

Fekete-Szegő inequality of bi-starlike and bi-convex functions of order b associated with symmetric q -derivative in conic domains

Bharavi Sharma Rayaprolu, Rajya Laxmi Kalikota and
Nanjundan Magesh

Abstract. In this paper, two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions related to conic domains are defined by making use of symmetric q -differential operator. The initial bounds for Fekete-Szegő inequality for the functions f in these classes are estimated.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords: Analytic functions, bi-univalent functions, bi-starlike functions, bi-convex functions, conic domain, Fekete-Szegő inequality, q -differential operator, univalent functions.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denotes the set of all functions which are analytic in the unit disc

$$\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

with Taylor's series expansion of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are normalized by $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$. The subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all univalent functions is denoted by \mathcal{S} . A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a starlike function if

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a convex function if

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Goodman [10, 11, 12] introduced the classes uniformly starlike and uniformly convex functions as subclasses of starlike and convex functions. A starlike function (or convex function) is said to be uniformly starlike (or uniformly convex) if the image of every circular arc ζ contained in Δ , with center at ξ also in Δ is starlike (or convex) with respect to $f(\xi)$. The class of uniformly starlike functions is represented by \mathcal{UST} and the class of uniformly convex functions is represented by \mathcal{UCV} . The class of parabolic starlike functions is represented by \mathcal{S}_p . Rønning [24] and Ma-Minda [18, 19] independently gave the characterization for the classes \mathcal{S}_p and \mathcal{UCV} as follows.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class \mathcal{S}_p if and only if

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class \mathcal{UCV} if and only if

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Also, it is clear that

$$f \in \mathcal{UCV} \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p.$$

Kanas and Wisniowska [16, 15], introduced k -uniformly starlike functions and k -uniformly convex functions as follows.

$$k - \mathcal{ST} = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|, z \in \Delta, k \geq 0 \right\}$$

$$k - \mathcal{UCV} = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|, z \in \Delta, k \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Bharati, et al. [8], defined $k - \mathcal{ST}(\beta)$ and $k - \mathcal{UCV}(\beta)$ as follows. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $k - \mathcal{ST}(\beta)$ if and only if

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) - \beta > k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{1.2}$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $k - \mathcal{UCV}(\beta)$ if and only if

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) - \beta > k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{1.3}$$

Sim et al.[26], generalized above classes and introduced $k - \mathcal{ST}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $k - \mathcal{UCV}(\alpha, \beta)$ as below:

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $k - \mathcal{ST}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) - \beta > k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right| \quad (z \in \Delta), \tag{1.4}$$

where $0 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq 1$ and $k(1 - \alpha) < 1 - \beta$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $k - \mathcal{UCV}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) - \beta > k \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right| \quad (z \in \Delta), \tag{1.5}$$

where $0 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq 1$ and $k(1 - \alpha) < 1 - \beta$.

In particular, for $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$ the classes $k - \mathcal{ST}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $k - \mathcal{UCV}(\alpha, \beta)$ reduces to $k - \mathcal{ST}$ and $k - \mathcal{UCV}$ respectively. Further, for $\alpha = 1$ these classes coincides with the classes studied by Nishiwaki and Owa [20] and Shams et al. [25]. In 2017, Annamalai et al. [7], obtained second Hankel determinant of analytic functions involving conic domains.

Now we give the geometric interpretations of the classes $f \in k - \mathcal{ST}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $k - \mathcal{UCV}(\alpha, \beta)$ as follows:

A function $f \in k - \mathcal{ST}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $k - \mathcal{UCV}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ and $1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}$, respectively takes all the values in the conic domain $\Omega_{k, \alpha, \beta}$

$$\Omega_{k, \alpha, \beta} = \{ \omega : \omega \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } k|\omega - \alpha| < \Re(\omega) - \beta \}$$

or

$$\Omega_{k, \alpha, \beta} = \left\{ \omega : \omega \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } k\sqrt{[\Re(\omega) - \alpha]^2 + [\Im(\omega)]^2} < \Re(\omega) - \beta \right\},$$

where $0 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq 1$ and $k(1 - \alpha) < 1 - \beta$. Clearly $1 \in \Omega_{k, \alpha, \beta}$ and $\Omega_{k, \alpha, \beta}$ is bounded by the curve

$$\partial\Omega_{k, \alpha, \beta} = \{ \omega : \omega = u + iv \text{ and } k^2(u - \alpha)^2 + k^2v^2 = (u - \beta)^2 \}.$$

