

PEER REVIEW SHEET

Reviewer's name: _____

Title of manuscript: _____

Please review the enclosed manuscript by [Date]. To express your decision on the suitability of the manuscript for publication, please place an **X** on the corresponding dotted line (section I: Suitability for publication). Write your detailed comments and recommendations to the author in the reviewer's evaluation section below (section II: Evaluation). This peer review sheet will be sent to the author, without the reviewer's name.

Thank you.

I. SUITABILITY FOR PUBLICATION

1. ACCEPTED in the initial form
2. CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE (for details, see section II):
 - a. Slight revisions of form/style required.....
 - b. Slight revisions of content required
 - c. Moderate revisions of form/style required.....
 - d. Moderate revisions of content required
 - e. Extensive revisions of form/style required
 - f. Extensive revisions of content required
3. REJECTED
 - a. The manuscript does not add to scholarship.....
 - b. The manuscript lacks grasp of topic.....
 - c. Other (detailed in section II)


Would you be willing to re-evaluate the revised version of this paper?

Yes


No

II. EVALUATION


The title is clear and reflects the content of the paper.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, intended for providing a detailed evaluation or commentary on the statement above.

The abstract synthesizes well the content of the paper.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, intended for providing a detailed evaluation or commentary on the statement above.


The introduction outlines the relevance of the article for the given field and presents a clearly formulated thesis.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, intended for providing a detailed evaluation or commentary on the statement above.

The introductory section of the article offers a good overview of previous studies on the same topic.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, positioned below the first paragraph of text.


The methodology in use is coherently presented and there is a fair justification of it being preferred instead of other methodologies. / The paper has a solid and well-informed theoretical background, relevant for the analysis/ argumentation.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, positioned below the second paragraph of text.

The paper is original and the contribution to the field is significant.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, positioned below the third paragraph of text.

The conclusions summarize clearly the results and the consequences/ implications.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, intended for providing evidence or examples for the first criterion.

Recent and relevant bibliography. All of the sources cited in the paper can be found in the bibliography section.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, intended for providing evidence or examples for the second criterion.

The style of the paper is academic. There are no spelling, grammar, or language usage mistakes.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, intended for providing evidence or examples for the third criterion.

Other comments.

You are welcome to use electronic comments or the “track changes” feature in MS-Word in your comments or corrections to the manuscript. (Please do not forget to change the name or initials that you want to use for your comments and/or changes in order to guarantee your own anonymity. On the Review tab, in the Tracking group, click the arrow next to Track Changes, and then click Change User Name).

Do you agree to have your name published on *Studia UBB Historia Artium*.'s list of reviewers at the end of each calendar year? No other information will be disclosed on this list (such as the issue to which you contributed as a reviewer or the title of the article reviewed). Please place an **X** on the corresponding dotted line.

Yes No

Studia UBB Historia Artium. is hereby allowed to specify the reviewer's name and email for future (re-)accreditation and indexing purposes. Please place an **X** on the corresponding dotted line.

Yes No

Date:

Signature: