2|^p + e^{at} |\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}_2|^p] dt dx - \\ & c_3 \int_{Q_T} |\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}_2|^2 dt dx + a \int_{Q_T} |\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}_2|^2 dt dx \geq \\ & \frac{c'_2}{1 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^\beta + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\gamma_1}} \int_{Q_T} [|D\hat{z}_1 - D\hat{z}_2|^p + |\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}_2|^p] dt dx \end{aligned}$$

with some constant  $c'_2 > 0$  (depending on  $T$ ) if  $a > 0$  is sufficiently large.

Consequently, according to the theory of monotone operators (see, e.g. [13]) problem (2.8), (2.9) for  $\hat{z}$  has a unique weak solution, thus (2.6), (2.7) has a unique solution.

By using Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 we may define operator  $S : L^p(Q_T) \rightarrow L^p(Q_T)$  as follows. Let  $\tilde{z} \in L^p(Q_T)$  be an arbitrary element. By Lemma 2.2 there exists a unique solution  $\tilde{u}$  of (2.1), (2.2). According to Lemma 2.3 there exists a unique solution  $z$  of (2.6), (2.7). Operator  $S$  is defined by  $S(\tilde{z}) = z$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *The operator  $S : L^p(Q_T) \rightarrow L^p(Q_T)$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\tilde{z}_k)$  be a bounded sequence in  $L^p(Q_T)$  and consider the (unique) solution  $\tilde{u}_k$  of (2.1), (2.2) with fixed  $z = \tilde{z}_k$ . We show that  $(\tilde{u}_k)$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; V_1)$  and  $(\tilde{u}'_k)$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ . Indeed, applying the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [11], one gets the solutions  $\tilde{u}_k$  of (2.1), (2.2) as the (weak) limit of Galerkin approximations

$$\tilde{u}_{mk}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^m g_{lm}^k(t) w_l \text{ where } g_{lm}^k \in W^{2,2}(0, T)$$

and  $w_1, w_2, \dots$  is a linearly independent system in  $V_1$  such that the linear combinations are dense in  $V_1$ , further, the functions  $\tilde{u}_{mk}$  satisfy (for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ )

$$\langle \tilde{u}''_{mk}(t), w_j \rangle + \langle Q(\tilde{u}_{mk}(t)), w_j \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) h'(\tilde{u}_{mk}(t)) w_j dx + \tag{2.11}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} H(t, x; \tilde{u}_{mk}, \tilde{z}_k) w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) \tilde{u}'_{mk}(t) w_j dx = \int_{\Omega} F_1(t, x; \tilde{z}_k) w_j dx,$$

$$\tilde{u}_{mk}(0) = u_{m0}, \quad \tilde{u}'_{mk}(0) = u_{m1} \tag{2.12}$$

where  $u_{m0}, u_{m1}$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are linear combinations of  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$ , satisfying  $(u_{m0}) \rightarrow u_0$  in  $V_1$  and  $(u_{m1}) \rightarrow u_1$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Multiplying (2.11) by  $(g_{im}^k)'(t)$ , summing with respect to  $j$  and integrating over  $(0, t)$ , by Young's inequality we find

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{u}'_{mk}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q(\tilde{u}_{mk}(t)), \tilde{u}_{mk}(t) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) h(\tilde{u}_{mk}(t)) dx + \tag{2.13}$$

$$\int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} H(\tau, x; \tilde{u}_{mk}, \tilde{z}_k) \tilde{u}'_{mk}(\tau) dx \right] d\tau + \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) |\tilde{u}'_{mk}(\tau)|^2 dx \right] d\tau =$$

$$\int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} F_1(\tau, x; \tilde{z}_k) \tilde{u}'_{mk}(\tau) dx \right] d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{u}'_{mk}(0)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q(\tilde{u}_{mk}(0)), \tilde{u}_{mk}(0) \rangle +$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) h(\tilde{u}_{mk}(0)) dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|F_1(\tau, x; \tilde{z}_k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\tilde{u}'_{mk}(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau + \text{const}$$

where the constant is not depending on  $m, k, t$ . (See [11].)

By using  $(A_2)$ ,  $(A_4)$ ,  $(A_5)$  and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain from (2.13)

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{u}'_{mk}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_0}{2} \|\tilde{u}_{mk}(t)\|_{V_1}^2 + c_1 \int_{\Omega} h(\tilde{u}_{mk}(t)) dx \leq \tag{2.14}$$

$$\int_0^T \|F_1(\tau, x; \tilde{z}_k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau +$$

$$\text{const} \left\{ 1 + \int_0^t \|\tilde{u}'_{mk}(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} h(\tilde{u}_{mk}(\tau)) dx \right] d\tau \right\}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|\tilde{u}'_{mk}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} h(\tilde{u}_{mk}(t)) dx \leq$$

$$\text{const} \left\{ 1 + \int_0^t [\|\tilde{u}'_{mk}(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} h(\tilde{u}_{mk}(\tau)) dx] \right\}$$

where the constant is not depending on  $k, m, t$ . Thus by Gronwall's lemma

$$\|\tilde{u}'_{mk}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} h(\tilde{u}_{mk}(t)) dx \leq \text{const} \tag{2.15}$$

and so by  $(A_1)$  and (2.14)

$$\|\tilde{u}_{mk}(t)\|_{V_1} \leq \text{const} \tag{2.16}$$

where the constants are not depending on  $k, m, t$ . The inequalities (2.15), (2.16) imply that the weak limits  $\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{u}'_k$  of  $(\tilde{u}_{mk})$  and  $(\tilde{u}'_{mk})$ , respectively, are bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; V_1)$ ,  $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ , respectively.