The Caratheodory functions $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{P}(p_{k, \alpha, \beta})$ if and only if p takes all the values in the conic domain $\Omega_{k, \alpha, \beta}$. Analytically it is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(p_{k, \alpha, \beta}) &= \{ p : p \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } p(\Delta) \subset \Omega_{k, \alpha, \beta} \}, \\ \mathcal{P}(p_{k, \alpha, \beta}) &= \{ p : p \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } p(z) \prec p_{k, \alpha, \beta}, z \in \Delta \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is interesting to note that $\partial\Omega_{k, \alpha, \beta}$ represents conic section about real axis. In particular, $\Omega_{k, \alpha, \beta}$ represents an elliptic domain for $k > 1$, parabolic domain for $k = 1$, hyperbolic domain for $0 < k < 1$. Sim et al. [26] obtained the functions $p_{k, \alpha, \beta}(z)$ which play the role of extremal functions of $\mathcal{P}(p_{k, \alpha, \beta})$ as

$$p_{k, \alpha, \beta}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, & \text{for } k = 0 \\ \alpha + \frac{2(\alpha - \beta)}{\pi^2} \log^2 \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{u_k(z)}}{1 - \sqrt{u_k(z)}} \right), & \text{for } k = 1 \\ \frac{\alpha - \beta}{1 - k^2} \cosh \left\{ u(k) \log \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{u_k(z)}}{1 - \sqrt{u_k(z)}} \right) \right\} + \frac{\beta - \alpha k^2}{1 - k^2}, & \text{for } 0 < k < 1 \\ \frac{\alpha - \beta}{k^2 - 1} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2K(k)} \int_0^{\omega} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}\sqrt{1 - t^2k^2}} \right) + \frac{\alpha k^2 - \beta}{k^2 - 1}, & \text{for } k > 1, \end{cases}$$

where $u(k) = \frac{2}{\pi} \cos^{-1} k$, $u_k(z) = \frac{z + \rho_k}{1 + \rho_k z}$ and

$$\rho_k = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{e^A - 1}{e^A + 1} \right)^2, & \text{for } k = 1 \\ \left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{1}{u_k(z)} \operatorname{arcosh} B\right) - 1}{\exp\left(\frac{1}{u_k(z)} \operatorname{arcosh} B\right) + 1} \right)^2, & \text{for } 0 < k < 1 \\ \sqrt{k} \sin \left[\frac{2K(\kappa)}{\pi} \operatorname{arc} \sin C \right], & \text{for } k > 1 \end{cases}$$

with $A = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2(\alpha - \beta)}} \pi$, $B = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} (1 - k^2 - \beta + \alpha k^2)$, $C = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} (k^2 - 1 + \beta - \alpha k^2)$.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} K(\kappa) &= \int_0^\omega \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2} \sqrt{1-t^2\kappa^2}} \quad (0 < \kappa < 1), \\ K'(\kappa) &= K(\sqrt{1-\kappa^2}) \quad (0 < \kappa < 1), \\ \kappa &= \cosh \left(\frac{\pi K'(\kappa)}{4K(\kappa)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to Koebe’s $\frac{1}{4}$ theorem, every analytic and univalent function f in Δ has an inverse f^{-1} and is defined as

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \Delta) \text{ and } f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad \left(|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right).$$

Also the function f^{-1} can be written as

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \tag{1.6}$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent if both f and analytic extension of f^{-1} in Δ are univalent in Δ . The class of all bi-univalent functions is denoted by Σ . That is a function f is said to be bi-univalent if and only if

1. f is an analytic and univalent function in Δ .
2. There exists an analytic and univalent function g in Δ such that $f(g(z)) = g(f(z)) = z$ in Δ .

