

## HEALTH COMPONENT LEVELS OF PHYSICAL FITNESS OF STUDENTS AT PARTIUM CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY IN ORADEA

LUKÁCS NORBERT CSABA<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT. Introduction.** In 2013, the Cooper Institute from the U.S.A., along with the Hungarian School Sport Federation, implemented the *National Student Fitness Test* battery. In order to interpret the results, NETFIT uses a criterion-referenced standard depending on age and gender called the health standard, establishing the level of the subject in relation to certain objectives. This health standard corresponds to a minimal motor performance necessary to avoid certain risks of illnesses which may occur as a result of physical inactivity. The aim of this research is to determine the levels of health components of physical fitness among students in 1<sup>st</sup> year at Partium Christian University from Oradea, depending on their residential environment and gender. **Material and methods.** The research included a sample group of 112 students, aged 18 – 19 years. The health components of physical fitness were assessed by determining the adipose tissue level, two anthropometric measurements and 5 motor tests. **Results.** The registered data regarding the BMI values show that 13% of the students were overweight and 10% fell into the obese category. The female students with a low level of adipose tissue had significantly better results from statistical point of view at the standing broad jump test ( $r_{xy} = -0.42$ ,  $df = 71$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $r_s = -0.38$ ,  $df = 71$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). According to the data obtained at the motor tests, we found that at the standing broad jump test 49%, handgrip test 62.5%, paced curl-ups test 80%, flexibility test 64% and paced push-ups test 83% of the subjects fell within the healthy fitness zone. **Conclusions.** We consider that, in order to avoid risks due to insufficient physical activity, the level of biomotor potential of students must be related to their health. Not all students dream of performance and competitions, but they all want to be healthy!

**Keywords:** *health component, physical fitness, adipose tissue, student.*

**REZUMAT. Nivelul componentelor de sănătate ale fitnessului fizic al studenților Universității Creștine Partium din Oradea. Introducere.** În anul 2013, Institutul Cooper din SUA, împreună cu Federația Sportului Școlar din Ungaria, a introdus

---

<sup>1</sup> Partium Christian University, Department of Human and Social Sciences, Oradea, Romania.  
Corresponding author: lukacs.csaba@partium.ro.

Bateria de teste *Nemzeti Egységes Tanulói Fittségi Teszt* (NETFIT). În vederea interpretării rezultatelor, NETFIT utilizează un standard de referință criterial, denumit standard de sănătate, după vârstă și gen, stabilindu-se nivelul la care se situează subiectul față de unele obiective. Acest standard de sănătate corespunde cu o performanță motrică minimă necesară pentru a evita unele riscuri de îmbolnăvire apărute ca urmare a inactivității fizice. **Scopul cercetării.** Scopul acestui studiu constă în determinarea nivelului privind componentele de sănătate ale fitnessului fizic a studenților din anul I a Universității Creștine Partium din Oradea, în funcție de mediul de reședință și gen. **Subiecți și metode.** Cercetarea a inclus un eșantion de 112 studenți cu vârsta de 18 și 19 ani. S-a evaluat componentele de sănătate ale fitnessul fizic prin determinarea nivelului de țesut adipos, două măsurători antropometrice și 5 teste motrice. Pentru determinarea relației dintre nivelul țesutului adipos și componentele motrice am utilizat coeficientul de corelație Pearson și coeficientul Spearman rho iar pentru diferențele dintre medii în funcție de gen și mediul de reședință au fost testate cu ajutorul testului t pentru eșantioane independente și testul U a lui Mann-Whitney. **Rezultate.** Datele înregistrate la valorile IMC arată că 13% dintre studenți au fost supraponderali și 10% au intrat în categoria celor obezi. Studentele cu un nivel scăzut al țesutului adipos au avut rezultate semnificativ statistic mai bune la testul SL ( $r_{xy} = -0.42$ ,  $df = 71$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $r_s = -0.38$ ,  $df = 71$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Potrivit datelor obținute la testele motrice am constatat că la testul SL 49%, la testul DM 62.5%, la testul RRT 80%, la testul de suplețe 64% iar la testul FR 83% dintre subiecți s-au încadrat în zona de sănătate. **Concluzii.** Considerăm că, în vederea evitării unor riscuri ca urmare a activității fizice insuficiente, nivelul potențialului biomotric al studenților trebuie raportat inclusiv stării de sănătate. Nu toți studenții visează la performanțe și competiții, însă toți vor să fie sănătoși!

