

Geometric properties of mixed operator involving Ruscheweyh derivative and Sălăgean operator

Rabha W. Ibrahim, Mayada T. Wazi and Nadia Al-Saidi

Abstract. Operator theory is a magnificent tool for studying the geometric behaviors of holomorphic functions in the open unit disk. Recently, a combination between two well known differential operators, Ruscheweyh derivative and Sălăgean operator are suggested by Lupas in [10]. In this effort, we shall follow the same principle, to formulate a generalized differential-difference operator. We deliver a new class of analytic functions containing the generalized operator. Applications are illustrated in the sequel concerning some differential subordinations of the operator.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C45.

Keywords: Differential operator, conformable operator, fractional calculus, unit disk, univalent function, analytic function, subordination and superordination.

1. Introduction

Differential operators in a complex domain play a significant role in functions theory and its information. They have used to describe the geometric interpolation of analytic functions in a complex domain. Also, they have utilized to generate new formulas of holomorphic functions. Lately, Lupas [10] presented a amalgamation of two well-known differential operators prearranged by Ruscheweyh [12] and Sălăgean [13]. Later, these operators are investigated by researchers considering different classes and formulas of analytic functions [5, 8].

In this note, we consider a special class of functions in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\xi| < 1\}$$

denoting by Σ and having the series

$$\varphi(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \varphi_n \xi^n, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Let $\varphi \in \Sigma$, then the Ruscheweyh formula is indicated by the structure formula

$$\Phi^m \varphi(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{m+n-1}^m \varphi_n \xi^n.$$

While, the Sălăgean operator admits the construction

$$\Psi^m \varphi(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^m \varphi_n \xi^n.$$

Lupas operator is formulated by the structure

$$\lambda_{\sigma}^m \varphi(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\sigma n^m + (1 - \sigma) C_{m+n-1}^m] \varphi_n \xi^n, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{U}, \sigma \in [0, 1].$$

Newly, Ibrahim and Darus [7] considered the next differential operator

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\kappa}^0 \varphi(\xi) &= \varphi(\xi) \\ \Theta_{\kappa}^1 \varphi(\xi) &= \xi \varphi(\xi)' + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(-\xi) - 2\xi), \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\vdots \\ \Theta_{\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) &= \Theta_{\kappa}(\Theta_{\kappa}^{m-1} \varphi(\xi)) \\ &= \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + \frac{\kappa}{2} (1 + (-1)^{n+1})]^m \varphi_n \xi^n. \end{aligned}$$

When $\kappa = 0$, we have $\Psi^m \varphi(\xi)$. In addition, it is a modified formula of the well-known Dunkl operator [2], where κ is known as the Dunkl order. Proceeding, we define a generalized formula of λ_{σ}^m , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) &= (1 - \sigma) \Phi^m \varphi(\xi) + \sigma \Theta_{\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) \\ &= \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \sigma) C_{m+n-1}^m + \sigma (n + \frac{\kappa}{2} (1 + (-1)^{n+1}))^m] \varphi_n \xi^n. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Clearly, the operator $J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) \in \Sigma$.

Remark 1.1.

- $m = 0 \implies J_{\sigma, \kappa}^0 \varphi(\xi) = \varphi(\xi)$;
- $\kappa = 0 \implies J_{\sigma, 0}^m \varphi(\xi) = \lambda_{\sigma}^m \varphi(\xi)$;
- $\sigma = 0 \implies J_{0, \kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) = \Phi^m \varphi(\xi)$;
- $\sigma = 1 \implies J_{1, \kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) = \Theta_{\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi)$;
- $\kappa = 0, \sigma = 1 \implies J_{1, 0}^m \varphi(\xi) = \Psi^m \varphi(\xi)$.

Definition 1.2. Consider the following data $\epsilon \in [0, 1], \sigma \in [0, 1], \kappa \geq 0$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a function $\varphi \in \Sigma$ belongs to the set $\mathbb{T}_m(\sigma, \kappa, \epsilon)$ if and only if

$$\Re((J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m \varphi(\xi))') > \epsilon, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Observe that the set $\mathbb{T}_m(\sigma, \kappa, \epsilon)$ is an extension of the well known class of bounded turning functions (see [1]-[14]). Next results are requested to prove our results depending on the subordination concept (see [11]).