The class of bi-univalent functions was introduced by Lewin [17] in 1967. Recently many researchers [1, 2, 4, 3, 14, 21, 22, 23, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 32, 34, 35] have introduced and investigated several interesting subclasses of the bi-univalent functions and they have found non-sharp estimates of two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$, $|a_3|$, Fekete-Szegő inequalities and second Hankel determinants. In 2017, Altinkaya and Yalçın [5, 6] estimated the coefficients and Fekete-Szegő inequalities for some subclasses of bi-univalent functions involving symmetric q -derivative operator subordinate to the generating function of Chebyshev polynomials.

Jackson [13], defined q -derivative operator D_q of an analytic function f of the form (1.1) as follows:

$$D_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z}, & \text{for } z \neq 0, \\ f'(0), & \text{for } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$D_q f(0) = f'(0) \text{ and } D_q^2 = D_q(D_q f(z)).$$

If $f(z) = z^n$ for any positive integer n , the q -derivative of $f(z)$ is defined by

$$D_q z^n = \frac{(qz)^n - z^n}{qz - z} = [n]_q z^{n-1},$$

where $[n]_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$. As $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $[n]_q \rightarrow n$ and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (D_q f(z)) = f'(z)$$

where f' is normal derivative of f . Therefore

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}.$$

Brahim and Sidomou [9], defined the symmetric q -derivative operator \widetilde{D}_q of an analytic function f of the form (1.1) as follows:

$$(\widetilde{D}_q f)(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(qz) - f(q^{-1}z)}{(q - q^{-1})z}, & \text{for } z \neq 0, \\ f'(0), & \text{for } z = 0 \end{cases}.$$

It is clear that $\widetilde{D}_q z^n = [\widetilde{n}]_q z^{n-1}$ and $\widetilde{D}_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\widetilde{n}]_q a_n z^{n-1}$, where

$$[\widetilde{n}]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

The relation between q -derivative operator and symmetric q -derivative operator is given by

$$(\widetilde{D}_q f)(z) = D_{q^2} f(q^{-1}z).$$

If g is the inverse of f then

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{D}_q g)(w) &= \frac{g(qw) - g(q^{-1}w)}{(q - q^{-1})w} \\ &= 1 - [\widetilde{2}]_q a_2 w + [\widetilde{3}]_q (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^2 - [\widetilde{4}]_q (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

One could refer [27], for more details of q -calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in Geometric Function Theory.

Motivated by the above mentioned work, in this paper, bi-starlike functions of order b and bi-convex functions of order b involving q -derivative operator subordinate to the conic domains are defined and the Fekete-Szegő inequality for the function in these classes are obtained.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $k - \mathcal{ST}_{\Sigma, b}(\alpha, \beta)$, where $0 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq 1$ and $k(1 - \alpha) < 1 - \beta$ and b is a non-zero complex number, if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z \tilde{D}_q f(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec p_{k, \alpha, \beta}(z) \quad (z \in \Delta) \tag{1.7}$$

and for $g = f^{-1}$

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{w \tilde{D}_q g(w)}{g(w)} - 1 \right) \prec p_{k, \alpha, \beta}(w) \quad (w \in \Delta). \tag{1.8}$$

Definition 1.2. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $k - \mathcal{UCV}_{\Sigma, b}(\alpha, \beta)$; where $0 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq 1$ and $k(1 - \alpha) < 1 - \beta$, and b is a non-zero complex number, if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\tilde{D}_q(z \tilde{D}_q f(z))}{\tilde{D}_q(f(z))} - 1 \right) \prec p_{k, \alpha, \beta}(z) \quad (z \in \Delta) \tag{1.9}$$

and for $g = f^{-1}$

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\tilde{D}_q(w \tilde{D}_q g(w))}{\tilde{D}_q(g(w))} - 1 \right) \prec p_{k, \alpha, \beta}(w) \quad (w \in \Delta). \tag{1.10}$$

2. Main results

In this section, initial estimates $|a_2|$, $|a_3|$ and Fekete-Szegő inequalities for the functions f in the classes $k - \mathcal{ST}_{\Sigma, b}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $k - \mathcal{UCV}_{\Sigma, b}(\alpha, \beta)$ are obtained.