**Cuvinte-cheie:** componente de sănătate, fitnessul fizic, țesut adipos, student

## Introduction

According to literature, the assessment of physical fitness can be performed by normative methods (normative test batteries) or criterion-referenced methods (criterion-referenced test batteries). Most test batteries use normative assessment methods, which allow the results obtained by one subject to be compared with the results of another subject from the same group.

The physical fitness level influences physical health as well as mental and cognitive health. According to studies, regular physical exercise increases physical fitness and has a beneficial effect on mental health (Sharma, Vishal, & Frederick, 2006) and cognitive development (Hogan, Mata, & Carstensen,

2013). People with an optimal physical fitness level have an increased ability to concentrate as well as an improved short-term and long-term memory (Hillman, Castelli & Buck, 2005).

In 2013, the Cooper Institute from the USA signed a partnership agreement with the Hungarian School Sport Federation regarding the implementation of a national test for the assessment of students' fitness levels. The *National Student Fitness Test (Nemzeti Egységes Tanulói Fittségi Teszt)* (NETFIT) test battery was created based on the FITNESSGRAM model and it was implemented following a previous representative study.

The NETFIT test battery consists of anthropometric measurements (height, weight), the determination of the BMI, analysis of body composition and seven motor tests: endurance shuttle run, paced curl-ups, trunk extension test, paced push-ups, handgrip test, standing broad jump and the flexibility test.

We wanted to ensure the conditions to perform exact measurements, with well-defined and unitary protocols, starting with the idea that the means of assessment and the measuring techniques of all the health components are well known. For this purpose, between September the 12<sup>th</sup>, 2014 and September the 20<sup>th</sup>, 2014, the researcher attended a professional training course called: *Physical Education in Schools within the Context of Health Development: applied methodological renewal and unitary physical fitness measuring (NETFIT)* (*Iskolai testnevelés az egészségfejlesztésben: módszertani megújulás és egységes fizikai fittségmérés (NETFIT) a gyakorlatban*) (N.281/8 from September the 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2014), a module consisting of 30 hours (theory and practice) which took place in Debrecen, Hungary.

### **Aim of the Research**

1. Determining the levels of health components of physical fitness among students in 1<sup>st</sup> year at Partium Christian University from Oradea, depending on their residential environment and gender, in the 2018-2019 university year, and forwarding the results to the competent institutions.
2. Creating databases in order to compare the results with future measurements.

### **Subjects and Methods**

The research was performed between December the 3<sup>rd</sup>-21<sup>st</sup>, 2018, on a sample group of 112, 18 and 19 year old students in the 1<sup>st</sup> year at Partium Christian University from Oradea, Faculty of Letters and Arts, Faculty of Economic and Social Sciences.

In order to interpret the results, NETFIT uses a criterion-referenced standard depending on age and gender called the health standard, establishing the level at which the subject is located in relation to certain objectives. This health standard corresponds to a minimal motor performance necessary to avoid certain risks of illnesses which may occur as a result of physical inactivity (cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes, sedentariness, etc.). The values included in the health standard do not refer to the levels required in professional sports, but to those optimal to a healthy lifestyle.

In order to analyze the results regarding the body mass index values and adipose tissue percentage in relation to age and gender, we propose the subjects to be classified into three action zones, whose limits are represented by three color codes: Healthy fitness zone – green color, Needs improvement zone – yellow color and Needs continuous improvement zone – red color (high risk of developing diseases). According to their age and gender, the students that fall into the healthy fitness zone have an optimal level of physical fitness, avoiding certain risks of developing diseases which occur as a result of physical inactivity. The goal of the teacher and of the students is to maintain themselves in the healthy fitness zone or to exceed the needs improvement zone or the needs continuous improvement zone.