Consequently, by the well known compact imbedding theorem (see [5]) there is a subsequence of  $(\tilde{u}_k)$ , again denoted by  $(\tilde{u}_k)$ , for simplicity, which is convergent in  $L^2(Q_T)$  to some  $\tilde{u}$  and  $(\tilde{u}_k) \rightarrow \tilde{u}$  a.e. in  $Q_T$ .

Consider the sequence of solutions  $z_k$  of (2.6) (2.7) with  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z} = \tilde{z}_k$ . We show that the sequence  $z_k$  is bounded in  $L^p(0, T; V_2)$ . Indeed, for the functions  $\hat{z}_k = e^{-at}z_k$  we have

$$\langle \hat{z}'_k, w \rangle + \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}_k), w \rangle = \langle e^{-at}F_2(t, x; \tilde{u}_k), w \rangle, \tag{2.17}$$

thus, integrating (2.17) over  $(0, T)$  with  $w = \hat{z}_k$  one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{z}_k(T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{z}_k(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}_k), \hat{z}_k \rangle dt = \\ \int_0^T \langle e^{-at}F_2(t, x; \tilde{u}_k), w \rangle dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Applying the inequality (2.10) to  $\hat{z}_1 = \hat{z}_k$  and  $\hat{z}_2 = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{const}}{1 + \|\tilde{u}_k\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^\beta + \|\tilde{z}_k\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\gamma_1}} \int_{Q_T} [|D\hat{z}_k|^p + |\hat{z}_k|^p] dt \leq \\ \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}_k) - \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(0), \hat{z}_k - 0 \rangle dt = \\ \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}_k), \hat{z}_k \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(0), \hat{z}_k \rangle dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

By (2.18)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}_k), \hat{z}_k \rangle dt \right| \leq \left| \int_0^T \langle e^{-at}F_2(t, x; \tilde{u}_k), w \rangle dt \right| + \text{const} \leq \\ \text{const} \|F_2(t, x; \tilde{u}_k)\|_{L^q(Q_T)} \|\hat{z}_k\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

and by  $(B_2)$

$$\left| \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(0), \hat{z}_k \rangle dt \right| \leq \text{const} \|\hat{z}_k\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \tag{2.21}$$

Hence by (2.19), (2.20),  $(B_4)$ ,  $(\hat{z}_k)$  is bounded in  $L^p(0, T; V_2)$  (as  $p > 1$  and  $\|\tilde{u}_k\|_{L^2(Q_T)}, \|\tilde{z}_k\|_{L^p(Q_T)}$  are bounded).

Further, the equality (2.17) implies that  $(\hat{z}'_k)$  is bounded in  $L^q(0, T; V_2^*)$ . So by the well known compact imbedding theorem (see [5]) there is a subsequence of  $(\hat{z}_k)$  which is convergent in  $L^p(Q_T)$ . Therefore, the corresponding subsequence of  $(z_k)$  is convergent, too in  $L^p(Q_T)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *The operator  $S : L^p(Q_T) \rightarrow L^p(Q_T)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Assume that

$$(\tilde{z}_k) \rightarrow \tilde{z} \text{ in } L^p(Q_T). \tag{2.22}$$

Now we show that for the solutions  $\tilde{u}_k$  of (2.1), (2.2) with  $z = \tilde{z}_k$

$$(\tilde{u}_k) \rightarrow \tilde{u} \text{ in } L^2(Q_T) \tag{2.23}$$

and a.e. in  $Q_T$  for a subsequence where  $\tilde{u}$  is the solution of (2.1), (2.2) with  $z = \tilde{z}$ .

In the proof of (2.23) we use the (uniqueness) Theorem 4.1 of [11]. Since  $(\tilde{z}_k)$  is bounded in  $L^p(0, T; V_2)$ ,  $(\tilde{u}_k)$  is bounded in  $L^2(Q_T)$  (see the proof of Lemma 2.4).



Further,  $\tilde{u}$  and  $\tilde{u}_k$  are weak solutions of (1.1) (i.e. of (2.1) with  $z = \tilde{z}$  and  $z = \tilde{z}_k$ , respectively and satisfy the initial conditions (2.2), thus

$$\tilde{u}''(t) + Q(\tilde{u}(t)) + \varphi(x)h'(\tilde{u}(t)) + H(t, x; \tilde{u}, \tilde{z}) + \tag{2.24}$$

$$\psi(x)\tilde{u}'(t) = F_1(t, x; \tilde{z}),$$

$$\tilde{u}_k''(t) + Q(\tilde{u}_k(t)) + \varphi(x)h'(\tilde{u}_k(t)) + H(t, x; \tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}) + \tag{2.25}$$

$$\psi(x)\tilde{u}_k'(t) = F_1(t, x; \tilde{z}_k) + H(t, x; \tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}) - H(t, x; \tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k).$$

Theorem 4.1 of [11] implies that for the solutions  $\tilde{u}$  of (2.24) and  $\tilde{u}_k$  of (2.25) we have for any  $s \in [0, T]$  an estimation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_k(s) - \tilde{u}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \text{const} \int_{Q_T} \left| \int_0^t [F_1(\tau, x; \tilde{z}_k) - F_1(\tau, x; \tilde{z})] d\tau \right|^2 dt dx + \\ &\text{const} \int_{Q_T} \left| \int_0^t [H(\tau, x; \tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k) - H(\tau, x; \tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z})] d\tau \right|^2 dt dx \end{aligned}$$

where the right hand side is converging to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by  $(A_4)$ ,  $(A_5)$ .

So we have proved (2.23).

Now we show that (2.22), (2.23) imply:

$$(z_k) \rightarrow z \text{ in } L^p(Q_T), \text{ i.e. } (\hat{z}_k) \rightarrow \hat{z} \text{ in } L^p(Q_T) \tag{2.26}$$

for the solutions of (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), (2.9), respectively (in the case of  $z_k, \hat{z}_k$ , instead of  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}$  we have  $\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k$ ). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\hat{z}_k - \hat{z})', \hat{z}_k - \hat{z} \rangle + \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}_k) - \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(\hat{z}), \hat{z}_k - \hat{z} \rangle = \\ \langle e^{-at} F_2(t, x; \tilde{u}_k) - e^{-at} F_2(t, x; \tilde{u}), \hat{z}_k - \hat{z} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

integrating over  $(0, T)$  with respect to  $t$ , we find

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{z}_k(T) - \hat{z}(T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{z}_k(0) - \hat{z}(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \tag{2.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}_k) - \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(\hat{z}), \hat{z}_k - \hat{z} \rangle dt = \\ \int_0^T \langle e^{-at} F_2(t, x; \tilde{u}_k) - e^{-at} F_2(t, x; \tilde{u}), \hat{z}_k - \hat{z} \rangle dt \end{aligned}$$

where by (2.10)

$$\int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}_k) - \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(\hat{z}), \hat{z}_k - \hat{z} \rangle dt = \tag{2.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}_k) - \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}), \hat{z}_k - \hat{z} \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}) - \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(\hat{z}), \hat{z}_k - \hat{z} \rangle dt \geq \\ \frac{c_2'}{1 + \|\tilde{u}_k\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^\beta + \|\tilde{z}_k\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\gamma_1}} \int_{Q_T} [|D\hat{z}_k - D\hat{z}|^p + |\hat{z}_k - \hat{z}|^p] dt dx + \\ \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}) - \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(\hat{z}), \hat{z}_k - \hat{z} \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.22),  $(B_1)$ ,  $(B_2)$ , Vitali's theorem and Hölder's inequality

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{z}_k}(\hat{z}) - \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(\hat{z}), \hat{z}_k - \hat{z} \rangle dt = 0 \tag{2.29}$$

as  $\|\hat{z}_k - \hat{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}$  is bounded. Similarly, the right hand side of (2.27) is covering to 0 by  $(B_4)$ . Therefore, (2.27) – (2.29) imply (2.26).

**Lemma 2.6.** *There is a closed ball*

$$\overline{B_R(0)} = \{z \in L^p(Q_T) : \|z\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \leq R\}$$

such that  $S(\overline{B_R(0)}) \subset \overline{B_R(0)}$ .

*Proof.* According to (2.14) we have for the sequence  $(\tilde{u}_m)$  of Galerkin approximation of the solution of (2.1), (2.2) (with  $z = \tilde{z}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{u}'_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_0}{2} \|\tilde{u}_m(t)\|_{V_1}^2 + c_1 \int_{\Omega} h(\tilde{u}_m(t)) dx \leq \tag{2.30} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|F_1(\tau, x; \tilde{z})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau + \text{const} \int_0^t \|\tilde{u}'_m(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau + \\ & \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} h(\tilde{u}_m(\tau)) dx \right] d\tau + \text{const} \end{aligned}$$

where the constants are not depending on  $m, t, \tilde{z}$ . Hence, by Gronwall's lemma one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}'_m(t)\|_H^2 + \int_{\Omega} h(\tilde{u}_m(t)) dx & \leq \text{const} \left[ 1 + \int_0^T \|F_1(\tau, x; \tilde{z})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \right] + \tag{2.31} \\ \text{const} \int_0^t \left[ 1 + \int_0^T \|F_1(\tau, x; \tilde{z})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \cdot e^{t-s} \right] ds = \\ \text{const} \left[ 1 + \int_0^T \|F_1(\tau, x; \tilde{z})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \right] \end{aligned}$$

where the constants are independent of  $m, t, \tilde{z}$ . Thus by (2.30) and  $(A_5)$  we find

$$\|\tilde{u}_m(t)\|_{V_1}^2 \leq \text{const} \left[ 1 + \int_0^T \|F_1(\tau, x; \tilde{z})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \right] \leq \text{const} \left[ 1 + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(0, T; V_2)}^{\beta_1} \right]$$

which implies (for the solution  $\tilde{u}$  of (2.1), (2.2), the limit of  $(\tilde{u}_m)$ )

