

## Evaluation of the effects of non-revenue water on water security in Ondo State, Nigeria

Yahaya Olotu\*, Edwin Omozuapo Oyathelemi, Shaka Momoh,  
Olugbemiga Stephen Ifabiyi

**Abstract.** *The provision of safe drinking water is a crucial service that generates revenue for water utilities to fund their operations. The annual amount of water lost is a crucial indicator for evaluating how effectively water is supplied and distributed. According to the usage of a deterministic simulation model on public water supply variables, the volume of non-revenue water (NRW) and its cost consequences have further developed a complicated system for the availability, distribution, and affordability of the utility. Annual water accessed (AWA) was negatively impacted by the steady annual increase in public water supply (AWS) from  $8.0 * 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  to  $13.4 * 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ , with  $R^2 = 0.096$ , and annual water loss (AWL) was significantly impacted with  $R^2 = 0.99$ . This result suggests that the public water supply plays a role in water loss, which primarily occurs through leaks and bursts. As a result, Akure's expected annual volume and revenue water costs (NRW) are 6 million  $\text{m}^3$  and 15.6 million USD, respectively. A thorough investigation reveals that the annual money lost might be utilized to fund health and education programs for eight months. Therefore, it is predicted that the difficulty of determining safe drinking might be reduced by 30% if the rainwater is effectively harnessed in Ondo State, Nigeria*

**Keywords:** *Rainwater, Water, NRW, Simulation, Distribution, Resources, Public, Cost, Supply*



## 1. Introduction

The accessibility and even distribution of potable water is a serious global issue. Safe drinking water is limited, the population growth, industrial and social advancement have further complicated the already scarce utility through overstressing the provision of potable water and infrastructural mechanisms for water distribution such as reticulation pipeline networking system, reservoir construction, fitting of flowmeter e.t.c. Ensuring safe, adequate and affordable water supply is becoming an ever more pressing issue for government, water professionals and researcher across the globe. More than 75% of the drinking water infrastructure in Edo North has been in service for decades without replacing with integrated and efficient system this leads to significant source of water loss through leaks, cracks, expiration and damage (Idogho et al., 2013).


Gathering, converting and distribution of safe drinking water is a serious challenge in Nigeria and some other developing nations, these constraints occurred as water loss due to leakages in conveyance pipeline, wastages, theft, improper billing and metering systems (May,1994). The annual volume of water lost is an important indicator of water distribution efficiency, both in individual years, and as a trend over a period of years. High and increasing water losses are an indicator of ineffective planning and construction, and of low operational maintenance activities (Lambert, 1999). Water loss from a utility's distribution system is a serious constrain which is always associated with loss of revenue and production efforts. Water losses in the distribution system require more water to be treated, which requires additional energy and chemical usage, resulting in wasted resources and total loss of revenues (Mckenzie, 2001). Determining how much water is being lost and where losses are occurring in a distribution system can be a difficult task. Without consistent and accurate measurement, water losses cannot be reliably and consistently managed (Benser and Camper, 2011).

The confusion over inconsistent terms and calculations has led to the development of better tools and methods to track water losses from distribution systems. This scenario has an increasing effect on socio-economic development of entire region of Akure and its environs. Having considered the huge budgetary allocation for publication water supply and distribution, it is important to device technically based approaches of reducing water loss through physical or real and apparent water losses and also improves water quality at the end-point or delivery stage. Water loss reduction (WLR) often represents an efficient alternative to exploiting new resources, which frequently involves cost-intensive measures, such as new dams, deep wells, seawater desalination or even transferring water from one river basin to another. Therefore, water loss reduction and pressure management contribute to sustainable and integrated water resources management (IWRM). However, this research study is focused on the estimation and analysis of the effects of water losses on public water supply and distribution (PWSD) in relation to social-economic development and integration in Akure, Nigeria.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study area

Akure is made up of 18 districts and located in the South-Southern Zone of Nigeria. Edo lies between longitudes 4°30' and 6° East of the Greenwich Meridian, 5° 45' and 8° 15' North of the Equator. This means that the city lies entirely in the tropics. It is bounded North by Ilara Mokin; in the East by Obanle; in the West by Ondo and Oda in the South. The total population of 763,000 comprising Male (56%) and Female (46%). The source of this estimate (OSMWR, 2005). The map of the city is shown in Fig. 1.



**Figure 1.** Map of Nigeria showing Ondo State  
Source: Author's Arcmap 10.1 Production, 2022.

## 2.2. Water loss modelling

Many drinking water utilities around the world do not give instantaneous response to leaks problems until the situation becomes complicated. Leaks can effectively be corrected using sensitive optimization modelling approaches which involves monitoring of real-time leaks problem, computerization of adequate pressure system, provision for eddy losses to prevent pipe burst (Fanner, 2009). However, soil conditions for example can have a great effect on the real losses as well as to the ability for them to be identified and located at the ground surface. Apparent and physical losses are computed as follows:

$$WLe = NRW\tau - KWS\beta \quad (1)$$

Where  $NWR\tau$  is total Non- Revenue Water;  $WL\rho$  is real Water Loss; and  $WLe$  is apparent Water Loss (Idogho *et al.*, 2013).