Theorem 2.1. *If $f \in k - \mathcal{ST}_{\Sigma, b}(\alpha, \beta)$ and is of the form (1.1) then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|P_1| \sqrt{|P_1| b^2}}{\sqrt{|P_1^2 b (\widetilde{[3]}_q - \widetilde{[2]}_q) + 2(P_1 - P_2) (\widetilde{[2]}_q - 1)^2|}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{b^2 P_1^2}{(\widetilde{[2]}_q - 1)^2} + \frac{|b P_1|}{\widetilde{[3]}_q - 1}$$

and

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|P_1 b|}{\widetilde{[3]}_q - 1}, & \text{if } 0 \leq |s(\mu)| \leq 1 \\ \frac{|P_1 b| |s(\mu)|}{\widetilde{[3]}_q - 1} & \text{if } |s(\mu)| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$s(\mu) = \frac{P_1^2 b(1 - \mu)}{[P_1^2 b (\widetilde{[3]}_q - \widetilde{[2]}_q) + (P_1 - P_2) (\widetilde{[2]}_q - 1)^2]}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in k - \mathcal{ST}_{\Sigma, b}(\alpha, \beta)$ and g be an analytic extension of f^{-1} in Δ . Then there exist two Schwarz functions $u, v \in \Delta$ such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z \tilde{D}_q f(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) = p_{k, \alpha, \beta}(u(z)), \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{w \tilde{D}_q g(w)}{g(w)} - 1 \right) = p_{k, \alpha, \beta}(v(w)). \tag{2.2}$$

Define two functions $h, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + u(z)}{1 - u(z)} = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + h_3 z^3 + \dots$$

and

$$q(w) = \frac{1 + v(w)}{1 - v(w)} = 1 + q_1 w + q_2 w^2 + q_3 w^3 + \dots .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k, \alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1} \right) &= 1 + \frac{P_1 h_1 z}{2} + \left(\frac{P_1}{2} (h_2 - \frac{h_1^2}{2}) + \frac{P_2 h_1^2}{4} \right) z^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{P_1}{2} \left(\frac{h_1^3}{4} - h_1 h_2 + h_3 \right) + \frac{P_2}{4} (2h_1 h_2 - h_1^3) + \frac{P_3}{8} h_1^3 \right) z^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k, \alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{q(w) - 1}{q(w) + 1} \right) &= 1 + \frac{P_1 q_1 w}{2} + \left(\frac{P_1}{2} (q_2 - \frac{q_1^2}{2}) + \frac{P_2 q_1^2}{4} \right) w^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{P_1}{2} \left(\frac{q_1^3}{4} - q_1 q_2 + q_3 \right) + \frac{P_2}{4} (2q_1 q_2 - q_1^3) + \frac{P_3}{8} q_1^3 \right) w^3 + \dots . \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

In view of (2.3) and (2.4), the equations (2.1) and (2.2) become

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z \tilde{D}_q f(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) = p_{k, \alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1} \right) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{w \tilde{D}_q g(w)}{g(w)} - 1 \right) = p_{k, \alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{v(w) - 1}{v(w) + 1} \right). \tag{2.6}$$

Comparing the coefficients of like powers of z in the equations (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$\frac{1}{b} \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) a_2 = \frac{P_1 h_1}{2}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\frac{1}{b} \left[\left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right) a_3 - \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) a_2^2 \right] = \frac{P_1}{2} \left(h_2 - \frac{h_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{P_2 h_1^2}{4}, \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\frac{-1}{b} \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) a_2 = \frac{P_1 q_1}{2}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\frac{1}{b} \left[\left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right) (2a_2^2 - a_3) - \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) a_2^2 \right] = \frac{P_1}{2} \left(q_2 - \frac{q_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{P_2 q_1^2}{4}. \tag{2.10}$$

From the equations (2.7) and (2.9)

$$h_1 = -q_1. \tag{2.11}$$

Now, squaring and adding the equations (2.7) from (2.9), we get

$$h_1^2 + q_1^2 = \frac{8 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2 a_2^2}{P_1^2 b^2}. \tag{2.12}$$

Next, adding (2.8) and (2.10), use the equation (2.12), one can get

$$a_2^2 = \frac{P_1^3 (h_2 + q_2) b^2}{4 \left[P_1^2 b \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - [\widetilde{2}]_q \right) + (P_1 - P_2) \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2 \right]}. \tag{2.13}$$

Subtract the equation (2.10) from (2.8),

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{b P_1 (h_2 - q_2)}{4 \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right)}. \tag{2.14}$$

Then using the equation (2.12), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{P_1^2 b^2 (h_1^2 + q_1^2)}{8 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2} + \frac{b P_1 (h_2 - q_2)}{4 \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right)}. \tag{2.15}$$

Using the equations (2.13) and (2.14), we get

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{b P_1}{4 \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right)} [h_2 (1 + s(\mu)) + q_2 (-1 + s(\mu))], \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$s(\mu) = \frac{P_1^2 b (1 - \mu)}{\left[P_1^2 b \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - [\widetilde{2}]_q \right) + (P_1 - P_2) \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2 \right]}.$$

By applying the modulus for the equations (2.13), (2.15) and (2.16), we get the required results. □

Theorem 2.2. *If $f \in k - \mathcal{UCV}_{\Sigma, b}(\alpha, \beta)$ and is of the form (1.1), then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|P_1| |b| \sqrt{|P_1|}}{\sqrt{\left| \left([\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right) - [\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) \right) bP_1^2 + [\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2 (P_1 - P_2) \right|}}$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{P_1^2 b^2}{[\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2} + \frac{|bP_1|}{[\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right)}$$

and

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|P_1 b|}{[\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right)}, & \text{if } 0 \leq |s(\mu)| \leq 1 \\ \frac{|P_1 b s(\mu)|}{[\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right)} & \text{if } |s(\mu)| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$s(\mu) = \frac{P_1^2 b(1 - \mu)}{4 \left[\left([\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right) - [\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) \right) bP_1^2 + [\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2 (P_1 - P_2) \right]}.$$

Proof. If $f \in k - \mathcal{UCV}_{\Sigma, b}(\alpha, \beta)$ and g is an analytic extension of f^{-1} in Δ , then there exist two Schwarz functions $u, v \in \Delta$ such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\widetilde{D}_q(z\widetilde{D}_q f(z))}{\widetilde{D}_q(f(z))} - 1 \right) = p_{k, \alpha, \beta}(u(z)), \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\widetilde{D}_q(w\widetilde{D}_q g(w))}{\widetilde{D}_q(g(w))} - 1 \right) = p_{k, \alpha, \beta}(v(w)). \tag{2.18}$$

Then in view of (2.3) and (2.4) the equations (2.17) and (2.18) reduces to

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\widetilde{D}_q(z\widetilde{D}_q f(z))}{\widetilde{D}_q(f(z))} - 1 \right) = p_{k, \alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1} \right), \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\widetilde{D}_q(w\widetilde{D}_q g(w))}{\widetilde{D}_q(g(w))} - 1 \right) = p_{k, \alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{v(w) - 1}{v(w) + 1} \right). \tag{2.20}$$

Comparing the coefficients of similar powers of z in equations (2.19) and (2.20)

$$\frac{1}{b} [\widetilde{2}]_q \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) a_2 = \frac{P_1 h_1}{2}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\frac{1}{b} \left[[\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right) a_3 - [\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) a_2^2 \right] = \frac{P_1}{2} \left(h_2 - \frac{h_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{P_2 h_1^2}{4}, \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\frac{-1}{b} [\widetilde{2}]_q \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) a_2 = \frac{P_1 q_1}{2}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$\frac{1}{b} ([\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right) (2a_2^2 - a_3) - [\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) a_2^2) = \frac{P_1}{2} \left(q_2 - \frac{q_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{P_2 q_1^2}{4}. \tag{2.24}$$