In case of the body mass index (BMI) and adipose tissue percentage, the healthy fitness zone was divided into two subzones. The subjects whose results were below the accepted limits, were considered to be part of the category of those that were *thin* from somatic point of view. According to Kaj, Csányi, Karsai & Marton (2014), the subjects whose BMI and adipose tissue percentage values fall within the *thin* subzone, may receive the *healthy* classification. In case of severe underweight we try to find out the causes and recommend the school doctor to be involved. The obese students fell within the needs continuous improvement zone. The BMI was also calculated based on the BMI reference chart in relation to age and gender, the percentile categories being those presented in table 1 (Barlow, 2007).

In case of motor tests regarding skeletal muscle fitness and flexibility, Kaj, Csányi, Karsai & Marton (2014) proposed two action zones: healthy fitness zone and needs improvement zone. We did not use the endurance shuttle run test and the trunk extension test.

When classifying the results into the needs improvement zone or the needs continuous improvement zone, we recommend a proper attention to be paid to the diet and the frequency with which different kind of physical activities are performed (Lukács & Hanțiu, 2017).

| BMI Percentile                              | Nutritional Status |
|---|--------------------|
| BMI < 5 percentiles/ gender/ age            | Underweight        |
| BMI between 5-84 percentiles/ gender / age  | Normal weight      |
| BMI between 85-95 percentiles/ gender / age | Overweight         |
| BMI $\geq$ 95 percentiles/ gender / age     | Obesity            |

**Table 1.** Percentile categories regarding body mass index (Barlow, 2007)

For this study we used the anthropometric method to measure the two somatic indicators: height and weight using a Seca 213 (Marsden, UK) height measure and an Omron BF511 (Omron Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) digital scale. Adipose tissue was measured by the bioelectrical impedance (BIA) method.

The data of the individual measurements were statistically analyzed on a computer using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software: version 20.0 SPSS Inc. (SPSS). Using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, we verified the normality of the distribution of the data resulted from the anthropometric and motor tests. In order to see the intensity and direction of the relation between the adipose tissue level and the motor components, we used the Pearson correlation coefficient (parametric) and the Spearman rho correlation coefficient (non-parametric). We performed the descriptive analysis (weighted mean ( $X_p$ ), standard deviation ( $\tau$ )), and the differences between the mean values in relation to gender and residential environment were tested using the independent sample *t-test* (parametric) and the Mann-Whitney U test (non-parametric).

## Results

After processing the collected data, we found that 73 girls and 39 boys participated to the measurements. Using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, we verified the normality of the distribution of the data obtained from the anthropometric and motor tests of the NETFIT test battery which resulted in values below the threshold of 95% in case of the following variables: a) for girls (weight, BMI, adipose tissue, handgrip test, paced curl-ups, paced push-ups); b) for boys (standing broad jump and paced curl-ups) (table 2). For these variables, the data are not distributed normally, thus the non-parametric tests are going to be used. According to the standards of the World Health Organization (2007) regarding the growth in height of subjects in relation to their age and gender, we found that in case of 19 year old boys the mean values are close, while in case of girls this value is 2.04 cm lower (table 3).