### 2.2.1. Unavoidable Real Losses (URL)

Output of various studies conducted shown that it is impossible to eliminate real losses from a water distribution system. Therefore, Unavoidable Real Losses is therefore computed as follows:

$$URL = 18 \times Lm + Nc + 25 + Lp \times P \quad (2)$$

Where:

$Lm$  = main length in km;

$Nc$  = number of service connections;

$Lp$  = total length in km; and

$P$  = average pumping pressure.

Leak volume is the function of time (t) and flowrate (R); this development is therefore evaluated as:

$$Lv = t(W + L + P) * R \quad (3)$$

Where:

$Lv$  = Leak volume ( $m^3$ );

$t$  = Time (sec);

$W$  = Awareness;

$L$  = Location; and

$P$  = Repairs.

### 2.3. Water supply and demand formation

Water resources involve simulating systems made up of many component parts that are interrelated. The system is driven by *hydrological variables* (i.e., precipitation, evaporation, demand) and involves uncertain processes, parameters, and events. The challenge when evaluating water supply and resource systems is to find an approach that can incorporate all the knowledge available to planners and management into a quantitative framework that can be used to simulate and predict the outcome of alternative approaches and policies (Butler, 2009). While developing a system, the starting point can also be some specific consumption that does not necessarily include leakage. In that case the leakage percentage has to be added in the following way:

$$Q_{ph} = \frac{Q_{av.day}}{f} (K_1 K_2 + \frac{1}{100-t}) \quad (4)$$

Factor,  $f$ , in the equation is a unit conversion factor while  $l$  represents the leakage percentage of the total quantity supplied to the system: is the quantity of water demand at the peak hour, while,  $Q_{av.day}$  is the average quantity of water demanded daily. Therefore, annual average water supply is computed using the relationship on equation (5):

$$AWS = cP_i A_v N_d \quad (5)$$

Where:

- C = Coefficient of target population;
- $P_i$  = Total population;
- $A_v$  = Average total water volume;
- $N_d$  = Number of water supplied days.

The volume of annual water supply that could finally be assessed by the targeted population is evaluated using the interconnectivity between annual water supply (AWS) and annual water loss (AWL) as related in equation (6):

$$AWA = AWS - AWL \quad (6)$$

Where:

AWA = Annual water assessed ( $m^3$ ).

### 2.4. Water Cost Index Computation

It is very important and useful to calibrate the water supply-cost benefit ratio in order to monitor and improve on the service delivery of the utility (Idogho *et al.*, 2013). The Rickards Real Cost Water Index serves as a benchmark for helping measure

hundreds of critical projects on a like-for-like basis (Bond and Richard, 1997). Index values reflect estimated water production costs measured in US dollars per cubic meter for a variety of major global water infrastructure projects ranging from retail water utilities to wholesale water utilities. However, Water Cost index is calculated using Richard's relationship as follows:

$$WCI = \frac{T_p}{T_d} \quad (7)$$

Where:

$T_p$  = Total cost of production is calculated as the sum of operating costs, capital costs, and identified subsidies;  $T_d$  = Total de-livered freshwater volume (in m<sup>3</sup>) is the amount the producer reports as delivered, and excludes water lost either due to system leakage, pilfering, or other forms of loss. This penalizes producers with a large fraction of production volume being lost due to system inefficiency:

WCI = Water Cost Index

## 2.5. Data analysis

Data on water loss variables were generated using a set of modelled relationships from the measured data. The generated outputs were subjected to *sensitivity* and dynamic simulation processes. Excel software and Sigma Plot were used for spread sheet calculations and graphical representations. Leakage from the burst pipeline is shown in Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** Leakage from the burst pipeline

### 3.1. Results and discussion

#### 3.1.1. Calibration of Water supply

Many drinking water utilities around in Akure, Nigeria respond to leaks development only after receiving report of water erupting from a street or a complaint from a customer about a damp basement. Leakage control requires a proactive leakage management program that includes a means to identify hidden leaks, optimize repair functions, manage excessive water pressure levels, and upgrade piping infrastructure before its useful life ends. The result in Table. 1 shows the Public water supply system in Akure for a period of 10-year (2003-2012). The volume of annual water loss and accessed was simulated using the public water supply data obtained from the Ondo State Water Corporation. The result in Table 1 indicated that there is an increase in volume of water supply from year 2003 down to 2012. The output of simulation iteration shown that the increase in annual water supply (*AWS*) had negative effect on annual volume of water accessible (*AWA*) with  $R^2 = 0.096$ ; and strong agreement exist between annual water supply (*AWA*) and annual water loss with the  $R^2 = 0.999$  as shown in Fig. 3 and 4 respectively. In 2007, equilibrium exists among the public water supply variables; with the annual water supply (*AWS*) of value  $10.8 * 10^6 m^3$ ; annual water loss (*AWL*) is  $5.4 * 10^6 m^3$  and annual water accessed (*AWA*) is  $5.4 * 10^6 m^3$  respectively. The implication of this development is that half of annual water supply is lost to leaks.