From the equations (2.21) and (2.23), we get

$$h_1 = -q_1. \tag{2.25}$$

Squaring and adding the equations (2.21) from (2.23), we get

$$h_1^2 + q_1^2 = \frac{8([\widetilde{2}]_q)^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2 a_2^2}{P_1^2 b^2}. \tag{2.26}$$

Adding (2.22) and (2.24), and using the equation (2.26), one can get

$$a_2^2 = \frac{P_1^3 (h_2 + q_2) b^2}{4([\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right) - [\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right) b P_1^2 + ([\widetilde{2}]_q)^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2 (P_1 - P_2)}. \tag{2.27}$$

Subtracting the equation (2.24) from (2.22), we get

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{b P_1 (h_2 - q_2)}{4([\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right))}. \tag{2.28}$$

Using the equation (2.26), we obtain

$$a_3 = \frac{P_1^2 b^2 (h_1^2 + q_1^2)}{8[\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right) \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2} + \frac{b P_1 (h_2 - q_2)}{4([\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right))}. \tag{2.29}$$

Then using the equations (2.27) and (2.28), we get

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{b P_1}{4([\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right))} \left[h_2 (1 + s(\mu)) + q_2 (-1 + s(\mu)) \right], \tag{2.30}$$

where

$$s(\mu) = \frac{b P_1^2 (1 - \mu)}{4([\widetilde{3}]_q \left([\widetilde{3}]_q - 1 \right) - [\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2 b P_1^2 + [\widetilde{2}]_q^2 \left([\widetilde{2}]_q - 1 \right)^2 (P_1 - P_2)}.$$

By applying modulus for the equations (2.27), (2.29) and (2.30) on both sides we get the required results. □

Acknowledgment. The authors are very much thankful to the referee for her/his insightful suggestions and betterment of the current form of the Paper. The work presented in this paper is partially supported by DST-FIST-Grant No.SR/FST/MSI-101/2014, dated 14/1/2016.

References

- [1] Abirami, C., Magesh, N., Gatti, N.B., Yamini, J., *Horadam polynomial coefficient estimates for a class of λ -bi-pseudo-starlike functions*, J. Anal., (2020), 1-10 (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41478-020-00224-2>).
- [2] Abirami, C., Magesh, N., Yamini, J., *Initial bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions defined by Horadam polynomials*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2020**(2020), Art. ID 7391058, 1-8.
- [3] Ali, R.M., Lee, S.K., Ravichandran, V., Supramanian, S., *Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **25**(2012), no. 3, 344-351.
- [4] Altınkaya, S., Yalçın, S., *Upper bound of second Hankel determinant for bi-Bazilevič functions*, Mediterr. J. Math., **13**(2016), no. 6, 4081-4090.
- [5] Altınkaya, S., Yalçın, S., *Estimates on coefficients of a general subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with symmetric q -derivative operator by means of the chebyshev polynomials*, Asia Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **4**(2017), no. 2, 90-99.
- [6] Altınkaya, S., Yalçın, S., *On the Chebyshev polynomial coefficient problem of some subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Gulf J. Math., **5**(2017), no. 3, 34-40.
- [7] Annamalai, S., Sivasubramanian, S., Ramachandran, C., *Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions involving conical domains defined by subordination*, Math. Slovaca, **67**(2017), no. 4, 945-956.
- [8] Bharati, R., Parvatham, R., Swaminathan, A., *On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions*, Tamkang J. Math., **28**(1997), no. 1, 17-32.
- [9] Brahim, K., Sidomou, Y., *On some symmetric q -special functions*, Matematiche (Catania), **68**(2013), no. 2, 107-122.
- [10] Goodman, A.W., *Univalent Functions*, Vol. I & II, Mariner Publishing Co., Inc., Tampa, FL, 1983.
- [11] Goodman, A.W., *On uniformly convex functions*, Ann. Polon. Math., **56**(1991), no. 1, 87-92.
- [12] Goodman, A.W., *On uniformly starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **155**(1991), no. 2, 364-370.
- [13] Jackson, F.H., *On q -functions and a certain difference operator*, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, **46**(1908), 253-281.
- [14] Jahangiri, J.M., Magesh, N., Yamini, J., *Fekete-Szegő inequalities for classes of bi-starlike and bi-convex functions*, Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **3**(2015), no. 1, 133-140.
- [15] Kanas, S., Wisniowska, A., *Conic domains and starlike functions*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **45**(2000), no. 4, 647-657.
- [16] Kanas, S., Wisniowska, A., *Conic regions and k -uniform convexity*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **105**(1999), no. 1-2, 327-336.
- [17] Lewin, M., *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18**(1967), 63-68.
- [18] Ma, W.C., Minda, D., *Uniformly convex functions*, Ann. Polon. Math., **57**(1992), no. 2, 165-175.
- [19] Ma, W.C., Minda, D., *Uniformly convex functions*, II, Ann. Polon. Math., **58**(1993), no. 3, 275-285.