| Variable       | Tests of Normality Kolmogorov-Smirnova |    |         |                |    |         |
|----------------|--|----|---------|----------------|----|---------|
|                | Girls                                  |    |         | Boys           |    |         |
|                | Statistical ly                         | df | Sig.    | Statistical ly | df | Sig.    |
| Height         | 0.064                                  | 73 | 0.200*  | 0.126          | 39 | 0.123   |
| Weight         | 0.155                                  | 73 | < 0.001 | 0.119          | 39 | 0.177   |
| BMI            | 0.184                                  | 73 | < 0.001 | 0.076          | 39 | 0.200*  |
| Adipose tissue | 0.105                                  | 73 | < 0.05  | 0.089          | 39 | 0.200*  |
| SBJ            | 0.101                                  | 73 | 0.062   | 0.143          | 39 | 0.044   |
| HT             | 0.119                                  | 73 | < 0.05  | 0.103          | 39 | 0.200*  |
| PCU            | 0.270                                  | 73 | < 0.001 | 0.226          | 39 | < 0.001 |
| FLT            | 0.088                                  | 73 | 0.200*  | 0.106          | 39 | 0.200*  |
| PPU            | 0.155                                  | 73 | < 0.001 | 0.101          | 39 | 0.200*  |

\*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

**Table 2.** Testing of the normality of distribution of variables obtained at the anthropometric and motor tests (N=112)

Note: SBJ = Standing broad jump, HT = Handgrip test, PCU = Paced curl-ups, PPU = Paced push-ups, FLT = Flexibility test

| Age          | N  | M Height (SD) | M Weight (SD) | WHO Height (SD) |
|--------------|----|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>Girls</b> |    |               |               |                 |
| 18           | 5  | 162.70±10.03  | 55.42±6.57    | 163.05±6.60     |
| 19           | 68 | 161.11±5.28   | 62.78±12.84   | 163.15±6.54     |
| <b>Boys</b>  |    |               |               |                 |
| 18           | 3  | 174.16±9.11   | 63.86±3.52    | 176.14±7.47     |
| 19           | 36 | 176.79±6.40   | 73.69±12.10   | 176.54±7.29     |

**Table 3.** Mean values and standard deviations of weight and height

The registered data show that 81 out of the total of 112 subjects had a normal weight for their age. A number of 15 subjects were overweight and 11 students fell into the obese category. According to the BMI results, the number of students with weight problems was higher among girls (17 cases) than among boys (9 cases), reaching a rate of 23% of the sample group (table 4).

| BMI percentile / Nutritional status | Girls |     | Boys |     | Girls + Boys |     |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----|------|-----|--------------|-----|
|                                     | N     | %   | N    | %   | N            | %   |
| Underweight BMI percentile < 5      | 1     | 2   | 4    | 10  | 5            | 4   |
| Normal weight BMI percentile 5-85   | 55    | 75  | 26   | 67  | 81           | 73  |
| Overweight and obesity BMI >85      | 17    | 23  | 9    | 23  | 26           | 23  |
| Obesity BMI percentile $\geq$ 95    | 8     | 11  | 3    | 8   | 11           | 10  |
| Total                               | 73    | 100 | 39   | 100 | 112          | 100 |

**Table 4.** BMI distribution in relation to the gender of the subjects

The BMI mean scores in case of girls ( $M = 23.97$ ,  $SD = 4.89$ ) are not significantly higher ( $t = 0.71$ ,  $df = 110$ , bidirectional  $p = 0.48$ ) than in case of boys ( $M = 23.30$ ,  $SD = 4.31$ ). The Mann-Whitney  $U$  test has concluded that the BMI mean scores of girls are not significantly higher than those in case of boys ( $U = 1413.5$ ,  $N_1 = 73$ ,  $N_2 = 39$ , bidirectional  $p = 0.95$ ).

The mean scores of AT in case of girls ( $M = 35.54$ ,  $SD = 7.89$ ) are significantly higher ( $t = 10.77$ ,  $df = 110$ , bidirectional  $p < 0.001$ ) than in case of boys ( $M = 19.36$ ,  $SD = 6.91$ ).

Based on the Mann-Whitney  $U$  test it resulted that the mean scores of AT of girls are significantly higher than those in case of boys ( $U = 146.5$ ,  $N_1 = 73$ ,  $N_2 = 39$ , bidirectional  $p < 0.001$ ).