**Table 1.** Public water supply and loss


Year	AWS	AWL	AWA
2003	9	3.2	5.9
2004	9.6	3.8	5.8
2005	10	4.5	5.5
2006	10.3	4.8	5.5
2007	10.8	5.4	5.4
2008	11	5.7	5.3
2009	11.3	6.1	5.2
2010	11.8	6.7	5.1
2011	12.1	7.1	4.9
2012	14.4	8.6	5.8

Source: OSWC, 2013


Note: AWS = Annual water supply ( $m^3 * 10^6$ ):

AWL = Annual water loss ( $m^3 * 10^6$ )

AWA = Annual water accessible ( $m^3 * 10^6$ )



**Figure 3.** Calibration of water supply and accessibility




**Figure 4.** Calibration of water supply and loss

Most drinking water infrastructure in Akure, Nigeria has been in service for many years, and this could be a significant source of water loss through leaks. The pipelines got weak and usually burst if there is any pressure variation. Since pipelines were not properly marked, a lot of them could also be destroyed during road and construction of other infrastructure amenities. This type of water loss is referred to as Real/Physical loss. In addition to leaks, water could be “lost” through unauthorized consumption (theft), administrative errors, data handling errors, and metering inaccuracies or failure; and this is referred to as Apparent loss.

### 3.1.2. Water cost benefit estimates

It is important to establish sound relationship on water production cost, cost of water loss or Non- Revenue Water in order to monitor the degree of utility distribution and its impact on the end-user for possible optimization for better service delivery. Evaluation of water production varies from geographical location to another; and also depends on the hydrological formation of the region. The results in Fig.3 show the cost of water production; water loss and billed water (i.e water that finally reached the consumers). The true cost of water production in individual geographic areas, which includes operating, capital, and "hidden economic" costs. Highest cost of annual water loss of 30.1 million USD was estimated in 2012 compared 4.8 million USD in 2003. However, there was a progressive increase in the investment of water production from 13.5 million USD in 2003 to 50.4 million USD in 2012. Strong relationship exist between cost of annual supply of water (CAWS) and cost of annual water loss (CAWL) with  $R^2=0.83$ . Akure is estimated to have an annual Non-Revenue Water (NRW) (i.e mostly from real loss) volume of 6 million  $m^3$ . This represents approximately 15.6 million USD in revenue that water utilities lose every year.



**Figure 5.** Cost benefit of public water supply-loss

## 4. Conclusion

Ensuring a safe, sufficient, and affordable water supply is becoming an ever more pressing issue for politicians and water professionals. This development has become a serious problem in most developing countries. An effective public water supply system is a productive function of many related variables, such as non-revenue water (NRW). Annual water loss, mainly through physical processes, is estimated to be a revenue loss of 15.6 million USD. This value fund could be applied to the 30% budgetary allocation for education and the agricultural section for the city. In addition, 60,623 people could

be provided with *safe drinking water* at a rate of 75 litres per person per day annually. An integrated water auditing model (IWAM) is a pivotal step in calibrating an effective water loss management program. Constructive application of the formulated model coupled with the introduction of automated metering devices, burst and leak detectors will produce a quantified understanding of the integrity of the distribution system and address sound plans to resolve water losses.

**Acknowledgment:** The authors equally contributed to this study. The authors thank the Ondo State Water Corporation for the provision of datasets.

## References

- [1] Besner M.C., Camper A., Explaining the occurrence of coliforms in distribution systems, *Jour. AWWA*, 94(8), pp. 95-109.
- [2] Butler D., Leakage Detection and Management, *Palmer Environmental*, 6(3), 2009, pp. 50-67
- [3] Fanner P.V., Leakage Management Technologies, AWWARF Project Report 2928, 2009.
- [4] Lambert A., Brown T.G., Takizawa M., Weimer D., A review of performance indicators for real losses from water supply systems, *AQUA*, Dec 1999.
- [5] May A., *International Benchmarking of Leakage from Water Reticulation Systems*, Proceedings of Leakage 2005 Conference, 2005.
- [6] Mckenzie R.S., *Development of a standardized approach to evaluate burst and background losses in potable water distribution systems*, SANFLOW User Guide. South African Water Research Commission, Report TT 109/99, 2001.
- [7] OSMWR, Ondo State Ministry of Works Reports on Census, Vol. 1, 2005, pp. 12-45
- [8] RMP, Guide on Road Map to Ondo-State Develop, 2003.

### *Addresses:*

- Dr. Engr. Yahaya Olotu, Auchu Polytechnic, Department of Agricultural & Bio-Environmental Engineering, Auchu, Edo State, Nigeria, [olotu.abiodun@yahoo.com](mailto:olotu.abiodun@yahoo.com)  
(\* corresponding author)
- Engr. Edwin Omozuapo Oyathelemi, Auchu Polytechnic, Department of Mineral and Petroleum Resources Engineering, Auchu, Edo State, Nigeria
- TPL. Shaka Momoh, Auchu Polytechnic, Department of Urban & Regional Planning, Auchu, Edo State, Nigeria
- Engr Olugbemiga Stephen Ifabiyi, Auchu Polytechnic, Department of Civil Engineering, Auchu, Nigeria