- [20] Nishiwaki, J., Owa, S., *Certain classes of analytic functions concerned with uniformly starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Comput., **187**(2007), no. 1, 350-355.
- [21] Orhan, H., Magesh, N., Balaji, V.K., *Fekete-Szegő problem for certain classes of Minda bi-univalent functions*, Afr. Mat., **27**(2016), no. 5-6, 889-897.
- [22] Orhan, H., Magesh, N., Yamini, J., *Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain bi-univalent functions*, Turkish J. Math., **40**(2016), no. 3, 679-687.
- [23] Raina, R.K., Sokół, J., *On coefficient estimates for a certain class of starlike functions*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **44**(2015), no. 6, 1427-1433.
- [24] Rønning, F., *On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A, **45**(1991), 117-122.
- [25] Shams, S., Kulkarni, S.R., Jahangiri, J.M., *Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2004**, no. 53-56, 2959-2961.
- [26] Sim, Y.J., Kwon, O.S., Cho, N.E., Srivastava, H.M., *Some classes of analytic functions associated with conic regions*, Taiwanese J. Math., **16**(2012), no. 1, 387-408.
- [27] Srivastava, H.M., *Operators of basic (or q -) calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in Geometric Function Theory of Complex Analysis*, Iran J. Sci. Technol Trans Sci., (2020), 1-18.
- [28] Srivastava, H.M., Bulut, S., Çağlar, M., Yağmur, N., *Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Filomat, **27**(2013), no. 5, 831-842.
- [29] Srivastava, H.M., Eker, S.S., Ali, R.M., *Coefficient bounds for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Filomat, **29**(2015), no. 8, 1839-1845.
- [30] Srivastava, H.M., Gaboury, S., Ghanim, F., *Initial coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), **36**(2016), no. 3, 863-871.
- [31] Srivastava, H.M., Gaboury, S., Ghanim, F., *Coefficient estimates for some general subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Afr. Mat., **28**(2017), no. 5-6, 693-706.
- [32] Srivastava, H.M., Magesh, N., Yamini, J., *Initial coefficient estimates for bi- λ -convex and bi- μ -starlike functions connected with arithmetic and geometric means*, Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **2**(2014), no. 2, 152-162.
- [33] Srivastava, H.M., Mishra, A.K., Gochhayat, P., *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23**(2010), no. 10, 1188-1192.
- [34] Tang, H., Srivastava, H.M., Sivasubramanian, S., Gurusamy, P., *The Fekete-Szegő functional problems for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions*, J. Math. Inequal., **10**(2016), no. 4, 1063-1092.
- [35] Zaprawa, P., *On the Fekete-Szegő problem for classes of bi-univalent functions*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **21**(2014), no. 1, 169-178.

Bharavi Sharma Rayaprolu
Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University,
Warangal-506 009, Telangana, India
e-mail: rbsharma005@gmail.com

Rajya Laxmi Kalikota
Department of Mathematics, SRIIT,
Hyderabad, India
e-mail: rajyalaxmi2206@gmail.com

Nanjundan Magesh
Post-Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics
Government Arts College for Men,
Krishnagiri - 635 001, Tamilnadu, India
(Corresponding author)
e-mail: nmagi_2000@yahoo.co.in