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 \leq \text{const} \left[ 1 + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\beta_1} \right]. \tag{2.32}$$

On the other hand, similarly to (2.19) – (2.21), by  $(B_2)$ ,  $(B_4)$  we have for  $\hat{z}(t) = e^{-at}z(t)$  (where  $z$  is the solution of (2.3), (2.4))

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text{const}}{1 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^{\beta} + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\gamma_1}} \int_{Q_T} [|D\hat{z}|^p + |\hat{z}|^p] dt \leq \\ & \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(\hat{z}), \hat{z} \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle \hat{A}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{z}}(0), \hat{z} \rangle dt \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{const} + \text{const} \|F_2(t, x; \tilde{u})\|_{L^q(Q_T)} \|\hat{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)} + \text{const} \|k_1(\tilde{u}, \tilde{z})\|_{L^q(Q_T)} \|\hat{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \leq \\ &\quad \text{const} + \text{const} \left( 1 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^\gamma + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{p_1} \right) \|\hat{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \leq \\ &\quad \text{const} + \text{const} \left( 1 + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\beta_1 \gamma/2} + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{p_1} \right) \|\hat{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \leq \\ &\quad \tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2 \left( 1 + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\max\{(\beta_1 \gamma)/2, p_1\}} \right) \|\hat{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for  $\|\hat{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \geq \tilde{c}_1/\tilde{c}_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{p-1} &\leq \text{const} \left[ 1 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^\beta + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\gamma_1} \right] \left[ 1 + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\max\{(\beta_1 \gamma)/2, p_1\}} \right] \leq \quad (2.33) \\ \text{const} \left[ \left( 1 + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\beta_1} \right)^{\beta/2} + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\gamma_1} \right] &\cdot \left[ 1 + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\max\{(\beta_1 \gamma)/2, p_1\}} \right] \leq \\ &\text{const} [1 + \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^\delta] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta = \max\{(\beta_1 \beta)/2, \gamma_1\} + \max\{(\beta_1 \gamma)/2, p_1\}. \quad (2.34)$$

By  $(B_4)$   $\delta < p - 1$ , thus for sufficiently large  $R$

$$\tilde{z} \in \overline{B_R(0)} = \{\tilde{z} \in L^p(Q_T), \|\tilde{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \leq R\}$$

implies

$$\|z\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \leq R, \text{ i.e. } z \in \overline{B_R(0)}.$$

(The norm of  $\|z\|_{L^p(Q_T)}$  can be estimated by  $\|\hat{z}\|_{L^p(Q_T)}$ , multiplied by a constant.) So the proof of Lemma 2.6 is completed.

Finally, Lemmas 2.4 - 2.6 and Schauder's fixed point theorem imply that  $S$  has a fixed point and, consequently, there exists a solution of (2.1) - (2.4).

### 3. Examples

Let the operator  $Q$  be defined by

$$\langle Qu, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{j,l=1}^n a_{jl}(x) (D_l u) (D_j v) + d(x) uv \right] dx +$$

where  $a_{jl}, d \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $a_{jl} = a_{lj}$ ,  $\sum_{j,l=1}^n a_{jl}(x) \xi_j \xi_l \geq c_0 |\xi|^2$ ,  $d \geq c_0$  with some positive constant  $c_0$ . Then, clearly, assumption  $(A_1)$  is satisfied.

If  $h$  is a  $C^2$  function such that  $h(\eta) = |\eta|^{\lambda+1}$  if  $|\eta| > 1$  then  $(A_3)$  is satisfied.

The condition  $(A_4)$  is satisfied e.g. if

$$H(t, x; u, z) = \chi(t, x) g_1(L_1 z) g_2(L_2 u) \text{ where } \chi \in L^\infty(Q_T),$$

$$L_1 : L^p(0, T; V_2) \rightarrow L^2(Q_T), \quad L_2 : L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow L^2(Q_T)$$

are continuous linear operators (with the Volterra property);  $g_1$  is a globally Lipschitz bounded function,  $g_2$  is a globally Lipschitz function. In the particular case when

$$L_2 \text{ is an } L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow L^\infty(Q_T) \text{ bounded linear operator} \quad (3.1)$$

then  $g_2$  may be a locally Lipschitz function satisfying

$$|g_2(\eta)| \leq \text{const}|\eta|^{(\lambda+1)/2} \text{ for } |\eta| > 1.$$

The operator  $L_2$  has the property (3.1) e.g. if

$$(L_2u)(t, x) = \int_{Q_t} \tilde{K}(t, x; \tau, \xi)u(\tau, \xi)d\tau d\xi \text{ where}$$

$$\int_{Q_T} |\tilde{K}(t, x; \tau, \xi)|^2 d\tau d\xi \leq \text{const for all } (t, x) \in Q_T.$$

The operator  $F_1 : Q_T \times L^p(0, T; V_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  may have the form

$$F_1(t, x; z) = f_1(t, x, L_3z)$$

where  $f_1(t, x, \mu)$  is measurable in  $(t, x)$ , continuous in  $\mu$  and

$$|f_1(t, x, \mu)| \leq \text{const}|\mu|^{\beta_1/2} + \tilde{f}_1(t, x) \text{ where}$$

$$0 \leq \beta_1 \leq 2, \quad \tilde{f}_1 \in L^2(Q_T), \quad L_3 : L^p(0, T; V_2) \rightarrow L^2(Q_T)$$

is a linear continuous operator. Then  $(A_5)$  is fulfilled. In the particular case when

$$L_3 \text{ is } L^p(0, T; V_2) \rightarrow L^\infty(Q_T)$$

linear and continuous then  $\beta_1 \leq 2$  is not assumed.