The Mann-Whitney  $U$  test has shown that the BMI mean scores of girls from the urban area are not significantly higher than the scores of the girls from the rural area ( $U = 659$ ,  $N_1 = 37$ ,  $N_2 = 36$ , bidirectional  $p = 0.93$ ). The Mann-Whitney  $U$  test has shown that the mean scores of AT of the girls from the urban area are not significantly higher than the scores of the girls from the rural area ( $U = 662$ ,  $N_1 = 37$ ,  $N_2 = 36$ , bidirectional  $p = 0.96$ ).

The BMI mean scores in case of boys from the rural area ( $M = 23.32$ ,  $SD = 3.63$ ) are not significantly higher ( $t = -0.01$ ,  $df = 37$ , bidirectional  $p = 0.98$ ) than in case of boys from the urban area ( $M = 23.29$ ,  $SD = 4.76$ ).

The mean scores of AT in case of boys from the rural area ( $M = 19.61$ ,  $SD = 7.38$ ) are not significantly higher ( $t = -0.18$ ,  $df = 37$ , bidirectional  $p = 0.86$ ) than in case of boys from the urban area ( $M = 19.20$ ,  $SD = 6.76$ ).

In order to see the intensity and direction of the relation between the adipose tissue level and the motor components, we used the Pearson correlation coefficient (parametric) and the Spearman rho coefficient (non-parametric) (table 5).

| Variable   | Boys N = 39              |                         | Girls N = 73              |                         |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>SBJ</b> | rx <sub>xy</sub> = -0.13 | r <sub>s</sub> = -0.09  | rx <sub>xy</sub> = - 0.42 | r <sub>s</sub> = - 0.38 |
|            | p = 0.41                 | p = 0.58                | p < 0.001                 | p < 0.001               |
|            | n = 39                   | n = 39                  | n = 73                    | n = 73                  |
| <b>HT</b>  | -                        | r <sub>s</sub> = 0.25   | -                         | r <sub>s</sub> = 0.14   |
|            | -                        | p = 0.13                | -                         | p = 0.21                |
|            | -                        | n = 39                  | -                         | n = 73                  |
| <b>PCU</b> | -                        | r <sub>s</sub> = 0.01   | -                         | r <sub>s</sub> = - 0.08 |
|            | -                        | p = 0.94                | -                         | p = 0.47                |
|            | -                        | n = 39                  | -                         | n = 73                  |
| <b>FLT</b> | rx <sub>xy</sub> = 0.06  | r <sub>s</sub> = 0.05   | rx <sub>xy</sub> = - 0.11 | r <sub>s</sub> = - 0.13 |
|            | p = 0.76                 | p = 0.81                | p = 0.45                  | p = 0.38                |
|            | n = 39                   | n = 39                  | n = 73                    | n = 73                  |
| <b>PPU</b> | -                        | r <sub>s</sub> = - 0.08 | -                         | r <sub>s</sub> = - 0.16 |
|            | -                        | p = 0.62                | -                         | p = 0.16                |
|            | -                        | n = 39                  | -                         | n = 73                  |

Note: r<sub>xy</sub> = Pearson correlation coefficient, r<sub>s</sub> = Spearman rho correlation coefficient, SBJ = Standing broad jump, HT = Handgrip test, PCU = Paced curl-ups, FLT = Flexibility test, PPU = Paced push-ups

**Table 5.** The association between adipose tissue levels and motor components in case of boys and girls

In the case of girls, there is a significant negative relation between the adipose tissue level and the results obtained at the standing broad jump test (r<sub>xy</sub> = - 0.42, df = 71, p < 0.001, r<sub>s</sub> = - 0.38, df = 71, p < 0.001). Students with a low level of adipose tissue had significantly better results from statistical point of view at the jumping test. In case of boys there was no significant difference regarding the relation between the adipose tissue level and the motor component.