Lemma 1.3. *Suppose that h is convex function such that $h(0) = b$, and there is a complex number with a positive real part μ . If $b \in \mathfrak{H}[b, n]$, where*

$$\mathfrak{H}[b, n] = \{b \in \mathfrak{H} : b(\xi) = b + b_n \xi^n + b_{n+1} \xi^{n+1} + \dots\}$$

(the space of holomorphic functions) and

$$b(\xi) + \frac{1}{\mu} \xi b'(\xi) \prec \bar{h}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{U},$$

then

$$b(\xi) \prec \iota(\xi) \prec \bar{h}(\xi),$$

with

$$\iota(\xi) = \frac{\mu}{n \xi^{\mu/n}} \int_0^\xi \bar{h}(\tau) \tau^{\frac{\mu}{n-1}} d\tau, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Lemma 1.4. *Suppose that the convex function $b(\xi)$ satisfies the functional*

$$\bar{h}(\xi) = b(\xi) + n\mu(\xi b'(\xi))$$

for $\mu > 0$ and n is a positive integer. If $b \in \mathfrak{H}[\bar{h}(0), n]$, and $b(\xi) + \mu \xi b'(\xi) \prec \bar{h}(\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{U}$ then $b(\xi) \prec \bar{h}(\xi)$, and this outcome is sharp.

Lemma 1.5. (i) *If $\lambda > 0, \gamma > 0, \beta = \beta(\gamma, \lambda, n)$ and $b \in \mathfrak{H}[1, n]$ then*

$$b(\xi) + \lambda \xi b'(\xi) \prec \left[\frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi} \right]^\beta \Rightarrow b(\xi) \prec \left[\frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi} \right]^\gamma.$$

(ii) *If $\epsilon \in [0, 1), \lambda = \lambda(\epsilon, n)$ and $b \in \mathfrak{H}[1, n]$ then*

$$\Re(b^2(\xi) + 2b(\xi) \cdot \xi b'(\xi)) > \epsilon \Rightarrow \Re(b(\xi)) > \lambda.$$

2. Results

In this section, we investigate some geometric conducts of the operator (1.1).

Theorem 2.1. *The set $\mathbb{T}_m(\sigma, \kappa, \epsilon)$ is convex.*

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2$ are two functions belonging to $\mathbb{T}_m(\sigma, \kappa, \epsilon)$ satisfying

$$\varphi_1(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \varphi_n \xi^n$$

and

$$\varphi_2(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n \xi^n.$$

It is sufficient to prove that the function

$$\Pi(x_1) = \wp_1 \varphi_1(\xi) + \wp_2 \varphi_2(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{U}$$

is in $\mathbb{T}_m(\sigma, \kappa, \epsilon)$, where $\wp_1 > 0, \wp_2 > 0$ and $\wp_1 + \wp_2 = 1$. The formula of $\Pi(z)$ yields

$$\Pi(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\wp_1 \varphi_n + \wp_2 \phi_n) \xi^n.$$

Thus, under the operator (1.1), we get

$$J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m \Pi(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\wp_1 \varphi_n + \wp_2 \phi_n) [(1 - \sigma) C_{m+n-1}^m + \sigma (n + \frac{\kappa}{2} (1 + (-1)^{n+1}))^m] \xi^n.$$

By making a differentiation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re\{ (J_{\alpha, \kappa}^m \Pi(\xi))' \} \\ &= 1 + \wp_1 \Re \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [(1 - \sigma) C_{m+n-1}^m + \sigma (n + \frac{\kappa}{2} (1 + (-1)^{n+1}))^m] \varphi_n \xi^{n-1} \right\} \\ &+ \wp_2 \Re \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [(1 - \sigma) C_{m+n-1}^m + \sigma (n + \frac{\kappa}{2} (1 + (-1)^{n+1}))^m] \phi_n \xi^{n-1} \right\} = \epsilon. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2. Define the following functions: $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}_m(\sigma, \kappa, \epsilon)$, ϕ be convex and

$$F(\xi) = \frac{2+c}{\xi^{1+c}} \int_0^\xi t^c \varphi(t) dt, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{L}.$$

Then

$$\left(J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) \right)' \prec \phi(\xi) + \frac{(\xi \phi'(\xi))}{2+c}, \quad c > 0,$$

yields

$$\left(J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m F(\xi) \right)' \prec \phi(\xi),$$

and this outcome is sharp.

Proof. By the assumptions, we have

$$\left(J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m F(\xi) \right)' + \frac{\left(J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m F(\xi) \right)''}{2+c} = \left(J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) \right)'.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\left(J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m F(\xi) \right)' + \frac{\left(J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m F(\xi) \right)''}{2+c} \prec \phi(\xi) + \frac{(\xi \phi'(\xi))}{2+c}.$$

Assuming

$$b(\xi) := \left(J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m F(\xi) \right)',$$

one can find

$$b(\xi) + \frac{(\xi b'(\xi))}{2+c} \prec \phi(\xi) + \frac{(\xi \phi'(\xi))}{2+c}.$$

In virtue of Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\left(J_{\sigma, \kappa}^m F(\xi) \right)' \prec \phi(\xi),$$

and ϕ is the best dominant. □

Theorem 2.3. Assume the convex function ϕ achieving $\phi(0) = 1$ and for $\varphi \in \Sigma$

$$\left(J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) \right)' \prec \phi(\xi) + \xi \phi'(\xi), \quad \xi \in \sqcup,$$

then

$$\frac{J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi)}{\xi} \prec \phi(\xi),$$

and this outcome is sharp.