Now we formulate examples for  $a_j$  satisfying  $(B_1) - (B_3)$ :

$$a_j(t, x, \xi; u, z) = \alpha(t, x, L_4u, L_5z)\xi_j|\zeta|^{p-2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \text{ where } \zeta = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n),$$

$\alpha(t, x, \nu_1, \nu_2)$  is measurable in  $(t, x)$ , continuous in  $\nu_1, \nu_2$  and satisfies

$$\frac{\text{const}}{1 + |\nu_1|^\beta + |\nu_2|^{\gamma_1}} \leq \alpha(t, x, \nu_1, \nu_2) \leq \text{const}(1 + |\nu_1|^\gamma + |\nu_2|^{p_1})$$

with some positive constants,  $L_4, L_5 : L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow L^\infty(Q_T)$  are continuous linear operators,

$$a_0(t, x, \xi; u, z) = \alpha_0(t, x, L_6u, L_7z)\xi_0|\xi_0|^{p-2} + \alpha_1(z),$$

where  $\alpha_0(t, x, \nu_1, \nu_2)$  is measurable in  $(t, x)$ , continuous in  $\nu_1, \nu_2$ ,

$$\frac{\text{const}}{1 + |\nu_1|^\beta + |\nu_2|^{\gamma_1}} \leq \alpha_0(t, x, \nu_1, \nu_2) \leq \text{const}(1 + |\nu_1|^\gamma + |\nu_2|^{p_1})$$

with some positive constants,  $L_6, L_7 : L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow L^\infty(Q_T)$  are continuous linear operators and  $\alpha_1$  is a globally Lipschitz function. If the values of  $\alpha, \alpha_0$  are between two positive constants then  $L_4 - L_7$  may be  $L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow L^2(Q_T)$  continuous linear operators.

Finally, the function  $F_2 : Q_T \times L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  may have the form

$$F_2(t, x; u) = f_2(t, x, L_8u)$$

where  $f_2(t, x, \mu)$  is measurable in  $(t, x)$ , continuous in  $\mu$  and

$$|f_2(t, x, \mu)| \leq \text{const}|\mu|^\gamma + \tilde{f}_2(t, x),$$

$$0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \quad \tilde{f}_2 \in L^2(Q_T) \text{ and } L_8 : L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow L^2(Q_T)$$

is a continuous linear operator. Then  $(B_4)$  is satisfied. In the particular case when

$$L_8 \text{ is an } L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow L^\infty(Q_T) \text{ bounded linear operator}$$

then  $\gamma \leq 1$  is not assumed.

#### 4. Solutions in $(0, \infty)$

Now we formulate an existence theorem with respect to solutions for  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Denote by  $L^p_{loc}(0, \infty; V_1)$  the set of functions  $u : (0, \infty) \rightarrow V_1$  such that for each fixed finite  $T > 0$ , their restrictions to  $(0, T)$  satisfy  $u|_{(0, T)} \in L^p(0, T; V_1)$  and let  $Q_\infty = (0, \infty) \times \Omega$ ,  $L^\alpha_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  the set of functions  $u : Q_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u|_{Q_T} \in L^\alpha(Q_T)$  for any finite  $T$ .

Now we formulate assumptions on  $H, F_1, a_j, F_2$ .

$(\tilde{A}_4)$  The function  $H : Q_\infty \times L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty) \times L^p_{loc}(Q_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that for all fixed  $u \in L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty), z \in L^p_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  the function  $(t, x) \mapsto H(t, x; u, z)$  is measurable,  $H$  has the Volterra property (see  $(A_4)$ ) and for each fixed finite  $T > 0$ , the restriction  $H_T$  of  $H$  to  $Q_T \times L^2(Q_T) \times L^p(Q_T)$  satisfies  $(A_4)$ .

**Remark.** Since  $H$  has the Volterra property, this restriction  $H_T$  is well defined by the formula

$$H_T(t, x; \tilde{u}, \tilde{z}) = H(t, x; u, z), \quad (t, x) \in Q_T \quad \tilde{u} \in L^2(Q_T), \tilde{z} \in L^p(Q_T)$$

where  $u \in L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty), z \in L^p_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  may be any function satisfying  $u(t, x) = \tilde{u}(t, x), z(t, x) = \tilde{z}(t, x)$  for  $(t, x) \in Q_T$ .

$(\tilde{A}_5)$   $F_1 : Q_\infty \times L^p_{loc}(Q_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the Volterra property and for each fixed finite  $T > 0$ , the restriction of  $F_1$  to  $(0, T)$  satisfies  $(A_5)$ .

$(\tilde{B})$   $a_j : Q_\infty \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty) \times L^p_{loc}(Q_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) have the Volterra property and for each finite  $T > 0$ , their restrictions to  $(0, T)$  satisfy  $(B_1) - (B_3)$ .