According to table 6, the mean scores of the results obtained by boys at the broad jump test, handgrip test, paced curl-ups and paced push-ups test are significantly higher than those obtained by girls at the same tests. According to table 7, there are no significant differences between the results of the motor tests obtained by girls from the urban area and those obtained by girls living in the rural area.



| Motor component | Gender | Descriptive analysis |      | Independent Samples t test |      |           | Mann-Whitney U test |           |
|-----------------|--------|----------------------|------|----------------------------|------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
|                 |        | M                    | SD   | t                          | df   | p         | U                   | p         |
| SBJ             | G      | 143.0                | 20.3 | -12.1                      | 110  | p < 0.001 | 142.5               | p < 0.001 |
|                 | B      | 198.4                | 27.5 |                            |      |           |                     |           |
| HT              | G      | 26.8                 | 4.3  | -12.6                      | 47.8 | p < 0.001 | 54.0                | p < 0.001 |
|                 | B      | 45.9                 | 8.8  |                            |      |           |                     |           |
| PCU             | G      | 24.8                 | 16.7 | -                          | -    | -         | 715.5               | p < 0.001 |
|                 | B      | 34.0                 | 14.2 |                            |      |           |                     |           |
| FLT             | G      | 29.2                 | 7.9  | 0.3                        | 110  | 0.73      | -                   | -         |
|                 | B      | 28.6                 | 7.2  |                            |      |           |                     |           |
| PPU             | G      | 9.1                  | 4.2  | -11.7                      | 52.7 | p < 0.001 | -                   | -         |
|                 | B      | 23.2                 | 6.7  |                            |      |           |                     |           |

Note: G = girls, B = boys, SBJ = Standing broad jump, HT = Handgrip test, PCU = Paced curl-ups, FLT = Flexibility test, PPU = Paced push-ups

**Table 6.** Presentation of differences between mean values of motor components in relation to gender

| Motor component | Residential environment | Descriptive analysis |      | Independent Samples t test |    |      | Mann-Whitney U Test |      |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------|----------------------------|----|------|---------------------|------|
|                 |                         | M                    | SD   | t                          | df | p    | U                   | p    |
| SBJ             | Urban                   | 140.4                | 24.2 | -1.10                      | 71 | 0.27 | -                   | -    |
|                 | Rural                   | 145.7                | 15.3 |                            |    |      |                     |      |
| HT              | Urban                   | 27.2                 | 4.6  | -                          | -  | -    | 559.0               | 0.24 |
|                 | Rural                   | 26.5                 | 4.0  |                            |    |      |                     |      |
| PCU             | Urban                   | 22.1                 | 11.7 | -                          | -  | -    | 540.0               | 0.16 |
|                 | Rural                   | 27.6                 | 20.4 |                            |    |      |                     |      |
| FLT             | Urban                   | 29.2                 | 9.1  | -0.02                      | 71 | 0.98 | -                   | -    |
|                 | Rural                   | 29.2                 | 6.7  |                            |    |      |                     |      |
| PPU             | Urban                   | 8.6                  | 3.9  | -                          | -  | -    | 612.0               | 0.68 |
|                 | Rural                   | 9.6                  | 4.5  |                            |    |      |                     |      |

SBJ = Standing broad jump, HT = Handgrip test, PCU = Paced curl-ups, FLT = Flexibility test, PPU = Paced push-ups

**Table 7.** Presentation of the differences between the mean values of motor components in case of girls (urban N = 37, rural N = 36) in relation to their residential environment

Also, there are no significant differences between the results of the motor tests obtained by boys from the urban area and those obtained by boys from the rural area (table 8). Regarding the BMI values, 69% of the total of

112 students (52 girls and 26 boys) were within the healthy fitness zone, 18% (10 girls and 10 boys fell within the needs improvement zone and 13% (11 girls and 3 boys) of the subjects were in the needs continuous improvement zone (table 9).