Proof. Formulate the next functional

$$b(z) := \frac{J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi)}{\xi} \in \mathfrak{H}[1, 1] \tag{2.1}$$

Consequently, we get

$$J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) = \xi b(\xi) \implies \left(J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) \right)' = b(\xi) + \xi b'(\xi).$$

Therefore, we obtain the inequality

$$b(\xi) + \xi b'(\xi) \prec \phi(\xi) + \xi \phi'(\xi).$$

According to Lemma 1.4, we attain

$$\frac{J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi)}{\xi} \prec \phi(\xi),$$

and ϕ is the best dominant. □

Theorem 2.4. For $\varphi \in \Sigma$ if the inequality

$$\left(J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) \right)' \prec \left(\frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi} \right)^\beta, \quad \xi \in \sqcup, \beta > 0,$$

achieves then

$$\Re \left(\frac{J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi)}{\xi} \right) > \epsilon$$

for some $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$.

Proof. For the function $b(\xi)$ in (2.1), we have

$$\left(J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) \right)' = \xi b'(\xi) + b(\xi) \prec \left(\frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi} \right)^\beta.$$

According to Lemma 1.5.i, there occurs a constant $\gamma > 0$ with $\beta = \beta(\gamma)$ with

$$\frac{J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi)}{\xi} \prec \left(\frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi} \right)^\gamma.$$

This yields $\Re(J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi)/\xi) > \epsilon$, for some $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$. □

Theorem 2.5. Assume that $\varphi \in \Sigma$ achieves the inequality

$$\Re\left((J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi))' \frac{J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi)}{\xi}\right) > \frac{\sigma}{2}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{U}, \sigma \in [0, 1).$$

Then $J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi) \in \mathcal{T}_m(\sigma, \kappa, \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$. In addition, it is univalent of bounded turning in \mathbb{U} .

Proof. Assume the function $b(\xi)$ as in (2.1). A Calculation implies that

$$\Re\left(b^2(\xi) + 2b(\xi) \cdot \xi b'(\xi)\right) = 2\Re\left((J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi))' \frac{J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi)}{\xi}\right) > \sigma. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 1.5.ii, implies that there occurs a constant $\lambda(\sigma)$ satisfying $\Re(b(\xi)) > \lambda(\sigma)$. Thus, we obtain $\Re(b(\xi)) > \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$. It yields from (2.2) that $\Re\left((J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi))'\right) > \epsilon$ and by Noshiro-Warschawski and Kaplan Theorems (see [3]), we have that $J_{\sigma,\kappa}^m \varphi(\xi)$ is univalent and of bounded turning in \mathbb{U} . \square

References

- [1] Darus, M., Ibrahim, R.W., *Partial sums of analytic functions of bounded turning with applications*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **29**(2010), 81-88.
- [2] Dunkl, C.F., *Differential-difference operators associated with reflections groups*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **311**(1989), 167-183.
- [3] Duren, P., *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1983.
- [4] Ibrahim, R.W., *Geometric properties of the differential shift plus complex Volterra operator*, Asian-Eur. J. Math., **11.01**(2018), 1850013.
- [5] Ibrahim, R.W., *Generalized Briot-Bouquet differential equation based on new differential operator with complex connections*, Gen. Math., **28**(2020), 105-114.
- [6] Ibrahim, R.W., Darus M., *Extremal bounds for functions of bounded turning*, Int. Math. Forum, **6**(2011), 1623-1630.
- [7] Ibrahim, R.W., Darus M., *Subordination inequalities of a new Sălăgean-difference operator*, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **14**(2019), 573-582.
- [8] Ibrahim, R.W., Elobaid, R.M., Obaiys, S.J., *Generalized Briot-Bouquet differential equation based on new differential operator with complex connections*, Axioms, **9**(2020), 1-13.
- [9] Krishna, D., et al., *Third Hankel determinant for bounded turning functions of order alpha*, J. Nigerian Math. Soc., **34.2**(2015), 121-127.
- [10] Lupaş Alb, A., *On special differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **12**(2009), 781-790.
- [11] Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T., *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, CRC Press, 2000.
- [12] Ruscheweyh, St., *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49**(1975), 109-115.
- [13] Sălăgean, G. St., *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag, Berlin, **1013**(1983), 362-372.
- [14] Tuneski, N., Bulboacă, T., *Sufficient conditions for bounded turning of analytic functions*, Ukr. Math. J., **70.8**(2019), 1288-1299.

Rabha W. Ibrahim
IEEE: 94086547, Kuala Lumpur, 59200,
Malaysia
e-mail: rabhaibrahim@yahoo.com

Mayada T. Wazi
University of Technology,
Department of Electromechanical Engineering,
Iraq
e-mail: mayada.t.wazi@uotechnology.edu.iq

Nadia Al-Saidi
University of Technology,
Department of Applied Sciences,
Iraq
e-mail: nadiamg08@gmail.com