$(\tilde{B}_4)$   $F_2 : Q_\infty \times L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the Volterra property and for each fixed finite  $T > 0$ , the restriction of  $F_2$  to  $(0, T)$  satisfies  $(B_4)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume  $(A_1) - (A_3), (\tilde{A}_4), (\tilde{A}_5), (\tilde{B}), (\tilde{B}_4)$ . Then for all  $u_0 \in V_1, u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$  there exist

$$u \in L^\infty_{loc}(0, \infty; V_1), \quad z \in L^p_{loc}(0, \infty; V_2) \text{ such that}$$

$$u' \in L^\infty_{loc}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)), \quad u'' \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; V_1^*), \quad z' \in L^q_{loc}(0, \infty; V_2^*),$$

(2.1) – (2.4) hold for a.a.  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and the initial condition (2.2) is fulfilled.

Assume that the following additional conditions are satisfied: there exist  $H^\infty, F_1^\infty \in L^2(\Omega), u_\infty \in V_1, a$  bounded function  $\tilde{\beta}$ , belonging to  $L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$  such that

$$Q(u_\infty) = F_1^\infty - H^\infty, \tag{4.1}$$

$$|H(t, x; u, z) - H^\infty| \leq \tilde{\beta}(t, x), \quad |F_1(t, x; z) - F_1^\infty(x)| \leq \tilde{\beta}(t, x) \tag{4.2}$$

for all fixed  $u \in L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty), z \in L^p_{loc}(Q_\infty)$ . Further, there exist functions

$$a_j^\infty : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \quad F_2^\infty : \Omega \times L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that for each fixed  $z_0 \in V_2$ ,  $z \in L^p_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  and  $u \in L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty)$ ,  $w_0 \in V_1$  with the property

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - w_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$$

for the functions

$$\varphi_j(t) = \|a_j(t, x, Dz_0, z_0; u, z) - a_j^\infty(x, Dz_0, z_0; w_0)\|_{L^q(\Omega)}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\psi(t) = \|F_2(t, x; u) - F_2^\infty(x; w_0)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \quad (4.4)$$

we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_j(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Finally,  $(B_3)$  is satisfied such that the following inequalities hold for all  $t > 0$  with constants  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , not depending on  $t$ :

$$\sum_{j=0}^n [a_j(t, x, \xi; u, z) - a_j(t, x, \xi^*; u, z)][\xi_j - \xi_j^*] \quad (4.6)$$

$$\frac{c_2}{1 + \|u\|_{L^2(Q_t \setminus Q_{t-a})}^\beta} |\xi - \xi^*|^p$$

with some fixed  $a > 0$  (finite delay).

Then for the above solutions  $u, z$  we have

$$u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; V_1), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\|u'(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \text{const} e^{-c_1 t} \quad (4.8)$$

where  $c_1$  is given in  $(A_2)$  and there exists  $w_0 \in V_1$  such that

$$u(T) \rightarrow w_0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty, \quad \|u(T) - w_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \text{const} e^{-c_1 T} \quad (4.9)$$

and  $w_0$  satisfies

$$Q(w_0) + \varphi h'(w_0) = F_1^\infty - H^\infty. \quad (4.10)$$

Finally, there exists a unique solution  $z_0 \in V_2$  of

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_j^\infty(x, Dz_0, z_0; w_0) D_j v dx + \int_{\Omega} a_0^\infty(x, Dz_0, z_0; w_0) v dx = \quad (4.11)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} F_2^\infty(x; w_0) v dx \text{ for all } v \in V_2$$

(where  $w_0$  is the solution of (4.10)) and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|z(t) - z_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T-b}^{T+b} \|z(t) - z_0\|_{V_2}^p dt = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

for arbitrary fixed  $b > 0$ . If

$$\varphi_j, \psi \in L^q(0, \infty) \text{ then } z \in L^p(0, \infty; V_2). \quad (4.13)$$

*Proof.* Let  $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a monotone increasing sequence, converging to  $+\infty$ . According to Theorem 2.1, there exist solutions  $u_k, z_k$  of (2.1) – (2.4) for  $t \in (0, T_k)$ . The Volterra property of  $H, F_1, a_j, F_2$  implies that the restrictions of  $u_k, z_k$  to  $t \in (0, T_l)$  with  $T_l < T_k$  satisfy (2.1) – (2.4) for  $t \in (0, T_l)$ .

Now consider the restrictions  $u_k|_{(0, T_1)}, z_k|_{(0, T_1)}, k = 2, 3, \dots$ . Applying (2.33), (2.34) and  $\delta < p - 1$  to  $T = T_1$  and  $\tilde{z} = z_k|_{(0, T_1)}$  we obtain that the sequence

$$(z_k|_{(0, T_1)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is bounded in } L^p(Q_{T_1}) \tag{4.14}$$

thus by Lemma 2.4 there is a subsequence  $(z_{1k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the sequence of restrictions  $(z_{1k}|_{(0, T_1)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $L^p(Q_{T_1})$ .

Now consider the restrictions  $z_{1k}|_{(0, T_2)}$ . By using the above arguments, we find that there exists a subsequence  $(z_{2k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(z_{1k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(z_{2k}|_{(0, T_2)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $L^p(Q_{T_2})$ .