| Motor component | Residential environment | Descriptive analysis |      | Independent Samples t test |    |      | Mann-Whitney U Test |      |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------|----------------------------|----|------|---------------------|------|
|                 |                         | M                    | SD   | t                          | df | p    | U                   | p    |
| SBJ             | Urban                   | 196.8                | 29.3 | -                          | -  | -    | 171.5               | 0.80 |
|                 | Rural                   | 201.1                | 25.2 |                            |    |      |                     |      |
| HT              | Urban                   | 46.4                 | 10.1 | 0.42                       | 37 | 0.67 | -                   | -    |
|                 | Rural                   | 45.1                 | 6.7  |                            |    |      |                     |      |
| PCU             | Urban                   | 30.7                 | 10.9 | -                          | -  | -    | 142.0               | 0.26 |
|                 | Rural                   | 39.3                 | 17.6 |                            |    |      |                     |      |
| FLT             | Urban                   | 28.4                 | 7.7  | -0.18                      | 37 | 0.86 | -                   | -    |
|                 | Rural                   | 28.9                 | 6.8  |                            |    |      |                     |      |
| PPU             | Urban                   | 22.9                 | 7.7  | -0.46                      | 37 | 0.65 | -                   | -    |
|                 | Rural                   | 23.9                 | 4.9  |                            |    |      |                     |      |

SBJ = Standing broad jump, HT = Handgrip test, PCU = Paced curl-ups, FLT = Flexibility test, PPU = Paced push-ups

**Table 8.** Presentation of the differences between the mean values of motor components in case of boys (urban N = 24, rural N =15) in relation to their residential environment

| Variable     | HFZ        |            |            | NIZ        |           |            | NCIZ      |          |           |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
|              | Girls      | Boys       | Total      | Girls      | Boys      | Total      | Girls     | Boys     | Total     |
| BMI          | 48 + 4*    | 22+4*      | 78         | 10         | 10        | 20         | 11        | 3        | 14        |
| AT           | 25         | 24+3*      | 52         | 25         | 11        | 36         | 23        | 1        | 24        |
| SBJ          | 35         | 20         | 55         | 38         | 19        | 57         | -         | -        | -         |
| HT           | 39         | 31         | 70         | 34         | 8         | 42         | -         | -        | -         |
| PCU          | 58         | 32         | 90         | 15         | 7         | 22         | -         | -        | -         |
| FLT          | 37         | 35         | 72         | 36         | 4         | 40         | -         | -        | -         |
| PPU          | 59         | 34         | 93         | 14         | 5         | 19         | -         | -        | -         |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>305</b> | <b>205</b> | <b>510</b> | <b>172</b> | <b>64</b> | <b>236</b> | <b>34</b> | <b>4</b> | <b>38</b> |

Note: \* = *thin* from somatic point of view, HFZ = healthy fitness zone, NIZ = needs improvement zone, NCIZ = needs continuous improvement zone, SBJ = standing broad jump, HT = handgrip test, PCU = paced curl-ups, PPU= paced push-ups, FLT = flexibility test

**Table 9.** Classification of subjects into action zones in relation to their gender

Regarding the adipose tissue percentage, 34.2% of the girls and 28.2% of the boys fell within the needs improvement zone and 31.5% respectively 2.5% into the needs continuous improvement zone. As far as the adipose tissue percentage is concerned, 65.7% of the girls and 30.7% of the boys fell within the needs improvement + needs continuous improvement zone. According to the data obtained at the motor tests, we found that at the SBJ test 49%, at the HT 62.5%, at the PCU test 80%, at the FLT 64%, and at the PPU test 83% fell within the healthy fitness zone.

## Discussions

According to a study by Kalka, Pastuszek and Buśko (2019), the average height value of male students ( $N = 589$ ) of the Warsaw University of Technology was  $180.09 \pm 7.2$ . As stated in a study by Podstawski, Markowski & Clark (2020) the average height value of male students ( $N = 2691$ ), female students ( $N = 3955$ ) of University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Poland, between 2000-2018 was  $181.12 \pm 6.08$  respectively  $165.06 \pm 6.47$  compared to the students of the Partium Christian University with the result of  $176.79 \pm 6.40$  for men and  $161.11 \pm 5.28$  for women.