Thus for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  we obtain a subsequence  $(z_{lk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(z_{lk}|_{(0, T_l)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $L^p(Q_{T_l})$ . Then the diagonal sequence  $(z_{kk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of  $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for all fixed  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(z_{kk}|_{(0, T_l)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $L^p(Q_{T_l})$  to some  $z^* \in L^p_{loc}(Q_\infty)$ . Since  $z_{ll}$  is a fixed point of  $S = S_l : L^p(Q_{T_l}) \rightarrow L^p(Q_{T_l})$  and  $S_l$  is continuous thus the limit  $z^*|_{(0, T_l)}$  in  $L^p(Q_{T_l})$  of  $(z_{kk}|_{(0, T_l)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a fixed point of  $S = S_l$ .

Consequently, the solutions  $u_l^*$  of (2.1), (2.2) when  $z$  is the restriction of  $z^*$  to  $(0, T_l)$  and the restriction of  $z^*$  to  $(0, T_l)$  satisfy (2.1) – (2.4) for  $t \in (0, T_l)$ . Since for  $m < l$ ,  $u_l^*|_{(0, T_m)} = u_m^*$  (by the Volterra property of  $H, F_1, a_j, F_2$ ), we obtain  $u^* \in L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  such that for all fixed  $l$ ,  $u^*|_{(0, T_l)}, z^*|_{(0, T_l)}$  satisfy (2.1) – (2.4) for  $t \in (0, T_l)$ , so the first part of Theorem 4.1 is proved.

Now assume that the additional conditions (4.1) - (4.6) are satisfied. Then we obtain (4.7) – (4.10) for  $u = u^*, z = z^*$  by using the arguments of the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [11]. For convenience we formulate the main steps of the proof.

The sequence  $(z_{kk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $L^p(0, T_l; V_2)$  for each fixed  $l$  by (2.19) – (2.21),  $(B_4)$ , (4.14), consequently, from (2.13) (with  $\tilde{z}_k = z_{kk}$ ) we obtain for the solutions  $u_{kk}$  of (2.1), (2.2) with  $\tilde{z} = z_{kk}$  (since  $u_{kk}$  is the limit of the Galerkin approximations  $\tilde{u}_{mk}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|u'_{kk}(t)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q(u_{kk}(t)), u_{kk}(t) \rangle + \int_\Omega \varphi(x) h(u_{kk}(t)) dx + \tag{4.15} \\ & \int_0^t \left[ \int_\Omega \psi(x) |u'_{kk}(\tau)|^2 dx \right] d\tau + \int_0^t \left[ \int_\Omega H(\tau, x; u_{kk}, z_{kk}) u'_{kk}(\tau) dx \right] d\tau = \\ & \int_0^t \left[ \int_\Omega F_1(\tau, x; z_{kk}) u'_{kk}(\tau) dx \right] d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \|u'_{kk}(0)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q(u_{kk}(0)), u_{kk}(0) \rangle + \\ & \int_\Omega \varphi(x) h(u_{kk}(0)) dx \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t > 0$ . Hence we find by (4.1), (4.2) and Young's inequality for  $w_{kk} = u_{kk} - u_\infty$

$$\frac{1}{2} \|w'_{kk}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_0}{2} \|u_{kk}(t)\|_{V_1}^2 + c_1 \int_\Omega h(u_{kk}(t)) dx + \text{const} \int_0^t \left[ \int_\Omega |w'_{kk}|^2 dx \right] d\tau \leq \tag{4.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{const} \left\{ \int_0^t \|F_1(\tau, x; z_{kk}) - F_1^\infty\|_H^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \|H(\tau, x; u_{kk}z_{kk}) - H^\infty\|_H^2 d\tau \right\} + \\ & \varepsilon \int_0^t \left[ \int_\Omega |w'_{kk}|^2 dx \right] d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \|u'_{kk}(0)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q(u_{kk}(0)), u_{kk}(0) \rangle + c_2 \int_\Omega h(u_{kk}(0)) dx \leq \\ & \varepsilon \int_0^t \left[ \int_\Omega |w'_{kk}|^2 dx \right] d\tau + \text{const} + C(\varepsilon) \|\tilde{\beta}\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^t \left[ \int_\Omega |w'_{kk}|^2 dx \right] d\tau \leq \text{const} \tag{4.17}$$

and thus by (4.16)

$$\|u'_{kk}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \tilde{c} \int_0^t \|u'_{kk}(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq c^*$$

with some positive constants  $\tilde{c}$  and  $c^*$  not depending on  $k$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Hence by Gronwall's lemma we obtain (4.8) and by (4.16) we find (4.7).

It is not difficult to show that

$$\|u(T_2) - u(T_1)\|_H \leq \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \|u'(t)\|_H dt \tag{4.18}$$

(see [11]), thus (4.8) implies (4.9) and by  $u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; V_1)$ , the limit  $w_0$  of  $u(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  must belong to  $V_1$ .

In order to prove (4.10) we apply equation (1.1) to  $v\chi_{T_k}(t)$  with arbitrary fixed  $v \in V_1$  where  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty}(T_k) = +\infty$  and

$$\chi_{T_k}(t) = \chi(t - T_k), \quad \chi \in C_0^\infty, \quad \text{supp}\chi \subset [0, 1], \quad \int_0^1 \chi(t) dt = 1.$$

Then by (4.8) one obtains (4.10) as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we show that there exists a unique solution  $z_0 \in V_2$  of (4.11). This statement follows from the fact that the operator (applied to  $z_0 \in V_2$ ) on the left hand side of (4.11) is bounded, demicontinuous and uniformly monotone (see, e.g. [13]) by  $(B_1)$ ,  $(B_2)$ , (4.9), (4.5), (4.6).

Finally, we show (4.12). By (4.6) we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|z(t) - z_0\|_H^2 + \frac{c_2}{1 + \|u\|_{L^2(Q_t \setminus Q_{t-a})}} \|z(t) - z_0\|_{V_2}^p \leq \tag{4.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \sum_{j=1}^n [a_j(t, x, Dz, z; u, z) - a_j(t, x, Dz_0, z_0; u, z)] (D_j z - D_j z_0) dx + \\ & \int_\Omega [a_0(t, x, Dz, z; u, z) - a_0(t, x, Dz_0, z_0; u, z)] (z - z_0) dx = \\ & \int_\Omega [F_2(t, x; u) - F_2^\infty(x, w_0)] (z - z_0) dx - \\ & \int_\Omega \sum_{j=1}^n [a_j(t, x, Dz_0, z_0; u, z) - a_j^\infty(x, Dz_0, z_0; w_0)] (D_j z - D_j z_0) dx - \end{aligned}$$



$$\int_{\Omega} [a_0(t, x, Dz_0, z_0; u, z) - a_0^\infty(t, x, Dz_0, z_0; w_0)](z - z_0) dx \leq$$

$$C(\varepsilon) \|F_2(t, x; u) - F_2^\infty(x, w_0)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \|z(t) - z_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} +$$

$$C(\varepsilon) \sum_{j=1}^n \|a_j(t, x, Dz_0, z_0; u, z) - a_j^\infty(x, Dz_0, z_0; w_0)\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q + \varepsilon \|D_j z(t) - D_j z_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p +$$

$$C(\varepsilon) \|a_0(t, x, Dz_0, z_0; u, z) - a_0^\infty(x, Dz_0, z_0; w_0)\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q + \varepsilon \|z(t) - z_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p.$$

Since  $\|u\|_{L^2(Q_\varepsilon \setminus Q_{\varepsilon-a})}^\beta$  is bounded for  $t \in (0, \infty)$  by (4.9) and

$$\|z(t) - z_0\|_{V_2} \geq \text{const} \|z(t) - z_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

with some positive constant, thus by (4.3) – (4.5), (4.19) with sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  we obtain for

$$y(t) = \|z(t) - z_0\|_H^2$$

the inequality

$$y'(t) + c^*[y(t)]^{p/2} \leq g(t) \tag{4.20}$$

where  $c^*$  is a positive constant and  $\lim_{\infty} g = 0$ .

The inequality (4.20) implies the first part of (4.12):

$$\lim_{\infty} y = 0 \tag{4.21}$$

(see [10]). Integrating (4.19) with respect to  $t$  over  $(T - b, T + b)$  we obtain the second part of (4.12) by (4.21). Integrating (4.19) with respect to  $t$  over  $(0, T)$ , by (4.21) we obtain (4.13) as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Acknowledgement.** This work was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under grant OTKA K 115926.

## References

- [1] Adams, R.A., *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York - San Francisco - London, 1975.
- [2] Berkovits, J., Mustonen, V., *Topological degree for perturbations of linear maximal monotone mappings and applications to a class of parabolic problems*, Rend. Mat. Ser. VII, **12**(1992), 597-621.
- [3] Besenyei, A., *On nonlinear systems containing nonlocal terms*, PhD Thesis, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, 2008.
- [4] Cinca, S., *Diffusion und Transport in porösen Medien bei veränderlichen Porosität*, Diplomawork, Univ. Heidelberg, 2000.
- [5] Lions, J.L., *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [6] Logan, J.D., Petersen, M.R., Shores, T.S., *Numerical study of reaction-mineralogy-porosity changes in porous media*, Appl. Math. Comput., **127**(2002), 149-164.
- [7] Merkin, J.H., Needham, D.J., Sleeman, B.D., *A mathematical model for the spread of morphogens with density dependent chemosensitivity*, Nonlinearity, **18**(2005), 2745-2773.
- [8] Simon, L., *On some singular systems of parabolic functional equations*, Math. Bohem., **135**(2010), 123-132.

- [9] Simon, L., *On singular systems of parabolic functional equations*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, **216**(2011), 317-330.
- [10] Simon, L., *Application of monotone type operators to parabolic and functional parabolic PDE's*, Handbook of Differential Equations. Evolutionary Equations, Vol. 4, Elsevier, 2008, 267-321.
- [11] Simon, L., *Semilinear hyperbolic functional equations*, Banach Center Publications, **101**(2014), 205-222.
- [12] Wu, J., *Theory and Applications of Partial Functional Differential Equations*, Springer, 1996.
- [13] Zeidler, E., *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications II A and II B*, Springer, 1990.

László Simon

L. Eötvös University of Budapest, Hungary

e-mail: `simonl@cs.elte.hu`