According to a NETFIT report drawn up for the 2018 – 2019 school year in Debrecen city regarding 18 – 19 year old subjects ( $N = 2298$  boys,  $N = 2472$  girls), the percentage of those who fell within the healthy fitness zone was the following: a) Girls: BMI = 81%, AT = 61%, SBJ = 76%, HT = 53%, PCU = 97%, FLT = 58%, PPU = 80%; b) Boys: BMI = 72%, AT = 69%, SBJ = 59%, HT = 68%, PCU = 95%, FLT = 77%, PPU = 71% (NETFIT Reports and Statistics, 2019)

The classification of the students of the Partium Christian University ( $N = 39$  boys,  $N = 73$  girls) within the healthy fitness zone, is the following: a) Girls: BMI = 71%, AT = 34%, SBJ = 48%, HT = 53%, PCU = 79%, FLT = 50%, PPU = 81%; b) Boys: BMI = 67%, AT = 69%, SBJ = 51%, HT = 79%, PCU = 82%, FLT = 89%, PPU = 87%.

## Conclusions

The performed research allows us to formulate the following conclusions:  
a) In Romania, the percentage of overweight and obese people has increased significantly. The number of students participating in various competitions of the Federation of School and University Sports is small.

b) We consider that, in order to avoid risks due to insufficient physical activity, the level of biomotor potential of students must be related to their health. Not all students dream of performance and competitions, but they all want to be healthy!

c) We reflect that a new vision is needed in approaching the physical education lessons in Romania and it is important that the people involved know the concept of fitness from an early age and receive feedback whenever necessary. The priority of physical education specialists should be for all students to enjoy any organized sports activity and to develop their knowledge about the advantages offered by the high level of physical fitness.

## REFERENCES

- Anonim (2019). NETFIT Riportok és statisztikák. Retrieved from: [https://www.netfit.eu/public/riport\\_ter\\_tel.php](https://www.netfit.eu/public/riport_ter_tel.php)
- Barlow, S., & Committee, E. (2007). *Expert committee recommendations regarding the prevention, assessment, and treatment of child and adolescent overweight and obesity: summary report*. US National Library of Medicine National Institutes of Health, 4, 164-192. DOI:10.1542/peds.2007-2329C
- Hillman, C., Castelli, D., & Buck, S. (2005). *Aerobic fitness and neurocognitive function in healthy preadolescent children*. Med Sci Sports Exerc, 37(11), 1967-74.
- Hogan, C., Mata, J., & Carstensen, L. (2013). *Exercise Holds Immediate Benefits for Affect and Cognition in Younger and Older Adult*. Psychol Aging, 28(2), 587-594. DOI:10.1037/a0032634
- Kaj, M., Csányi, T., Karsai, I., & Marton, O. (2014). *Kézikönyv a Nemzeti Egységes Tanulói Fittségi Teszt (NETFIT) alkalmazásához*. Budapest: Magyar Diáksport Szövetség.
- Kalka, E. , Pastuszak, A., Buško, K. (2019). *Secular trends in body height, body weight, BMI and fat percentage in Polish university students in a period of 50 years*. Retrieved from: <https://DOI.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220514>
- Lukács, N. C., & Hanțiu, I. (2017). *Components of health-related fitness among children in middle-school within the Bihor – Hajdú-Bihar Euroregion*. Paedagogica Europaea, 18(2), 87-94.
- Robert Podstawski, R., Markowski, P. & Clark, C. (2020). *Sex-mediated differences and correlations between the anthropometric characteristics and motor abilities of university student*. Journal of Physical Education and Sport, Vol.20 (1), Art 11, pp. 86 – 96, DOI:10.7752/jpes.2020.01011
- Sharma, A., Vishal, M., & Frederick, D. (2006). *Exercise for Mental Health*. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry, 8(2), 106. Retrieved from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1470658/>
- WHO (2007). *Growthreference 5-19 years*. Retrieved from: [http://www.who.int/growthref/who2007\\_height\\_for\\_age/en/](http://www.who.int/growthref/who2007_height_for_age/en/)